

Grace Smith School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Grace Smith School
Street	9 East 4th Street
City, State, Zip	Niland, California 92257
Phone Number	(760) 359-0636
Principal	Douglas Kline
E-mail Address	dkline@calipat.com
Web Site	gss.calipatriahornets.org
Grades Served	K-4
CDS Code	13-63107-6008395

District Contact Information	
District Name	Calipatria Unified School District
Phone Number	(760) 348-2892
Superintendent	Douglas Kline
E-mail Address	dkline@calipat.com
Web Site	calipatriahornets.org

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

At Grace Smith School, we are excited about the accomplishments of our students. Grace Smith School is an 800+ school. The success of our school is accomplished through the efforts of all GSS staff, students, and parents. Grace Smith is proud to introduce the Apple iPad as part of our instructional learning for our staff and students. We continue to push forth and support our academic needs with intervention programs such as, English in a Flash and Read Naturally. Our school continues to support core standards and achievement for all students. Our ASES Program provides an expanded offering of services and continues to exceed daily attendance goals. Parents and community members are an important part of our academic program. We welcome your participation in our school activities and encourage you to become active partners in your students education through involvement with the English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC), School Site Council (SSC) and our Grace Smith Community Club. Our entire staff looks forward to working with you and our students on another year of academic success.

Doug Kline, Principal

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	23
Grade 1	23
Grade 2	13
Grade 3	17
Grade 4	13
Total Enrollment	89

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.2
Asian	1.1
Filipino	1.1
Hispanic or Latino	76.4
White	12.4
Two or More Races	6.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93.3
English Learners	38.2
Students with Disabilities	10.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	4	4	5	53
Without Full Credential	1	1	0	10
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	3

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	95.3	4.7
High-Poverty Schools in District	95.3	4.7
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2015

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when viewed along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Pearson Reading Street Adopted in 2010 Pearson Language Central Adopted in 2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	McGraw-Hill My Math Adopted in 2015	Yes	0
Science	McGraw-Hill California Science Adopted in 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin History-Social Science Adopted in 2006	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school is maintained in good repair, according to the criteria established by the Office of Public School Construction. Our deficiencies are minor ones resulting from common wear and tear, and there are few of them. We scored between 77 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation. With an overall average school rating of 8 categories at 96% (90-98.99%) and school rating of Good.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: December 21, 2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		X		Gym floor needs mopping. Will review weekly cleaning schedule. Room 10 and 17 are being used as storage and need to be organized. Will place a work order for staff to set up on a quarterly schedule. An issue with cleanliness was noted on drinking fountains west and south of Rm K-1 and K-2. Staff restrooms are not being used regularly and are dusty. Resource center storage room not organized. Winter maintenance will address issue.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: December 21, 2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Electrical: Electrical			X	Gymnasium has 2 lights out. Will be addressed during Winter maintenance. Need electrical plates under computer tables in computer lab Rm 7. Technology will be given a work order to complete. Room 17 has no wall clock. Room is being used for storage.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			An issue was noted for sinks/fountains in Rm K-1. High water pressure on drinking faucet in Rm. 16. No water pressure for drinking fountains south of Rms K-1 and K-2.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 21, 2015				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts/Literacy	21	33	44
Mathematics	27	24	33

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	17	17	100.0	47	24	18	12
	4	16	16	100.0	63	25	6	6
Male	3	17	9	52.9	--	--	--	--
	4	16	9	56.3	--	--	--	--
Female	3	17	8	47.1	--	--	--	--
	4	16	7	43.8	--	--	--	--
Filipino	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	17	14	82.4	57	21	14	7
	4	16	14	87.5	64	29	0	7
White	3	17	3	17.6	--	--	--	--
	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	17	16	94.1	50	19	19	13
	4	16	12	75.0	58	33	8	0
English Learners	3	17	8	47.1	--	--	--	--
	4	16	8	50.0	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	17	6	35.3	--	--	--	--
	4	16	3	18.8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	17	17	100.0	24	35	18	24
	4	16	16	100.0	25	63	6	6
Male	3	17	9	52.9	--	--	--	--
	4	16	9	56.3	--	--	--	--
Female	3	17	8	47.1	--	--	--	--
	4	16	7	43.8	--	--	--	--
Filipino	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	3	17	14	82.4	29	36	21	14
	4	16	14	87.5	29	57	7	7
White	3	17	3	17.6	--	--	--	--
	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	17	16	94.1	25	31	19	25
	4	16	12	75.0	17	75	8	0
English Learners	3	17	8	47.1	--	--	--	--
	4	16	8	50.0	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	4	16	1	6.3	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	17	6	35.3	--	--	--	--
	4	16	3	18.8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Our School Site Council (SSC) and English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) afford our parents the opportunity to become involved in the leadership of our school. The two committees meet regularly throughout the school year to address federal and state regulations and student achievement. In addition to site led activities, Grace Smith School actively participates in parent involvement activities provided and coordinated through the Calipatria USD Projects Office. We also have a partnership with a parent organization, the Grace Smith Community Club. This club provides fun activities for our students during special occasions. All parents are encouraged to participate in these organizations or volunteer to help in classrooms. For more information about becoming involved, please contact the site principal at (760) 359-0636.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	1.80	1.92	1.92	10.89	6.54	5.61	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.08	0.00	0.13	0.10	0.09

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Our school facilities and grounds are maintained on a regular basis. To address school safety, staff members monitor our campus before school, after school, and during recess. We have playground equipment in line with current safety standards. We inspect playground equipment regularly and routinely review playground safety rules with students. We also practice fire and earthquake drills throughout the year. We review and update our safety plan annually.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	25.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	19	1			23		1		23		1	
1	23		1		19	1			23		1	
2	12	1			22		1		13	1		
3	17	1			13	1			17	1		
4	21		1		16	1			13	1		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	6,697	1,289	5408	\$76,380
District	N/A	N/A	6,019	\$61,378
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-10.2	28.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$59,460
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	15.3	31.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Grace Smith School offers general and categorical funded services. Resources utilized to support staff include general, LCFF, Title One, Title III, Migrant, and ASES. Additional funds from Lottery and Common Core supported services in 13-14.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,378	\$38,953
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,595	\$57,103
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,770	\$74,127
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$102,690	\$90,225
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,271	\$98,146
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$113,694	\$97,758
Superintendent Salary	\$127,465	\$117,803
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	7%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Grace Smith staff are provided district and site specific professional development opportunities and collaboration. Working closely with Imperial County Office of Education, staff are kept updated with curriculum and practices aligned with the California Common Core Content Standards. Calipatria USD provides three annual staff development days so teachers can address district initiatives and grade level collaboration. Teachers also meet monthly in grade-level groups to review student work, plan instruction, and review teaching strategies. The focus for the past two years has moved into Common Core and technology. All staff at Grace Smith are using iPads to enhance instruction and receive ongoing training and collaboration to use the iPad in the classroom.