

# John Foster Dulles Elementary School

12726 Meadow Green Road • La Mirada, CA 90638 • (562) 943-6734 • Grades K-5

Shanti Gallegos, Principal

sgallegos@nlmusd.org

www.dulleselementary.org



## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



### Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District

12820 Pioneer Blvd  
Norwalk, CA 90650  
(562) 868-0431  
www.nlmusd.org

#### District Governing Board

Chris Pflanzner Board President  
Ana Valencia Board Vice President  
Darryl R. Adams Board Member  
Jesse Urquidi Board Member  
Karen Morrison Member  
Margarita L. Rios Board Member  
Sean M. Reagan Board Member

#### District Administration

Dr. Hasmik Danielian  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Patricio Vargas  
**Assistant Superintendent -  
Educational Services**  
Estuardo Santillan  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business  
Services**  
John Lopez  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**

### School Description

John Foster Dulles Elementary School is described as the “hidden gem” of the Norwalk La Mirada Unified School District. Nestled in a lovely suburban neighborhood in La Mirada, California, Dulles was built in 1960 and still showcases the original brick siding.

Our school grounds are some of the prettiest in La Mirada with big beautiful trees, a garden full of flowers planted by our students, and a well-maintained inviting playground.

Dulles Elementary School is an exceptional place where all children have the opportunity to grow socially, emotionally, and academically in a safe and positive learning environment.

Dulles was honored with the distinctions of California Distinguished School and the Campaign for Business and Education Excellence Honor Roll in 2014 and a Golden Bell Award in 2017. Because of our outstanding student achievement, incredible dedicated teachers and second-to-none parent involvement, Dulles Elementary earned this award signifying that we are one of the best in the state. Our school was chosen for this honor because of our Signature Practices in Reading and Math instruction. All of our teachers, at every grade level, utilize research-based Balanced Literacy throughout their reading lessons in order to maximize student learning. They incorporate them in their reading lessons on a daily basis. With this work being faithfully implemented in every class at every level, all Dulles students receive the benefits of this specialized and effective instruction. They focus on not only teaching our students how to read but also how to transfer these skills when they encounter a difficult text independently.

Dulles teachers are dedicated and genuinely care about our students’. In addition to providing a rigorous academic setting, our teachers also concentrate their efforts to ensure that our students are good communicators, are great thinkers, and are respectful of others.

All staff members are involved in creating a nurturing and challenging learning climate at Dulles Elementary.

Our classrooms are print-rich environments that create a warm and welcoming place that supports student success.

Our school has established clear performance standards aligned with state content standards and sets high-level expectations for all students.

These efforts are supported by instructional leadership from our site and district, as well as training in research-based instructional strategies.

At Dulles Elementary School, our students’ individual needs are given the highest priority.

During math, our teachers challenge the students to critically think about the processes of each concept, using Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI), a research-based instructional math program. With CGI, students not only learn how to solve mathematical problems, they are expected to explain their reasoning as they completed the work. As a teacher sees that a group of students are struggling with a concept, they will pull that group together for a small group lesson to reinforce the concept and address specific issues that the students are experiencing.

Over time, our teachers have become more comfortable and adept at making technology a more integrated part of our students' learning experience.

All classrooms have a laptop computer, document camera, LCD projector and iPads. We also have more than 60 Chromebooks that are utilized regularly. Our students use our computer lab on a daily basis to work on targeted reading and math instruction as well learning keyboarding skills. Our staff is dedicated to maintaining a strong commitment to using technology, which has a great connection to increasing reading, writing and math scores.

### About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

| 2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| Grade Level                               | Number of Students |
| Kindergarten                              | 84                 |
| Grade 1                                   | 37                 |
| Grade 2                                   | 33                 |
| Grade 3                                   | 29                 |
| Grade 4                                   | 40                 |
| Grade 5                                   | 31                 |
| <b>Total Enrollment</b>                   | <b>254</b>         |

| 2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group |                             |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Group                               | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Black or African American           | 2.8                         |
| American Indian or Alaska Native    | 0.4                         |
| Asian                               | 3.1                         |
| Filipino                            | 2                           |
| Hispanic or Latino                  | 70.1                        |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0                           |
| White                               | 21.3                        |
| Two or More Races                   | 0.4                         |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged     | 43.7                        |
| English Learners                    | 5.9                         |
| Students with Disabilities          | 9.8                         |
| Foster Youth                        | 0.4                         |

### A. Conditions of Learning

#### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

| Teacher Credentials                                |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| John Foster Dulles Elementary School               | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| <b>With Full Credential</b>                        | 12    | 13    | 12    |
| <b>Without Full Credential</b>                     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| <b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b> | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District          | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| <b>With Full Credential</b>                        | ◆     | ◆     | 782   |
| <b>Without Full Credential</b>                     | ◆     | ◆     | 8     |
| <b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b> | ◆     | ◆     | 0     |

| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| John Foster Dulles Elementary                                      | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| <b>Teachers of English Learners</b>                                | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| <b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>                                | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| <b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>                                    | 0     | 0     | 0     |

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)**

All textbooks meet the content standards for each of their curricular areas. These materials are supplemented with instructional materials aligned with the California Common Core State Standards.

| Textbooks and Instructional Materials<br>Year and month in which data were collected: 09-2017 |   |
|---|---|
| Core Curriculum Area  | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption  |
| Reading/Language Arts   | Grades K-5 English-language Arts: Houghton Mifflin Reading, adopted 2017, Benchmark Advanced<br><br>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes<br>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0 |
| Mathematics   | Grades K-5 Mathematics: Houghton Mifflin - Math Expressions, adopted 2014<br><br>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes<br>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0                    |
| Science   | Grades K-5 Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill – Science, adopted 2008<br><br>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes<br>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0                            |
| History-Social Science  | Grades K-5 Social Science: Pearson Scott Foresman – History, adopted 2006<br><br>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes<br>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0                    |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)<br>Year and month in which data were collected: 10/23/2012 |                  |             |             |   |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| System Inspected   | Repair Status    |             |             | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good             | Fair        | Poor        |   |
| <b>Systems:</b><br>Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Interior:</b><br>Interior Surfaces  | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Cleanliness:</b><br>Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Electrical:</b><br>Electrical   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b><br>Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Safety:</b><br>Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Structural:</b><br>Structural Damage, Roofs   | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>External:</b><br>Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences                                       | X                |             |             |   |
| <b>Overall Rating</b>  | <b>Exemplary</b> | <b>Good</b> | <b>Fair</b> | <b>Poor</b>                               |
|  | X                |             |             |   |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

| 2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students |  |       |          |       |       |       |
|---|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject                                 | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) |       |          |       |       |       |
|   | School   |       | District |       | State |       |
|   | 15-16  | 16-17 | 15-16    | 16-17 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| ELA                                     | 44   | 35    | 46       | 44    | 48    | 48    |
| Math                                    | 40   | 42    | 29       | 30    | 36    | 37    |

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| 2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group<br>Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |                    |                   |                     |                        |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Group  | Number of Students |                   | Percent of Students |                        |
|  | Enrolled           | with Valid Scores | w/ Valid Scores     | Proficient or Advanced |
| All Students   | 39                 | 39                | 100.0               | 64.1                   |
| Male   | 24                 | 24                | 100.0               | 79.2                   |
| Female   | 15                 | 15                | 100.0               | 40.0                   |
| Hispanic or Latino   | 28                 | 28                | 100.0               | 57.1                   |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  | 24                 | 24                | 100.0               | 62.5                   |

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students |  |       |          |       |       |       |
|---|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject   | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |       |          |       |       |       |
|   | School   |       | District |       | State |       |
|   | 14-15  | 15-16 | 14-15    | 15-16 | 14-15 | 15-16 |
| Science   | 35   | 64    | 52       | 47    | 56    | 54    |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

| Grade Level | 2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |        |        |
|-------------|---|--------|--------|
|             | 4 of 6  | 5 of 6 | 6 of 6 |
| 5           | 14.3  | 39.3   | 14.3   |

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

| Student Group                                 | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| All Students                                  | 100              | 98            | 98             | 34.69                   |
| Male  | 54               | 54            | 100            | 24.07                   |
| Female  | 46               | 44            | 95.65          | 47.73                   |
| Black or African American                     | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Asian   | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Filipino                                      | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Hispanic or Latino                            | 70               | 68            | 97.14          | 30.88                   |
| White   | 25               | 25            | 100            | 44                      |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged               | 54               | 52            | 96.3           | 28.85                   |
| English Learners                              | 12               | 12            | 100            | 41.67                   |
| Students with Disabilities                    | 13               | 12            | 92.31          | 8.33                    |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | --               | --            | --             | --                      |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

| Student Group                                 | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| All Students                                  | 99               | 97            | 97.98          | 42.27                   |
| Male  | 53               | 53            | 100            | 37.74                   |
| Female  | 46               | 44            | 95.65          | 47.73                   |
| Black or African American                     | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Asian   | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Filipino                                      | --               | --            | --             | --                      |
| Hispanic or Latino                            | 70               | 68            | 97.14          | 39.71                   |
| White   | 24               | 24            | 100            | 54.17                   |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged               | 54               | 52            | 96.3           | 32.69                   |
| English Learners                              | 12               | 12            | 100            | 41.67                   |
| Students with Disabilities                    | 13               | 12            | 92.31          | 16.67                   |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | --               | --            | --             | --                      |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Dulles' small school setting and generous parent involvement create a tight-knit community atmosphere. Dulles' staff and parents are dedicated to providing enrichment programs and events to promote school spirit and foster a positive school climate. Our students work together with PTA throughout the school year to plant and maintain Dulles' school garden, which greets them every day as they enter the school grounds. Through the generosity of our PTA, Dulles students enjoy a yearlong Meet the Masters art program, field trips, assemblies, and Read Across America events. PTA enhances our school community and brings the school, parents and students together with an annual Spring Fling carnival, student performance programs as well as a school-wide Family BBQ in May. Our collaborative school events also highlight our students' achievements and talents with award assemblies and talent show. Our PTA parents volunteer more than 7,000 hours per year at Dulles! They are truly a vital part of our school community.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

Staff monitors the school grounds for 15 minutes before and after school as well as at all recesses and at lunchtime. Teachers regularly review the rules for safe, responsible behavior in school and on the playground. We have a closed campus that is fully fenced. During the school day, visitors must enter the school through the office door and sign in with the secretary, where they receive a special badge to wear throughout their stay. District employees wear a district badge with their picture on it.

We revise our School Safety Plan annually. The plan includes procedures for emergencies, exit routes, and inventories of emergency supplies. We make the plan available in the office for parents, and we share the plan with all staff during a school wide staff meeting. We practice fire drills ten times a year and earthquake and lockdown drills three times a year. Trainings for staff on emergency preparedness take place at the beginning of the year and throughout the year as needed.

| Suspensions and Expulsions |         |         |         |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| School                     | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions Rate           | 0.3     | 0.0     | 1.7     |
| Expulsions Rate            | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| District                   | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions Rate           | 2.8     | 2.7     | 2.6     |
| Expulsions Rate            | 0.1     | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| State                      | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions Rate           | 3.8     | 3.7     | 3.6     |
| Expulsions Rate            | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

| 2017-18 Federal Intervention Program                |        |           |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Indicator   | School | District  |
| Program Improvement Status                          |        | In PI     |
| First Year of Program Improvement                   |        | 2009-2010 |
| Year in Program Improvement                         |        | Year 3    |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement  |        | 16        |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement |        | 88.9      |

| Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School |    |
|--|----|
| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)                       |    |
| Academic Counselor   | 0  |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)        | 1  |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian)                          |    |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)            | .5 |
| Psychologist   | .2 |
| Social Worker  |    |
| Nurse  |    |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist                         | .4 |
| Resource Specialist  | .5 |
| Other  |    |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Member                |    |
| Academic Counselor   |    |

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) |                    |         |         |                       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade   | Average Class Size |         |         | Number of Classrooms* |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|   |                    |         |         | 1-20                  |         |         | 21-32   |         |         | 33+     |         |         |
|   | 2014-15            | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15               | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| K   | 25                 | 24      | 23      |                       |         | 1       | 3       | 3       | 3       |         |         |         |
| 1   | 21                 | 21      |         |                       |         |         | 1       | 1       |         |         |         |         |
| 2   | 27                 | 24      | 26      |                       |         |         | 1       | 1       | 1       |         |         |         |
| 3   | 18                 | 23      | 26      | 1                     |         |         | 1       | 1       | 1       |         |         |         |
| 4   | 31                 | 29      | 33      |                       |         |         | 2       | 1       | 1       |         |         | 1       |
| 5   | 21                 | 36      | 31      | 1                     |         |         |         |         | 1       | 1       | 1       |         |
| Other   |                    | 28      |         |                       |         |         |         | 1       |         |         |         |         |

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## Professional Development provided for Teachers

| FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries |                 |  |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Category                                       | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| Beginning Teacher Salary                       | \$50,411        | \$48,522                                     |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary                       | \$78,162        | \$75,065                                     |
| Highest Teacher Salary                         | \$102,538       | \$94,688                                     |
| Average Principal Salary (ES)                  | \$130,561       | \$119,876                                    |
| Average Principal Salary (MS)                  | \$132,232       | \$126,749                                    |
| Average Principal Salary (HS)                  | \$142,956       | \$135,830                                    |
| Superintendent Salary                          | \$241,500       | \$232,390                                    |
| Percent of District Budget                     |                 |  |
| Teacher Salaries                               | 38%             | 37%  |
| Administrative Salaries                        | 6%              | 5%   |

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

| FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries |                        |            |              |                        |
|--|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Level  | Expenditures Per Pupil |            |              | Average Teacher Salary |
|  | Total                  | Restricted | Unrestricted |                        |
| School Site  | 10261.99               | 1783.80    | 8478.19      | 84333.58               |
| District   | ◆                      | ◆          | 8112.64      | 84898.44               |
| State  | ◆                      | ◆          | \$6,574      | \$77,824               |
| Percent Difference: School Site/District                           |                        |            | 4.4          | -0.7                   |
| Percent Difference: School Site/ State                             |                        |            | 25.3         | 8.0                    |

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

**DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

**Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.