Escalona Elementary School



15135 Escalona Road • La Mirada, CA 90638 • (714) 521-0970 • Grades K-5
Bonnie Cadra-Lytle, Principal
blytle@nlmusd.org

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District

12820 Pioneer Blvd Norwalk, CA 90650 (5662) 868-0431 www.nlmusd.org

District Governing Board

Karen Morrison President
Sean M. Reagan Vice President
Darryl R. Adams Board Member
Margarita L. Rios Board Member
Chris Pflanzer Board Member
Jesse Urquidi Board Member
Ana Valencia Board Member

District Administration

Dr. Hasmik Danielian
Superintendent
Dr. Albert Clegg

Assistant Superintendent Educational Services

Estuardo Santillan
Assistant Superintendent, Business
Services

Wayne Shannon
Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources

School Description

Welcome to Escalona Elementary School, where students soar on the wings of success! Escalona Elementary is a kindergarten through grade five neighborhood school in La Mirada, California where staff and community take pride in enriching the lives of our students.

At Escalona School we take strong action to positively influence a quality instructional program that promotes student success and focuses on improving student learning. We provide an education that is based on the Common Core State Standards and that encourages creativity, communication, collaboration and critical thinking. Our school culture is one of diversity, inclusion, and possibility; qualities that provide support for student learning. Our culture sets the tone for our students' success and achievement and provides the rallying point for our staff, students, parents, businesses, and neighbors.

Teachers, students, parents, and the community all work together to foster an appreciation of each child's uniqueness as a member of a diverse multiethnic, multicultural society. To increase our effectiveness as teachers, administrators, and staff, we share leadership, promote collegiality, continuously learn and apply new strategies, establish standards of professional performance, and take risks to try new ideas.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (714) 521-0970 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level						
Grade Level Number of Students						
Kindergarten	106					
Grade 1	83					
Grade 2	99					
Grade 3	90					
Grade 4	100					
Grade 5	95					
Total Enrollment	573					

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	1.2				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3				
Asian	5.1				
Filipino	4.9				
Hispanic or Latino	65.1				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2				
White	19.7				
Two or More Races	3.5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.4				
English Learners	3.3				
Students with Disabilities	10.3				
Foster Youth	0.3				

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Escalona Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	25	25	28			
Without Full Credential	1	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	*	*				
Without Full Credential	*	*				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Escalona Elementary School 13-14 14-15 15-16								
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0					

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
This School 100.0 0.0								
	Districtwide							
All Schools	94.2	5.8						
High-Poverty Schools 93.9 6.1								
Low-Poverty Schools	99.1	0.9						

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

All textbooks meet the content standards for each of their curricular areas. These materials are supplemented with instructional materials aligned with the California Common Core State Standards.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: 2014						
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption					
Reading/Language Arts	Grades K-5 English-language Arts: Houghton Mifflin Reading, adopted 2002 and Common Core aligned supplemental materials: Ready Common Core-Reading, Curriculum Associates – ELA The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
Mathematics	Grades K-5 Mathematics: Houghton Mifflin - Math Expressions, adopted 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
Science	Grades K-5 Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill – Science, adopted 2008 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
History-Social Science	Grades K-5 Social Science: Pearson Scott Foresman – History, adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12/10/2014							
System Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and		
System inspected	Good		Fair		Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х						
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
	Х				1		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students							
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards Subject (grades 3-8 and 11)							
·	School	District	State				
ELA	60	43	44				
Math	47	25	33				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C	CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject	School District State								
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13 13-14 14-15			12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	72	76	79	55	54	52	59	60	56

^{*} Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent o	f Students Meeting	Fitness Standards				
Level 4 of 6 5 of 6 6							
5	15.50	20.60	47.40				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
·	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	52			
All Student at the School	79			
Male	79			
Female	78			
Black or African American	1			
Asian	ŀ			
Filipino	1			
Hispanic or Latino	77			
White	86			
Two or More Races	1			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	ŀ			
English Learners	ŀ			
Students with Disabilities	71			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number of Students Percent of Students				nts		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	88	87	98.9	20	18	23	39
	4	99	98	99.0	22	16	30	31
	5	100	97	97.0	20	22	34	25
Male	3		36	40.9	31	17	31	22
	4		50	50.5	34	20	32	12
	5		49	49.0	22	24	29	24
Female	3		51	58.0	12	20	18	51
	4		48	48.5	10	13	27	50
	5		48	48.0	17	19	40	25
Black or African American	3		1	1.1				
	5		1	1.0				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		1	1.0				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Asian	3		6	6.8					
	4		4	4.0					
	5		4	4.0					
Filipino	3		4	4.5					
	4		7	7.1					
	5		4	4.0					
Hispanic or Latino	3		56	63.6	23	18	27	32	
	4		66	66.7	30	18	27	24	
	5		63	63.0	21	17	35	27	
White	3		19	21.6	16	21	16	47	
	4		19	19.2	5	21	32	37	
	5		23	23.0	17	35	26	22	
Two or More Races	3		1	1.1					
	4		1	1.0					
	5		2	2.0					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		38	43.2	24	26	24	26	
	4		37	37.4	32	19	27	22	
	5		36	36.0	28	31	25	17	
English Learners	3		2	2.3					
	5		1	1.0					
Students with Disabilities	3		8	9.1					
	4		8	8.1					
	5		11	11.0	55	27	9	9	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		3	3.4					
	5		2	2.0					
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Percent of Students Number of Students** Grade **Student Group** Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled Tested** Tested **Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** All Students 98.9 99.0 97.0 Male 40.9 50.5 49.0 Female 58.0 48.5 48.0 **Black or African American** 1.1 1.0 American Indian or Alaska Native 1.0 Asian 6.8 4.0 4.0 **Filipino** 4.5 7.1 4.0 **Hispanic or Latino** 63.6 66.7 63.0 White 21.6 19.2 23.0 Two or More Races 1.1 1.0 2.0 ------**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** 43.2 37.4 36.0 **English Learners** 2.3 --1.0 Students with Disabilities 9.1 8.1 11.0

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade **Student Group** Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled** Tested Tested **Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Students Receiving Migrant Education 3 3 3.4 Services 5 2 2.0 **Foster Youth** 3 4 5

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Escalona maintains two active parent/teacher support groups: the PTA and the School Site Council. Parents and community members are actively involved with teachers and staff in decision making. Our school encourages parent and community involvement in the classroom in order to serve our students. Parents and community members regularly volunteer in the classroom, supervise field trips, and provide supplementary instruction for students. Escalona's monthly Parent Newsletter, weekly grade-level newsletters, and the Web site help keep parents informed of schoolwide activities and programs.

Through fund-raisers, Escalona's PTA has brightened Escalona with colorful murals, a double-sided marquee, backpack racks for each classroom, playground equipment in the kindergarten yard, and a permanent shade structure over the lunch area. The PTA also funds the Meet the Masters art appreciation program, the Reading Eagles are Dynamite (READ) Home Reading Program, various school assemblies and transportation for field trips.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Staff and parent volunteers monitor the school grounds for 15 minutes before and after school as well as at all recesses and at lunch time. Teachers regularly review the rules for safe and responsible behavior in school and on the playground. We have a closed campus that is fully fenced and visitors must enter the school through the school office to sign in and obtain a visitor badge that must be worn throughout their stay.

Escalona's School Safety Committee updates the School Safety Plan annually each October and trains the staff on safety procedures at that time. The plan includes information on disaster drills, evacuation routes, disaster teams, and the location of first-aid supplies. The School Site Council reviews, revises, and approves the School Safety Plan annually. It is available for review in the school's main office. We practice fire drills each month, lockdown drills each trimester and Earthquake drills twice each year. We maintain a storage shed that houses school-wide disaster supplies. Additionally, each classroom is equipped with a bucket that holds emergency equipment. Supply and equipment inventories are conducted annually by the School Safety Committee. The emergency supply of food and water is replaced per their expiration dates.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
thool 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15						
Suspensions Rate	0.17	0.35	0.83			
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00			
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	5.72	3.57	2.77			
Expulsions Rate	0.03	0.07	0.06			
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80			
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09			

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria							
AYP Criteria	School	District	State				
English Lar	English Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Mathe	Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes				

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program			
Indicator	District		
Program Improvement Status		In Pl	
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	16		
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	88.9		

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
	Number of Classrooms*											
	Average Class Size			1-20		21-32		33+				
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	22	24	23	1		1	3	3	4			
1	28	27	26				2	3	3			
2	28	24	32				4	3	3			
3	27	26	29				3	4	3			
4	32	31	33				1	3		2		3
5	26	27	25	1	1	1	3	1	3		2	
Other		8			1							

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1			
Psychologist	1			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	0			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1			
Resource Specialist	1			
Other	0			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

~	One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time;
	one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent
	of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,163	\$43,062			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,576	\$67,927			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$93,898	\$87,811			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$120,175	\$110,136			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$119,271	\$115,946			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$130,092	\$124,865			
Superintendent Salary	\$211,406	\$211,869			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	38%	39%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

During the 2014-2015 school year, Escalona staff participated in professional development opportunities designed to clarify and facilitate the transition from curriculum and instruction based on California State Standards to the new curriculum and instructional strategies based on Common Core Standards. Common Core is a set of standards developed by the federal government in the hopes of unifying educational standards nationwide. The professional development at Escalona highlighted the instructional shifts in English Language Arts, Mathematics, Writing and the Integration of Technology Skills within the instructional content. On site professional development was conducted two times per month. In addition, Escalona teachers were supported by district common core coaches and on site common core lead teachers.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Expe	Average						
Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary				
Site 8876.39 2347.99		6528.41	77,941.18				
ict + +		6913.40	\$76,984				
State			\$71,529				
Percent Difference: School Site/District -5.6							
Percent Difference: School Site/ State 22.1							
	Total 8876.39	Expenditures Per I Total Restricted 8876.39 2347.99	Expenditures Per Pupil Total Restricted Unrestricted 8876.39 2347.99 6528.41 ♦ ♦ 6913.40 ♦ \$5,348 rence: School Site/District -5.6				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Escalona teachers provide in class academic interventions to assist students in attaining grade level achievement. These interventions include the use of several computer based, computer adapted programs including Raz-Kids (K-2), iReady (K-5) and Study Island (4-5). Other in-class interventions include small group instruction and guided reading.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.