

# Eastwood Elementary School

15730 Pescados Drive • La Mirada, CA 90638 • (714) 521-6480 • Grades K-5

Yvette Cantu, Principal

ycantu@nlmusd.org

## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



### **Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District**

12820 Pioneer Blvd  
Norwalk, CA 90650  
(562) 868-0431  
www.nlmusd.org

#### **District Governing Board**

Chris Pflanzner Board President  
Ana Valencia Board Vice President  
Darryl R. Adams Member  
Margarita L. Rios Member  
Karen Morrison Member  
Jesse Urquidi Member  
Sean M. Reagan Member

#### **District Administration**

Dr. Hasmik Danielian  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Patricio Vargas  
**Assistant Superintendent -  
Educational Services**  
Estuardo Santillan  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business  
Services**  
John Lopez  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**

### **School Description**

California Distinguished Elementary School and Three Time Winner of California Business for Education Excellence Award. The California School Recognition Program identifies and honors the State's most exemplary and inspiring public schools with the California Distinguished School Award. The award is highly sought after by schools in all areas of the State. We are very proud of this award, which recognizes our efforts to close the academic achievement gap and our strong commitment to two complementary signature practices: balanced approach to reading instruction and systematic English Language Development program. These two practices are designed to increase listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills through high level questioning and active student engagement. Standard based instruction is organized to include whole group modeling, small group guided practice, and individualized conferring. All students have daily access to technology and other high interest instructional level materials and resources and are given several project-based experiences throughout the instructional year.

With the support of our PTA, Eastwood students have many opportunities to express themselves creatively as they are exposed to the visual and performing arts standards. All students participate in the Meet the Masters visual arts program, and they take field trips to the Cerritos Performing Arts Center and the La Mirada Civic Theater. For the last four years, our second grade classes have been honored by the Cerritos Performing Arts Center for their participation in their school projects. In addition to our visual arts programs, we have added instrumental music instruction to our K-5 visual and performing arts curriculum, which includes a drum room filled with a variety of drums and percussion instruments. Our fifth grade students experience our long tradition of African drums and dance unit of study along with four days of science camp. We have a well-organized, hands-on science curriculum that is enriched by assemblies, field trips, in-school gardening, and family science night. All parents are highly encouraged to attend all four of our Common Core Parent Education Nights. We are proud of our "We Care Wednesday" program. Each month students, staff, and families support a local, national, or global charity through donations of change or items. Donations include can goods, toys, and friendly notes for Meals on Wheels.

We are fully implementing Common Core State Standards in math, reading, and writing. You will see technology, math walls, classroom libraries, whole group, small group, and one-to-one instruction in every classroom. You will notice our students' thinking captured on charts. You will hear students in deep conversations as they make meaning of stories and text of varying genres. We are strengthening our writing program for all grades by increasing daily writing opportunities, and teaching to a school wide curriculum. We spotlight our young authors throughout the year by having monthly classroom writing celebrations. Students are routinely engaged in problem solving activities and are encouraged to explain their thinking using pictures, diagrams, number sentences, and in writing.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	67
Grade 1	75
Grade 2	73
Grade 3	73
Grade 4	86
Grade 5	80
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>454</b>

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	30.2
Filipino	7
Hispanic or Latino	39.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9
White	15
Two or More Races	5.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39.9
English Learners	13.4
Students with Disabilities	9.9
Foster Youth	0.4

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Eastwood Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	18	19	19
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	◆	◆	782
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	◆	◆	8
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	◆	◆	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Eastwood Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

All textbooks meet the content standards for each of their curricular areas. These materials are supplemented with instructional materials aligned with the California Common Core State Standards.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: 2017-18	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Grades K-5 English-language Arts: Houghton Mifflin Reading, adopted 2017, Benchmark Advanced The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	Grades K-5 Mathematics: Houghton Mifflin - Math Expressions, adopted 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	Grades K-5 Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill – Science, adopted 2008 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	Grades K-5 Social Science: Pearson Scott Foresman – History, adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Overall Rating 97%

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/29/2012				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			100%
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			94.60%
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			100%
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			100%
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			100%
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			100%
<b>Overall Rating</b>	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	65	66	46	44	48	48
Math	51	49	29	30	36	37

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	82	82	100.0	52.4
Male	37	37	100.0	62.2
Female	45	45	100.0	44.4
Asian	14	14	100.0	85.7
Hispanic or Latino	39	39	100.0	41.0
White	21	21	100.0	47.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	30	100.0	33.3

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	68	52	52	47	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	12.8	39.7	28.2

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Percent Tested</b>	<b>Percent Met or Exceeded</b>
<b>All Students</b>	244	239	97.95	65.69
<b>Male</b>	127	125	98.43	66.4
<b>Female</b>	117	114	97.44	64.91
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	73	70	95.89	85.71
<b>Filipino</b>	20	20	100	80
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	89	89	100	48.31
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	37	35	94.59	51.43
<b>Two or More Races</b>	16	16	100	81.25
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	93	91	97.85	52.75
<b>English Learners</b>	50	48	96	79.17
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	27	26	96.3	34.62
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Percent Tested</b>	<b>Percent Met or Exceeded</b>
All Students	245	240	97.96	49.17
Male	128	126	98.44	53.97
Female	117	114	97.44	43.86
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	73	71	97.26	77.46
Filipino	20	20	100	50
Hispanic or Latino	89	88	98.88	31.82
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	38	36	94.74	33.33
Two or More Races	16	16	100	75
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93	90	96.77	34.44
English Learners	50	48	96	66.67
Students with Disabilities	27	25	92.59	28
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### **C. Engagement**

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)**

Parents are an integral part of the school decision making process and student support system. Elected parents serve on the School Site Council and English Language Advisory Committee. Both have the responsibility of identifying and prioritizing school needs and insuring that the school plan reflects the identified needs the school.

Volunteers working in the classrooms, the computer lab and library, as well as coordinating PTA and classroom events log countless number of hours. Our wonderful PTA plays a vital part in organizing parent volunteers to help with special classroom projects, field trips, and school wide events. The PTA supports the instructional program by sponsoring field trips, assemblies, art programs, after school classes, Family Science Night, Red Ribbon Week, annual spring luau, and our Read Across America celebration. Our PTA, for the 4th year, plans a very successful College Week. The goals of this weeklong event are for students to understand how significant learning is beyond high school provide parents with information on college planning, and most importantly, for each Eastwood student to feel that they are college bound.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

The school has a Positive Support Intervention Plan (PBIS) that clearly articulates student behavior expectations, character traits development, and school wide recognition program. Teachers teach to the behavior expectations of Be Safe, Be Responsible, and Be Respectful. A character trait is highlighted each month. Students are recognized for behavior, school and community spirit, and academic accomplishments in a variety of ways. Students have many opportunities to earn Golden Eagle Tickets for demonstrating positive character traits and for following the school rules. Monthly Student of the Month awards and trimester awards are given in recognition of positive behavior and meeting attendance and academic expectations.

We revise our School Safety Plan annually during the month of January. The plan includes procedures for emergencies, exit routes, and inventories of emergency supplies. We make the plan available on our school Web site and keep copies in the office for parents. We share the plan with all staff during a school wide staff meeting. We practice monthly fire drills and earthquake drills monthly and lockdown drills three times a year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	2.8	2.7	2.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.0	0.0
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		16
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		88.9

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	1
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	23	30	22				3	3	3			
1	25	28	25				3	2	3			
2	28	28	24				3	2	3			
3	27	28	24				3	4	3			
4	32	33	33				2	1			1	2
5	33	33	33				1	1	1	2	2	2

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

As part of the professional evaluation process, each staff member sets professional growth goals in the fall. Goals are met through college courses, workshops and conferences, professional reading, classroom and site visitations, and collaborative work with other educators. Staff members demonstrate a sincere commitment to on going professional development. A comprehensive list of school wide professional development includes the following:

1. Teacher collaboration for the purpose of analyzing data, planning Common Core units, daily lesson planning, and planning for intervention
2. Training on the new Common Core State Standards and curriculum resources for Math
3. Training on the new Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and curriculum resources for Writer's Workshop
4. Training on the new CCSS for Reading and resources
5. Training on the new CCSS for English Language Development (ELD) and resources
6. Training on the new CCSS for word study and resources
7. Training on differentiation of Instruction using technology with emphasis on ELD
8. Training on Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) and development of school implantation plan
9. Continued training and progress toward developing as a Professional Learning Community (PLC)
10. Participate in biweekly grade level articulation meetings

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,411	\$48,522
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,162	\$75,065
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,538	\$94,688
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$130,561	\$119,876
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$132,232	\$126,749
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$142,956	\$135,830
Superintendent Salary	\$241,500	\$232,390
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	9664.28	1783.80	7880.48	87568.47
District	◆	◆	8112.64	84898.44
State	◆	◆	\$6,574	\$77,824
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-2.9	3.1
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			18.1	11.8

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.