

### **Garfield Elementary School**

13050 Aurora Drive • San Leandro, CA 94577 • (510) 667-3580 • Grades K-5
Lynda Hornada, Principal
Ihornada@sanleandro.k12.ca.us

2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year

# San Leandro Unified School District

14735 Juniper St. San Leandro, CA 94579 (510) 895-4199 www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us

## District Governing Board

Lance James
Ron Carey
Mike Katz-Lacabe
Jason Toro
Diana J. Prola
Vince J. Rosato
Elisha Feliciano

### <u>District Administration</u> Cindy M. Cathey Superintendent

Student Board Member

Debbie Wong

Assistant Superintendent

Educational Services

Song Chin-Bendib
Assistant Superintendent
Business & Operations

John Thompson Senior Director II Human Resources

#### **About the SARC**

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <a href="www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (510) 667-3580.

#### **School Description**

Principal's Message

Garfield is a community of learners who share a committed focus of ensuring that every child who comes into our school receives a fair and equitable education within a nurturing and safe environment. The strength of our school lies with the staff who are knowledgeable, thoughtful and caring, and who share the belief that all students can learn. Our teaching faculty of highly qualified, fully credentialed teachers is dedicated to teaching students a core academic curriculum based on California State Standards, and they collaborate regularly to produce a focused approach to instruction.

Garfield School is made up of a diverse student body. The school serves students in grades K-5. Additional resources are allocated to serve students in need of Reading and Math intervention, English Language Development instruction, Speech and Language support, Special Education Resource services, Adaptive Physical Education, Occupational Therapy and Counseling. Students also participate in music, art, physical education classes taught by Specialists.

The Garfield staff is committed to providing an educational program in which the school's resources and services are effectively coordinated to meet the needs of students. Our teachers strive to provide students with explicit direct instruction that is culturally responsive, rigorous, and appropriately challenging.

Instruction is focused on state standards, and all students are making progress towards mastery of these standards. Garfield teachers differentiate their instruction by regularly assessing and grouping students for instruction in a variety of core academic content areas. Through this process, teachers can work together to meet needs of all students by sharing resources and best instructional practices. Recognizing the need to focus on the whole child, our teachers also provide learning experiences which foster social/emotional growth as well as develop students' communication and collaboration skills.

#### **School Mission Statement**

Working together, all members of the Garfield School community will do whatever it takes to get everyone to the same place, while fostering high academic achievement and social standards for ALL students. We will provide a safe, supportive and accessible school environment so that all students and adults are valued and respected for their unique qualities, strengths and contributions.

#### **District Vision Statement**

The mission of the San Leandro Unified School District is to educate students to achieve and demonstrate academic excellence and become confident, collaborative and competitive in a global society, by utilizing state-of-the-art technologies and innovative teaching strategies within a well-maintained, secure learning environment, in a region rich in heritage and diverse in culture, where we value our traditions while welcoming change.

#### **District Profile**

San Leandro Unified School District is located in the City of San Leandro, which is situated 20 miles southeast of San Francisco. San Leandro is a friendly and diverse city with a colorful heritage and numerous cultural amenities including a 450-berth Marina, two golf courses and a large community library center. San Leandro is well-known for its quiet, well-defined neighborhoods full of charming and unique older houses on tree-lined streets. San Leandro residents are proud of both their neighborhoods and their city, which can be seen in their active involvement in the city's numerous neighborhood and homeowner's associations.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Parents and the community are very supportive of the education program at Garfield Elementary School. Parents may participate in the Parent Teacher Association (PTA), ELAC, and volunteer within the classroom and for various school events and field trips. PTA and ELAC sponsor the Back-to- School Night BBQ, pancake breakfast, walk-a-thon, spell-a-thon, talent show, game day, and spirit week. Their fundraisers also sponsor science based field trips for every student.

Garfield Elementary School has several partnerships with local community organizations and businesses, such as Davis Street Community Center, City of San Leandro Safe Routes to School, the San Leandro Rotary.

#### **Contact Information**

Parents who wish to participate in Garfield Elementary School's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (510) 618-4300.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Kinder.	84			
Gr. 1	64			
Gr. 2	65			
Gr. 3	63			
Gr. 4	77			
Gr. 5	46			
Total	399			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	12.3			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1			
Asian	12.8			
Filipino	11.8			
Hispanic or Latino	46.4			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.3			
Two or More Races	3.5			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70.9			
English Learners	50.1			
Students with Disabilities	14			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution											
A	Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*							
Ave	rage C	iass Siz	æ		1-20			21-32		33+		
Year	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
Kinder.	20	19	20	3	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gr. 1	17	20	20	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gr. 2	20	20	18.3	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gr. 3	17	19.5	19.8	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gr. 4	24	25	20.3	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	0
Gr. 5	23	23	23	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	0	0
Other	11			2								
* Nun	Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size sategory											

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	ol 09-10 10-11 11-12					
Suspensions Rate	2.96	1.04	1.60			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	0	0	0			
District	09-10	10-11	11-12			
Suspensions Rate	21.57	18.68	17.75			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	0.76	0.77	0.11			

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

#### **School Safety Plan**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern at Garfield Elementary School. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The School Site Safety plan is updated annually by the School Site Council and staff. The key element of the School Site Safety Plan encompasses student safety and evacuation procedures, if necessary. Fire, earthquake and lock down drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year, and intruder drills are conducted twice a year. Emergency parent information are outlined in the Parent/Student Handbook and provided each year to families.

To ensure student safety, staff members supervise students at all times before and after school, and during recess and lunch. Any visitors to the campus are required to check in at the school's office and wear the proper identification badge at all times. The designated areas for student drop off and pick up are at the front of the school and are supervised by staff. The Safe School Plan was last reviewed in November 2012 by School Site Council and staff. Additional follow up work on school safety will be conducted with staff in January 2013.

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 09/29/2011

#### Age & Condition of Facilities

Garfield Elementary School was originally constructed in 1948 and is currently comprised of 21 permanent classrooms, a library, a staff lounge, a multi-purpose/cafeteria room, and two playgrounds.

During summer 2009, the intermediate playground received new blacktops. During summer 2010, the student and staff restrooms have been completely renovated. A new computer lab has been installed with 33 computers and a variety of software programs. Five SMART boards were installed in the fourth and fifth grade classrooms. All classrooms received LCD projectors and ELMO document readers. Each teacher received a laptop and training on interfacing technology with instructions. A new public address system was installed in the multipurpose room and it includes a full theater sized screen and LCD projector. During 2011-2012, classrooms were painted and new lights installed.

#### **Cleaning Process**

The principal works daily with one full-time and one part-time custodial staff members to ensure that the cleaning of the school is maintained to provide for a clean and safe school. The student green team assists with cafeteria work and school-wide recycling.

#### Maintenance & Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and work orders are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority to emergency repairs. At the time of publication 100% of Garfield Elementary School's restrooms were in good working order.

#### **Deferred Maintenance Budget**

The State School Deferred Maintenance Budget Program provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating/air conditioning, interior/exterior painting, electrical systems, and flooring. For the 2012-2013 school year, the district allocated \$164,000 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents .22% of the district's general fund budget.

#### **Facility Inspections**

Garfield Elementary School is a Williams School and has its facilities inspected every year. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection provided by the district. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been scheduled for repair or are in the process of remediation. With the passage of Measure B, modernization and renovation of the San Leandro schools has begun. For more information about Measure B, please visit the district's web site: www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
6 orteon becomes and		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Ceiling tiles missing-Multipurpose Room, stage. Lunch bench bowed-Multipurpose Room.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[]	[X]	[]	2 lights are out-Rooms 15, 18, 19.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Low water pressure-Room 6, Multipurpose Room.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Geese feces on lawn area.
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Teacher Credentials					
School	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	23	24	25		
Without Full Credential	1	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0		
Districtwide	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	*	*	416		
Without Full Credential	+	+	6		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
School 10-11 11-12 12-13						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions 0 0 0						
the ((2 th and 1 th a			<u> </u>			

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Teachers may receive assistance through the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program. The PAR program uses exemplary teachers to assist permanent and beginning teachers in the areas of subject matter knowledge and teaching strategies.

Staff development is supported for classroom implementation through BTSA (Beginning Teachers Support and Assessment) Support Providers and by Site and District Literacy Coaches. The district supports staff development through three Professional Growth Days each year.

In addition through Garfield's QEIA grant, all teachers are required receive 40 hours of professional development per year. The focus of professional development for 2012-13 will be on the implementation of professional learning communities across each grade level.

#### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects
Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Core Academic Classes raught by Highly Qualified reachers					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100	0			
Districtwide					
All Schools	96.52	3.48			
High-Poverty Schools	96.44	3.56			
Low-Poverty Schools	0	0			

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Academic Counselor 0 Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor 0.20 Library Media Teacher (Librarian) 0 Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) 0.50 **Psychologist** 1 Social Worker 0 Nurse 1 Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist 1 **Resource Specialist** 1.0 Other 0 **Average Number of Students per Staff Member Academic Counselor**

#### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

	Ехр	Average			
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$6,298	\$1,886	\$4,412	\$80,710	
District	•	•	\$5,389	\$74,037	
State	<b>* *</b>		\$5,455	\$66,336	
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-18.1	9.0	
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-19.1	21.7	

- **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
- Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)				
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category		
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,832	\$40,656		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,857	\$64,181		
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,079	\$82,486		
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$109,795	\$102,165		
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$116,087	\$108,480		
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$134,757	\$117,845		
Superintendent Salary	\$204,399	\$181,081		
Percent of District Budget				
Teacher Salaries	47%	40%		
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%		

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

San Leandro Unified School District spent an average of \$7,709 to educate each student (based on 2010-11 audited financial statements). The figures shown in the following table reflect the direct cost of educational services, per ADA, excluding food services, facilities acquisition and construction, and certain other expenditures. This calculation is required by law annually and compared with other districts statewide.

In addition to general state funding, San Leandro Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical, special education, and support programs:

- Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA (Title I)
- K-5 Class Size Reduction
- Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
- Peer Assistance & Review
- Special Education Master Plan
- Instructional Materials
- ROC/P Entitlement

- Tenth Grade Counseling
- Gifted & Talented Pupils
- School Improvement Program
- Special Education Transportation
- Drug/Alcohol/Tobacco Funds
- School Safety & Violence Prevention
- Title II Teacher Quality

- Title III English Learners
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement per UDC
- Title IV Safe & Drug Free Schools & Communities
- Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act
  - Federal, Special Education, Discretionary Grants

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

#### Year and month in which data were collected: December, 2012

San Leandro Unified School District sets a high priority upon ensuring that sufficient and current textbooks and materials are available to support the school's instructional program. San Leandro Unified School District held a public hearing on September 20th, 2011 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. The State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home.

Textbooks are adopted by the Board of Trustees after review by teachers and parents according to the timeline established by the State of California.

All district-adopted textbooks are State Board adopted and aligned to the Academic Content Standards established by the California Board of Education. The most recent textbooks as of January 2012 are illustrated in the chart.

		Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Core Curriculum Area		Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
from most recent adoption:	Yes 0.0%	Hampton Brown Adopted 2000  Hampton Brown Adopted 2011  Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2003
Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes	Pearson Scott Foresman Adopted 2008
Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes	MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted 2007
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes	Scott Foresman Adopted 2006

#### Student Performance Data

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

#### STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison ( A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA) Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Subject School **District** State 09-10 10-11 11-12 09-10 10-11 11-12 09-10 10-11 11-12 ELA 45 49 42 44 45 48 52 54 56 Math 52 57 49 35 36 37 48 50 51 Science 50 57 62 50 53 49 54 57 60 H-SS 38 39 37 44 48 49

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

2012 STAR Results by Student Group (A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA)				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	48	37	49	37
All Student at the School	42	49	62	
Male	38	50	63	
Female	47	48	62	
Black or African American	31	32		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	34	51		
Filipino	69	66		
Hispanic or Latino	38	50		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	45	38		
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	44	52	
English Learners	16	36		
Students with Disabilities	20	33		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **California Physical Fitness Test Results**

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards			
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6	
5	22.2	22.2	40	

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/DataQuest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison				
Group	Actual API Change			
	09-10	10-11	11-12	
All Students at the School	12	27	-14	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	-4	54	1	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	23	31	-6	
English Learners	11	41	-4	
Students with Disabilities				

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison				
API Rank	2009 2010 2011			
Statewide	3	3	4	
Similar Schools	1	1	1	

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2005-2006	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program I	7	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	58.3	

#### API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level

Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students	236	6,356	4,664,264
at the School	API-G	768	743	788
Black or	Students	29	1,049	313,201
African American	API-G	711	673	710
American Indian or	Students	0	20	31,606
Alaska Native	API-G		694	742
Asian	Students	35	960	404,670
	API-G	751	855	905
Filipino	Students	28	516	124,824
	API-G	846	812	869
Hispanic	Students	103	2,871	2,425,230
or Latino	API-G	755	703	740
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Students	4	79	26,563
	API-G		670	775
White	Students	29	704	1,221,860
	API-G	784	793	853
Two	Students	8	140	88,428
or More Races	API-G		829	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	164	4,059	2,779,680
	API-G	741	712	737
English Learners	Students	124	2,564	1,530,297
	API-G	748	710	716
Students	Students	45	833	530,935
with Disabilities	API-G	664	596	607

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No