

Lomarena Elementary School

25100 Earhart Road • Laguna Hills CA, 92653 • (949) 581-1370 • Grades K-6
Brendon Morrow, Principal
brendon.morrow@svusd.org
https://www.saddlespace.org/Lomarena/welcome/cms_page/view

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way Mission Viejo CA, 92691 (949) 586-1234 www.svusd.org

District Governing Board

Ginny Fay Aitkens

Dolores Winchell

Dennis Walsh

Amanda Morrell

Suzie R. Swartz

District Administration

Dr. Clint Harwick **Superintendent**

Dr. Terry Stanfill

Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources

Jeff Starr

Assistant Superintendent, Business

Laura Ott

Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

Tammy Blakely

Assistant to the Superintendent

Dr. Rocky Murray

Director, Secondary Education

Liza Zielasko

Director, Elementary Education

Diane Clark

Director, Special Education

Scott Turner

Director, SELPA

Monique Yessian

Director, Student Services

School Description

At Lomarena, we celebrate effort and achievement, and we clearly communicate our expectations to our students. We are committed to providing a well-rounded, challenging curriculum that meets all students' needs; helping students develop collaboration, cooperation, creativity, and critical thinking (The Four C's); ensuring a safe and caring learning environment; and promoting respect for diverse cultures, abilities, and talents.

Lomarena's program helps students become active learners who are skilled in literacy, mathematics, and "The Four C's." Common Core curriculum combines explicit skills instruction with teaching that is embedded within meaningful contexts and applied to real-life situations. We are proud of our students, the school, and our community, and we strive to increase opportunities for everyone to join in our quest for excellence.

Brendon Morrow, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Studer	2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level	Number of Students					
Kindergarten	150					
Grade 1	96					
Grade 2	100					
Grade 3	81					
Grade 4	88					
Grade 5	76					
Grade 6	67					
Total Enrollment	658					

2015-16 Student Enr	ollment by Group		
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	1.1		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		
Asian	5.3		
Filipino	6.7		
Hispanic or Latino	35.4		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8		
White	44.7		
Two or More Races	5.8		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	34		
English Learners	25.5		
Students with Disabilities	8.7		
Foster Youth	0.3		

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials							
Lomarena Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17				
With Full Credential	22	28	23				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0				
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17				
With Full Credential	*	*					
Without Full Credential	*	*					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	+	+					

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Lomarena Elementary School 14-15 15-16 16-17							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0				

[&]quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers							
This School	100.0 0.0						
	Districtwide						
All Schools	100.0	0.0					
High-Poverty Schools 100.0 0.0							
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0					

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: October 2016						
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional M	aterials/Year of Adoption					
Reading/Language Arts	High Point Basics Student Book Adopted 2004						
	HM Reading: A Legacy of Literature Adopted 2004						
	Holt Literature and Language Arts Adopted 2004						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0					
Mathematics	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014						
	Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					
Science	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008						
	Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0					
History-Social Science	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007						
	World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In the 2007–2008 school year, we completed full-scale modernization. Our renovated school facilities include computer pods within grade levels, a computer lab with 35 computers and Internet access, and a library located in the center of the school. We have a covered outdoor lunch area off the kitchen. The Learning Center is also on our campus for childcare.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10-4-16							
System Inspected		Repai	r Status		Repair Needed and		
System inspected	Good	F	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х				Stained ceiling tiles, to be replaced by custodian.		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						
Overall Rating	X	Good	Fair	Poor	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students								
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)							
Subject	Sch	School		rict	Sta	ite		
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16		
ELA	55	63	61 65		44	48		
Math	38	45	48	50	34	36		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison										
	Pe	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School			District			State		
	13-14 14-15 15-16			13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	
Science	68								54	

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards 4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
Level						
5	24.7	23.3	28.8			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)								
	Number of	Students	Percen	t of Students				
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced				
All Students	77	73	94.8	67.1				
Male	36	34	94.4	70.6				
Female	41	39	95.1	64.1				
Hispanic or Latino	27	25	92.6	52.0				
White	35	34	97.1	73.5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33	31	93.9	54.8				
English Learners	16	16	100.0	18.8				

^{*} Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	81	79	97.5	59.5
	4	90	88	97.8	61.4
	5	77	73	94.8	64.4
	6	62	59	95.2	67.8
Male	3	38	37	97.4	62.2
	4	52	50	96.2	58.0
	5	36	34	94.4	70.6
	6	31	31	100.0	61.3
Female	3	43	42	97.7	57.1
	4	38	38	100.0	65.8
	5	41	39	95.1	59.0
	6	31	28	90.3	75.0
Hispanic or Latino	3	28	26	92.9	46.1
	4	39	38	97.4	44.7
	5	27	25	92.6	44.0
	6	22	21	95.5	52.4
White	3	35	35	100.0	71.4
	4	29	29	100.0	75.9
	5	35	34	97.1	79.4
	6	33	31	93.9	77.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	24	23	95.8	43.5
	4	38	37	97.4	29.7
	5	33	31	93.9	41.9
	6	22	20	90.9	45.0
English Learners	3	16	15	93.8	26.7
	4	25	23	92.0	30.4
	5	16	16	100.0	12.5
	6	14	13	92.9	30.8

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Percent of Students Number of Students Student Group** Grade Standard Met or **Enrolled Tested** Tested **Exceeded** All Students 3 81 80 98.8 56.3 4 90 89 98.9 38.2 5 94.8 77 73 46.6 6 62 59 95.2 37.9 Male 3 38 38 100.0 65.8 4 52 51 98.1 41.2 5 36 34 94.4 50.0 6 31 31 100.0 32.3 Female 3 97.7 43 42 47.6 4 38 38 100.0 34.2 95.1 5 41 39 43.6 90.3 44.4 6 31 28 **Hispanic or Latino** 3 28 27 96.4 29.6 97.4 4 39 38 26.3 5 27 25 92.6 32.0 95.5 6 22 15.0 21 White 3 35 100.0 35 0.08 4 29 29 100.0 55.2 5 97.1 35 34 52.9 93.9 6 33 51.6 31 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 100.0 24 24 25.0 4 38 37 97.4 13.5 5 33 31 93.9 41.9 6 22 20 90.9 10.5 **English Learners** 3 100.0 12.5 16 16

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

24

16

13

96.0

100.0

92.9

25

16

14

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

4

5

6

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

8.3

12.5

15.4

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Our School Site Council (SSC), which comprises parents and staff, approves our school site plan and makes some budget decisions. Parents involved in the English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) help our staff make decisions about our programs for students learning English. Our Parent Teacher Association (PTA) is our main fundraising organization. They have helped us replace playground equipment, purchase books, computers, and software, provide the Art Masters program, and raise funds for other programs. The groups always welcome new members. To find out how you can contribute, contact the office at (949) 581-1370.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

We implement district-approved safety plans each year and integrate them into our site discipline and character education plans. With PTA's assistance, we have an earthquake storage area that we restock annually with food, water, and first aid supplies. Our staff meets each September and October to discuss procedures for drills and real emergency situations including fire, earthquakes, and situations requiring school-wide lockdowns.

Gates and doors on our campus are locked throughout the school day. Visitors are expected to check in at our administrative office before entering our campus.

Susp	ensions and Expulsions		
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	0.0	1.5	0.4
Expulsions Rate	0.7	0.0	0.0
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	1.9	1.7	1.8
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.2	0.1
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status		In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014				
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	6				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	66.7				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)					
Academic Counselor					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)					
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)					
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625				
Psychologist .2					
Social Worker					
Nurse					
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.6				
Resource Specialist					
Other					
Average Number of Students per Staff Member					
Academic Counselor					

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
Grade	A	verage Class Si	ze	1-20			21-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	29	30	30				4	5	5			
1	27	30	30				2	3	3	1		
2	24	27	27				3	3	3			
3	32	31	31				2	2	2			
4	33	30	30					3	3	2		
5	39	33	33					1	1	2	1	1
6	35	27	27					3	3	2		

Professional Development provided for Teachers

We offer teacher training on staff development days and after school. This year our teachers focused on teaching strategies in reading and mathematics and on using electronic grade books to report grades on the Internet. Teachers meet regularly in grade level teams to review student work, plan instruction, and review teaching strategies. The district supports teachers in curriculum development and other areas.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$45,092			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,082	\$71,627			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,840	\$93,288			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$119,997	\$115,631			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$128,306	\$120,915			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$136,764	\$132,029			
Superintendent Salary	\$285,286	\$249,537			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	43%	37%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Laval	Ехр	Average					
Level	Total	Restricted	tricted Unrestricted Salary				
School Site	4712.87	91.80	4621.07	92287.01			
District	•	*	4621.07	\$80,136			
State	* *		\$5,677	\$75,837			
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	15.2			
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-18.6	21.7			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- * Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- * Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- * Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- * Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- * Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- * Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- * Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- * Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- * Expand Counseling Services.
- * Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

DataQuest DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).
Internet Access Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.