



Ark Independent Studies

840 North Branciforte Ave. • Santa Cruz, CA 95062 • (831) 429-3410 • Grades 9-12
Mary Gaukel, Principal
mgaukel@sccs.net

2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year

Santa Cruz City Schools

405 Old San Jose Rd.
Soquel, CA 95073
(831) 429-3410
www.sccs.santacruz.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Ken Wagman
Deborah Tracy-Proulx
John T. Collins II
Steve Trujillo
Sheila Coonerty
Cynthia Hawthorne
Patricia Threet

District Administration

Gary S. Bloom
Superintendent

Alvaro Meza
**Assistant Superintendent
Business Services**

Kris Munro
**Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services**

Karen Hendricks
**Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources**

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (831) 429-3410.

School Description

A Message from the Principal

The Ark is an innovative Independent Studies High School, providing students with a personal, individual approach to learning. We offer over 60 courses, the full range of course offerings needed to complete a high school diploma. The Ark is fully accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, compliant with CCIS standards and offers many courses that satisfy A-G requirements.

Major Achievements

During the 2011-2012 school year, teachers collaborated to continue to develop relevant learning opportunities for students. In addition to fully accessing all necessary high school coursework required to obtain a diploma, the majority of our students participated in small group seminars which were focused on English Language Arts, Real World Learning and meeting the district's Literacy Exhibition Assessment Portfolio graduation requirement. Teachers met weekly and collaborated in their self study cycle in preparation for the upcoming six-year WASC review.

Focus for Improvement

Ark goals for 2011-2012 continue to focus on improving instruction and widening support available for students who need to pass the California High School Exit Exam, pass Algebra I, and complete their language arts portfolio graduation requirement. In addition, we have a professional development project in place for 2011-2012 to enhance and diversify our instructional practices and our curriculum to become even more relevant to the Real World Learning vision. We have developed curriculum of our weekly seminars to help students identify their interests, values, skills and goals for the future. This Real World Learning enrichment program is in its second year of implementation and now includes exciting new career internship component, and collaboration with community business based learning opportunities. We are also examining online courses to meet A-G requirements for eligibility to attend schools in the University of California or California State University systems, individualized tutoring opportunities, and computer-enhanced learning.

Leadership

Mary Gaukel is the new principal of the school. She comes to the site with 16 years of prior administrative experience and 11 years as a classroom teacher at both alternative education sites as well as comprehensive sites. Mary holds a clear Lifetime Elementary credential and a clear credential in school administration.

Parents, students, and staff take part in decision making at this school. The entire staff collaboratively creates staff development programs and sets student achievement goals based on identified student needs.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

The avenue for parent involvement at the Ark is the School Site Council, which meets monthly. The contact person for parent involvement is our principal Mary Gaukel.

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| Gr. 9 | 6 |
| Gr. 10 | 8 |
| Gr. 11 | 8 |
| Gr. 12 | 33 |
| Total | 55 |

| Student Enrollment by Group | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Black or African American | 1.8 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1.8 |
| Asian | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 27.3 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| White | 69.1 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 18.2 |
| English Learners | 14.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 10.9 |

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The four schools on the BSSC write a Safe School Plan together each year. The goals were as follows: 1) Increase opportunities for positive interactions among students and staff of the four schools on campus. 2) Outdoor play area will be clean and safe.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 11/07/2012

The Ark is in a beautiful historic building on the Branciforte Small Schools Campus. We have two instructional classrooms, with six Internet-connected computers and a projector.

| Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|------|-----------------------|----|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| Average Class Size | | | | Number of Classrooms* | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1-20 | | | 21-32 | | | 33+ | | |
| Year | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Other | | | 19.4 | | | N/A | | | N/A | | | N/A |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

| Suspensions and Expulsions | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| School | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| Suspensions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| District | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| Suspensions Rate | 3.74 | 1.86 | 7.8 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.59 | 0.38 | .69 |

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

| School Facility Good Repair Status | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------|------|------|--|
| System Inspected | Repair Status | | | | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | [] | [] | [X] | [] | |
| Electrical: Electrical | [] | [] | [X] | [] | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | [] | [X] | [] | [] | Two fire extinguishers need to be mounted correctly. Several unsecured cabinets with items stacked on top. |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Overall Rating | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |

| Teacher Credentials | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| School | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| Fully Credentialed | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area | | 0 | 0 |
| Districtwide | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| Fully Credentialed | ◆ | ◆ | 363 |
| Without Full Credential | ◆ | ◆ | 0 |

| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| School | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 |
| Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Our highly collaborative staff meets weekly to review student achievement data, revise curriculum, and set goals for improving student achievement. In 2008–2009, the staff did a study of other independent study programs (as a result of attendance at the 2007–2008 California Consortium for Independent Study conference) to make informed changes for our meeting structure to increase instructional time for students. Building on our increased successes with students in the 2009-2010 school year, during 2010-2011 we instituted a weekly seminar program with the focus of meeting the Literacy Exhibition Assessment Portfolio requirement. We designed collaborative professional development and curriculum development efforts to meet the demands of our seminar program. Our professional review and feedback structure emphasized supporting teachers to use this new instructional method.

In 2011-2012 we continued our implementation of the Real World Learning curriculum through a focus on weekly seminars for students. We plan to continue to build and refine this program during the 2012-2013 year and beyond, as we collaborate with local business partners to provide job experience and mentors for students.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

| Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| This School | N/A | 0 |
| Districtwide | | |
| All Schools | 89% | 11% |
| High-Poverty Schools | 86.04 | 13.96 |
| Low-Poverty Schools | 81.69 | 18.31 |

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

| Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School | |
|--|-----|
| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) | |
| Academic Counselor | .4 |
| Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor | 0 |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | .25 |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | .2 |
| Psychologist | .3 |
| Social Worker | 0 |
| Nurse | .2 |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | N/A |
| Resource Specialist | .4 |
| Other | 0 |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Member | |
| Academic Counselor | |

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

We update our Single Plan for Student Achievement each year to ensure that our instruction is focused on student needs as shown by achievement data. We work closely with our School Site Council and staff to use our funds to provide extra help to students who need it, to purchase supplementary instructional materials, and to provide ongoing staff training.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | Average Teacher Salary |
|---|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Total | Restricted | Unrestricted | |
| School Site | \$5,291 | \$290 | \$5,001 | \$63,654 |
| District | ♦ | ♦ | \$5,085 | 58,930 |
| State | ♦ | ♦ | \$5,455 | |
| Percent Difference: School Site/District | | | -1.7 | 8.0 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/ State | | | -8.3 | |

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | 40,501 | |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | 56,337 | |
| Highest Teacher Salary | 75,569 | |
| Average Principal Salary (ES) | | |
| Average Principal Salary (MS) | | |
| Average Principal Salary (HS) | 92,330 | |
| Superintendent Salary | 155,000 | |
| Percent of District Budget | | |
| Teacher Salaries | 34% | % |
| Administrative Salaries | 3% | % |

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: November 8, 2011

In all subject areas, our textbooks are the most recently approved by the State Board of Education and our Local Governing Agency.

| Textbooks and Instructional Materials | |
|--|---|
| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption |
| <p>Reading/Language Arts</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 100%</p> | <p>Literature & Language Arts - Holt Adopted 2002</p> <p>Practicing the Writing Process - Educational Design Adopted 2001</p> |
| <p>Mathematics</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 100%</p> | <p>Algebra 1 & 2, Geometry, Algebra Readiness - Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2008</p> <p>Mathematics 1 & 2, Algebra Readiness - Holt Adopted 2008</p> |
| <p>Science</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 100%</p> | <p>Biology: An Everyday Experience - Glencoe McGraw Hill Adopted 2007</p> <p>Focus On Earth/Life/Physical Science - Glencoe McGraw Hill Adopted 2007</p> |
| <p>History-Social Science</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 100%</p> | <p>History Alive! series - TCI Adopted 2006</p> |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

| STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | | | | | | |
| | School | | | District | | | State | | |
| | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| ELA | 33 | 46 | 34 | 58 | 58 | 59 | 52 | 54 | 56 |
| Math | | 27 | | 42 | 42 | 43 | 48 | 50 | 51 |
| Science | 21 | | 43 | 57 | 56 | 63 | 54 | 57 | 60 |
| H-SS | 18 | 33 | 17 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 44 | 48 | 49 |

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

| 2012 STAR Results by Student Group | | | | |
|---|---|------|---------|------|
| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | |
| | ELA | Math | Science | H-SS |
| All Students in the LEA | 59 | 43 | 63 | 49 |
| All Student at the School | 34 | | 43 | 17 |
| Male | 45 | | | |
| Female | 29 | | 36 | |
| Black or African American | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | |
| Asian | | | | |
| Filipino | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | | |
| White | 44 | | | |
| Two or More Races | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | | |
| English Learners | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | | | | |

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | |
|-------------|---|--------|--------|
| | 4 of 6 | 5 of 6 | 6 of 6 |
| | | | |

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

| API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------|
| Group | Actual API Change | | |
| | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| All Students at the School | -41 | 9 | 82 |
| Black or African American | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | |
| Asian | | | |
| Filipino | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | |
| White | | | |
| Two or More Races | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | |
| English Learners | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | | | |

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison | | | |
|--|------|------|------|
| API Rank | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Statewide | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Similar Schools | | | |

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator | School | District |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status | | Not In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | | |
| Year in Program Improvement | | |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | | 3 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | | 30 |

API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

| Group | School | District | State | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|-----------|
| All Students at the School | Students | 13 | 3,445 | 4,664,264 |
| | API-G | 697 | 783 | 788 |
| Black or African American | Students | 1 | 63 | 313,201 |
| | API-G | | 707 | 710 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | Students | 0 | 25 | 31,606 |
| | API-G | | 743 | 742 |
| Asian | Students | 0 | 92 | 404,670 |
| | API-G | | 868 | 905 |
| Filipino | Students | 0 | 27 | 124,824 |
| | API-G | | 813 | 869 |
| Hispanic or Latino | Students | 4 | 1,251 | 2,425,230 |
| | API-G | | 696 | 740 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | Students | 0 | 16 | 26,563 |
| | API-G | | 757 | 775 |
| White | Students | 7 | 1,832 | 1,221,860 |
| | API-G | | 837 | 853 |
| Two or More Races | Students | 1 | 139 | 88,428 |
| | API-G | | 822 | 849 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students | 2 | 1,254 | 2,779,680 |
| | API-G | | 691 | 737 |
| English Learners | Students | 2 | 772 | 1,530,297 |
| | API-G | | 655 | 716 |
| Students with Disabilities | Students | 4 | 367 | 530,935 |
| | API-G | | 573 | 607 |

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
|---|--------|----------|
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | No |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | Yes | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | N/A | Yes |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable) | N/A | No |

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the Percent of Students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

| Completion of High School Graduation Requirements | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|-------|
| Group | Graduating Class of 2012 | | |
| | School | District | State |
| All Students | 36% | | --- |
| Black or African American | N/A | 0 | --- |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | | --- |
| Asian | 0 | | --- |
| Filipino | | | --- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 77 | | --- |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | --- |
| White | 100 | | --- |
| Two or More Races | | | --- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 33% | | --- |
| English Learners | N/A | N/A | --- |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | | --- |

| Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Indicator | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| Dropout Rate (1-year) | 4.5 | 5.3 | 0 |
| Graduation Rate | 93.33 | 92.68 | 88.37 |
| District | | | |
| Dropout Rate (1-year) | 1.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Graduation Rate | 94.50 | 95.53 | 89.86 |
| Dropout Rate (1-year) | 5.7 | 16.6 | 14.4 |
| Graduation Rate | 78.59 | 80.53 | 76.26 |

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

| CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Subject | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |
| English-Language Arts | 64 | | 62 |
| Mathematics | 47 | | 23 |
| District | | | |
| English-Language Arts | 70 | 66 | 63 |
| Mathematics | 66 | 67 | 63 |
| English-Language Arts | 54 | 59 | 56 |
| Mathematics | 54 | 56 | 58 |

| Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12) | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| Computer Science | 0 | --- |
| English | 0 | --- |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | --- |
| Foreign Language | 0 | --- |
| Mathematics | 0 | --- |
| Science | 0 | --- |
| Social Science | 0 | --- |
| All courses | 0 | 0 |

* Where there are student course enrollments.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

| Group | English-Language Arts | | | Mathematics | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| | Not Proficient | Proficient | Advanced | Not Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
| All Students in the LEA | 37 | 23 | 40 | 37 | 41 | 22 |
| All Students at the School | 38 | 38 | 23 | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| Male | | | | | | |
| Female | 38 | 38 | 23 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Black or African American | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | |
| Asian | | | | | | |
| Filipino | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | | | | |
| White | | | | | | |
| Two or More Races | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | | | | |
| English Learners | | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | | | | | | |

| Career Technical Education Participation | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Measure | CTE Program Participation |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 0 |
| Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 0 |
| Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education | 0 |

| Courses for University of California and/or California State University | |
|---|---------|
| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| 2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | |
| 2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | |

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district’s CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Some high schools offer courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.