

El Camino High School

14625 Keese Dr. • Whittier, CA 90604 • (562) 944-0033 • Grades 9-12

Ryan Cummins, Principal
rcummins@nlmusd.org

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District

12820 Pioneer Blvd
Norwalk, CA 90650
(562) 868-0431
www.nlmusd.org

District Governing Board

Chris Pflanzner Board President
Ana Valencia Board Vice President
Margarita L. Rios Member
Darryl R. Adams Member
Karen Morrison Member
Jesse Urquidi Member
Sean M. Reagan Member

District Administration

Dr. Hasmik Danielian
Superintendent
Dr. Patricio Vargas
**Assistant Superintendent -
Educational Services**
Estuardo Santillan
**Assistant Superintendent, Business
Services**
John Lopez
**Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources**

School Description

El Camino High School is a California Model School with an impressive track record of academic excellence.

El Camino High School maintains a strong elective program, which includes classes in weight training, yearbook publishing, and student newspaper, as well as a strong onsite Regional Occupational Program (ROP) that offers computer applications, web design, retail merchandising, and hospital occupations.

Parents are critical components to the success of students, and only through the combined teamwork of staff, students, and parents can we continue to provide a nurturing school environment which prepares students for college and career.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	68
Grade 12	191
Total Enrollment	260

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	1.2
Filipino	0.4
Hispanic or Latino	85
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
White	9.6
Two or More Races	0.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.4
English Learners	15.4
Students with Disabilities	15.8
Foster Youth	0.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
El Camino High School	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	19	19	18
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	◆	◆	782
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	8
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
El Camino High School	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

All textbooks meet the content standards for each of their curricular areas. These materials are supplemented with instructional materials aligned with the California Common Core State Standards.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: 2017-18	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Grades 9-12 English-language Arts: Holt McDougal –Literature Language Arts, adopted 2003 and Common Core aligned Supplemental materials The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	Grades 9-12 Mathematics: Houghton Mifflin – Common Core Integrated Math 1, Geometry, Algebra 2, and Pre Calculus, all adopted 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	Grades 9-12 Science: Holt McDougal – Earth Science, Pearson Prentice Hall – Biology, Pearson Prentice Hall – Chemistry, and Holt McDougal – Physics, all adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	Grades 9-12 Social Science: Holt McDougal – The Americas, Holt McDougal – Modern World History: Patterns of Interaction, Pearson Prentice Hall – Magruger’s American Government, and Holt McDougal – People, Places & Change Geography & Cultures, all adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The facilities of El Camino High School are well maintained and contribute to an inviting learning environment for staff, students, and parents.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/28/2012				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	13	2	46	44	48	48
Math		2	29	30	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	36	30	83.3	3.3
Male	22	18	81.8	
Female	14	12	85.7	8.3
Hispanic or Latino	26	20	76.9	5.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22	17	77.3	

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	0	3	52	47	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	140	133	95	2.26
Male	89	85	95.51	2.35
Female	51	48	94.12	2.08
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	126	120	95.24	2.5
White	11	10	90.91	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	101	95	94.06	3.16
English Learners	30	29	96.67	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	141	134	95.04	1.5
Male	89	85	95.51	2.35
Female	52	49	94.23	0
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	127	121	95.28	1.67
White	11	10	90.91	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	102	96	94.12	2.11
English Learners	30	29	96.67	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents participate in the life of our school, and we depend on their support. Parents can join our School Site Council, ELAC or simply volunteer. Upon enrolling their students, we ask parents to complete a parent volunteer form to indicate the capacity in which they can contribute to the school. Our doors are always open for parents to participate. To become involved in the school, call our Director, Ryan Cummins, at 562-944-0033.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Site administration, district, and site security monitor campus for an hour before classes begin and an hour after dismissal. A district police officer is stationed on our campus daily. The district's use of a canine detection program greatly reduced the incidence of drug possession at our school. We have a closed campus, and visitors must sign in at the office.

Our safety plan is revised each year. The plan includes procedures for emergencies, exit routes, and location of emergency supplies. The plan is reviewed with all staff during a school wide staff meeting held at the beginning of the school. Emergency support team personnel are informed of their duties. We hold fire and emergency drills throughout the year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	7.6	7.5	7.6
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	2.8	2.7	2.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.0	0.0
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		16
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		88.9

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	3
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist	1
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	100

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
English	18	16	15	19	19	20	2					
Mathematics	12	14	12	23	19	19						
Science	20	21	14	4	3	6	2	3				
Social Science	16	17	14	17	18	17	4	4	3			

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,411	\$48,522
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,162	\$75,065
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,538	\$94,688
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$130,561	\$119,876
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$132,232	\$126,749
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$142,956	\$135,830
Superintendent Salary	\$241,500	\$232,390
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
El Camino High School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	14.2	11.7	10.1
Graduation Rate	71.61	70.31	75.63
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	3.5	2.9	1.9
Graduation Rate	93.13	94.03	94.66
California	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate	80.95	82.27	83.77

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	15745.38	1989.89	13755.48	88311.37
District	◆	◆	8112.64	84898.44
State	◆	◆	\$6,574	\$77,824
Percent Difference: School Site/District			51.6	3.9
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			70.7	12.6

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016-17 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	82.44
2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

* Where there are student course enrollments.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2016		
	School	District	State
All Students	49	87.84	87.11
Black or African American	100	97.73	79.19
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	100	80.17
Asian	0	90.24	94.42
Filipino	50	92.73	93.76
Hispanic or Latino	46.75	87.45	84.58
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	66.67	52.94	86.57
White	50	88.39	90.99
Two or More Races	50	90.91	90.59
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51.32	88.35	85.45
English Learners	30.77	60.14	55.44
Students with Disabilities	35.14	75.42	63.9
Foster Youth	100	77.78	68.19

Career Technical Education Programs

These CTE courses are not sequenced:

Keyboarding, Entrepreneurship, Hospital Occupations

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.