



# Norwood Creek Elementary School

3241 Remington Way • San Jose CA, 95148 • (408) 270-6727 • Grades K-6

Nanette Donohue, Principal

ndonohue@eesd.org

## 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



### Evergreen Elementary School District

3188 Quimby Road  
San Jose CA, 95148  
(408) 270-6800  
www.eesd.org

#### District Governing Board

Sylvia Alvarez

Sylvia Arenas

Bonnie Mace

Vince Songcayawon

Jim Zito

#### District Administration

Katherine Gomez  
Superintendent

Mustafa "Moe" Zwebti  
Director of Technology

Dan Deguara

Assistant Superintendent

Gary Kishimoto

Director of Pupil Services

Carole Schmitt

Director of Human Resources

Charles Crosby

Director of Communications and  
Community Engagement

Denise Williams

Director of Instruction

Nelly Yang

Chief Business Officer

Rick Navarro

Director of Operations

### Principal's Message

The Norwood Creek Staff and we take great pride in the education that we offer our students. We set high expectations for every child and provide the support and enrichment each child needs to reach those expectations. We present a rich curriculum that educates the whole child.

Norwood Creek's school motto is, 'Leaders for Life.' As such, we believe that all children must be given access to a comprehensive core of knowledge, skills, and attitudes that will foster a love for learning, develop respect for self and other, and provide the basis for a lifetime of learning and leadership. Our focus ensures that all our students receive the best education possible. We want to also commend the on-going teamwork and dedication of our teachers, students, parents, and community.

It is my hope that our school's ever-evolving web page will provide you with the information you are seeking. If, after your visit however, you have additional questions or would like to speak with me in person, you may reach me at (408) 270-6727.

### School Mission Statement

Norwood Creek cultivates an innovative community of learners who engage creatively with passion and purpose to achieve academic and person success.

The Norwood Creek School community is committed to academic excellence. We believe that all children can learn in a supportive environment and that the teacher's role is to facilitate learning. We believe that learning should be joyful and that questions are as important as answers. We believe in preparing students to learn in the ever-changing 21st century. We believe in the necessity of involving students in decision-making and shared responsibility for making the classroom a good place to be and to learn. We believe that the school must be a safe haven where the dignity of every person is respected. We believe that students need to learn to give to others and to their community, and that parents and the larger community must be involved in educating our children. These beliefs form from the basis of the school's goals, of which we have three:

- To engage all students in powerful, meaningful 21st century learning experiences and a rich thinking curriculum.
- To develop every child's social, ethical, emotional, physical, and intellectual potential.
- To involve parents in their children's education.

### Community & School Profile

Evergreen School District, located in the city of San Jose, is comprised of fifteen elementary schools and three middle schools. Once a small farming city, San Jose became a magnet for suburban newcomers between the 1960s and the 1990s, and is now the third largest city in California. The city is located in Silicon Valley, at the southern end of the San Francisco Bay Area, and is home to more than 973,000 residents.

Norwood Creek Elementary School is located in the northeastern quadrant of the district's borders. In the 2013-14 school year, the school served 680 students in grades K-6 on a traditional calendar schedule. The chart displays school enrollment broken down by ethnicity.

The school provides a family-oriented, nurturing, and safe place for students to learn, grow, and develop intellectually. Teachers, staff, and administrators continue to act on the principle that students come first.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (408) 270-6727 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	91
Grade 1	90
Grade 2	102
Grade 3	110
Grade 4	92
Grade 5	98
Grade 6	116
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>699</b>

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	60.1
Filipino	10
Hispanic or Latino	20.3
White	4.9
Two or More Races	3.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26.9
English Learners	25.5
Students with Disabilities	7.4
Foster Youth	0.7

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Norwood Creek Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential		27	32
Without Full Credential		0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence		0	0
Evergreen Elementary School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Norwood Creek Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	99.3	0.7
High-Poverty Schools	98.4	1.6
Low-Poverty Schools	99.8	0.2

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Evergreen School District held a Public Hearing on October 9, 2014, and determined that each school within the district has sufficient and good quality textbooks and instructional materials pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California.

All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. All textbooks and instructional materials used within the district must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and Frameworks, with final approval by the Board of Trustees. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. The table displays information collected in September 2014 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. The district does not have a formal textbook adoption for Health or for Visual and Performing Arts.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: October 9, 2014	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Adopted in 2009 (Grade K-6): MacMillan/McGraw Hill <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0.0%
Mathematics	Adopted in 2008 (Grade K): Harcourt Adopted in 2008 (Grade 1-5): Houghton Mifflin Adopted in 2008 (Grade 6): Glencoe (MacMillan/McGraw Hill) <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0.0%
Science	Adopted in 2007 (Grade K): Pearson Scott Foresman Adopted in 2007 (Grade 1-5): MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted in 2007 (Grade 6-8): Holt, Rhinehart, Winston <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0.0%
History-Social Science	Adopted in 2006: (Kinder): Pearson Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006: (Grade 1-6): Houghton Mifflin <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0.0%

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Norwood Creek Elementary School, originally constructed in 1981, is currently comprised of 36 permanent and portable classrooms, a conference area, the main office, a library, a cafeteria, a staff lounge, a play structure, a recreational lawn area, and one playground, in addition to the kindergarten play area. The office/ multi-use building renovation was completed in February of 2012. The multi-use building contains a new stage and sound and projection system. The chart displays the results of the most recent facilities inspection.

#### Cleaning Process:

Norwood Creek Elementary School provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the school's custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

#### Maintenance & Repair:

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Norwood Creek Elementary School's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods.

Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received.

#### Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The State School Deferred Maintenance Program provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. In the Budget Act of July 2009, the State Legislature provided school districts with flexibility in using these funds to meet general fund needs. In an effort to mitigate the effects of declining state revenues, the Evergreen School District has taken advantage of this flexibility. The district will utilize bond funds and other appropriate sources to ensure its facilities are clean, safe and in good repair.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
Year and month in which data were collected: 11/20/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>
		X		

**B. Pupil Outcomes**

**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
<b>5</b>	25.50	27.50	36.30

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
<b>ELA</b>	58	61	44
<b>Math</b>	57	57	33

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
<b>All Students in the LEA</b>	77
<b>All Student at the School</b>	84
<b>Male</b>	80
<b>Female</b>	88
<b>Asian</b>	86
<b>Filipino</b>	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	63
<b>White</b>	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	--
<b>English Learners</b>	45
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
<b>Science</b>	72	78	84	78	79	77	59	60	56

\* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	<b>3</b>	109	109	100.0	29	23	25	23
	<b>4</b>	96	95	99.0	25	19	25	31
	<b>5</b>	102	100	98.0	16	8	38	38
	<b>6</b>	115	114	99.1	23	25	28	25
<b>Male</b>	<b>3</b>		52	47.7	38	21	23	17
	<b>4</b>		44	45.8	39	14	20	27
	<b>5</b>		49	48.0	27	12	41	20
	<b>6</b>		56	48.7	29	29	21	21
<b>Female</b>	<b>3</b>		57	52.3	21	25	26	28
	<b>4</b>		51	53.1	14	24	29	33
	<b>5</b>		51	50.0	6	4	35	55
	<b>6</b>		58	50.4	17	21	34	28
<b>Black or African American</b>	<b>3</b>		1	0.9	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		2	1.7	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	<b>4</b>		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	<b>3</b>		67	61.5	24	22	28	25
	<b>4</b>		57	59.4	16	21	21	42
	<b>5</b>		66	64.7	14	9	35	42
	<b>6</b>		59	51.3	10	19	41	31
<b>Filipino</b>	<b>3</b>		12	11.0	17	25	33	25
	<b>4</b>		13	13.5	38	15	38	8
	<b>5</b>		8	7.8	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		15	13.0	13	20	27	40
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	<b>3</b>		20	18.3	55	15	15	15
	<b>4</b>		14	14.6	57	14	29	0
	<b>5</b>		16	15.7	44	0	44	13
	<b>6</b>		29	25.2	48	34	10	7
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	<b>4</b>		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	<b>3</b>		3	2.8	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>		7	7.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>		10	9.8	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		6	5.2	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	<b>3</b>		5	4.6	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		3	2.6	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		7	6.4	--	--	--	--
	4		13	13.5	69	8	15	8
	5		9	8.8	--	--	--	--
	6		16	13.9	56	13	25	6
English Learners	3		46	42.2	39	30	22	9
	4		16	16.7	56	19	25	0
	5		14	13.7	57	21	21	0
	6		12	10.4	67	25	8	0
Students with Disabilities	3		7	6.4	--	--	--	--
	4		4	4.2	--	--	--	--
	5		12	11.8	42	25	8	25
	6		12	10.4	83	17	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		2	1.8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--
	6		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	109	109	100.0	25	20	34	21
	4	96	95	99.0	16	26	27	31
	5	102	100	98.0	18	26	23	33
	6	115	114	99.1	20	22	25	33
Male	3		52	47.7	31	21	29	19
	4		44	45.8	20	23	25	32
	5		49	48.0	27	27	14	33
	6		56	48.7	21	30	14	34
Female	3		57	52.3	19	19	39	23
	4		51	53.1	12	29	29	29
	5		51	50.0	10	25	31	33
	6		58	50.4	19	14	34	33

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Black or African American	3		1	0.9	--	--	--	--
	6		2	1.7	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
Asian	3		67	61.5	16	15	39	30
	4		57	59.4	4	19	32	46
	5		66	64.7	14	21	26	39
	6		59	51.3	7	14	31	49
Filipino	3		12	11.0	25	33	33	8
	4		13	13.5	23	46	23	8
	5		8	7.8	--	--	--	--
	6		15	13.0	20	20	20	40
Hispanic or Latino	3		20	18.3	60	10	25	5
	4		14	14.6	57	36	7	0
	5		16	15.7	50	31	13	6
	6		29	25.2	45	34	14	7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
White	3		3	2.8	--	--	--	--
	4		7	7.3	--	--	--	--
	5		10	9.8	--	--	--	--
	6		6	5.2	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	3		5	4.6	--	--	--	--
	4		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
	6		3	2.6	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		7	6.4	--	--	--	--
	4		13	13.5	38	46	8	8
	5		9	8.8	--	--	--	--
	6		16	13.9	50	25	6	19
English Learners	3		46	42.2	26	24	33	17
	4		16	16.7	25	44	13	19
	5		14	13.7	50	36	7	7
	6		12	10.4	58	33	8	0
Students with Disabilities	3		7	6.4	--	--	--	--
	4		4	4.2	--	--	--	--
	5		12	11.8	50	33	0	17
	6		12	10.4	83	17	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		2	1.8	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement

It is our collective belief that education is a community affair and as the old African expression says, "It takes a village to teach a child." Our community members work collaboratively with the staff as partners supporting and expanding student learning and strengthening the curriculum. To this extent, parents have a variety of means to become more educated regarding Norwood Creek School and the programs involving its students.

1. Parent Evenings – Parents are invited and encouraged to attend Family Math, Family Literacy Night, Curriculum Night, Back-to-School Night, Project Cornerstone, Kindergarten Orientation, PTA Back-to-School Social, Movie Nights, and PTA meetings.
2. Committee Opportunities – Parents are encouraged to become members and attend School Site Council, English Language Advisory Committee, District Advisory Committee, and Parent Advisory Committee.
3. Ongoing Communication – Parent and teacher communication is supported through the school website, weekly newsletters, Student/Parent Handbook, parent/teacher conferences, progress reports, report cards, Rubrics, district & state assessment results, weekly grade print out for some grade levels, notes, school loop, e-mails, and phone calls.
4. District and school information is available in Spanish and Vietnamese and is translated into other languages upon request. Translators are also available for parent/teacher conferences as needed.

#### Contact Information

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school at (408) 270-6727.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Norwood Creek Elementary. Students are supervised before school, during recess, and after school by teachers and administrators. Lunch supervision is provided by noon-duty aides and staff members. All visitors to the campus must sign in at the main office and wear a visitor's pass at all times during their stay on school grounds. There is also a designated area for student drop-off and pick-up at the front of the school. The School Site Safety Plan was last updated and shared with students and staff in February 2014. Any and all revisions to the plan are immediately communicated to classified and certificated staff.

Key elements of the Safety Plan focus on the following:

- School rules & procedures
- Sexual harassment policy
- Current status of school crime
- Notification to teachers
- Child abuse reporting procedures
- Schoolwide dress code
- Policies related to suspension/expulsion
- Disaster procedures/routine & emergency drills
- Safe ingress & egress of pupils, parents, & school employees



The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Fire, disaster, and lockdown drills are conducted on a rotating basis throughout the school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	0.67	1.51	0.94
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	0.81	0.81	0.44
Expulsions Rate	0.04	0.01	0.00
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	Yes

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2010-2011
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		5
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		83.3

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	24	22	23		1		4	3	4			
1	24	23	23				4	4	4			
2	24	25	24				4	4	4			
3	23	24	24				4	4	5			
4	33	31	30					3	3	3		
5	30	28	28				2	3	3			
6	27	27	26	1	1	1	4	4	4			
Other	30						1					

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.05
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.688
Psychologist	.41
Social Worker	
Nurse	.16
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.77
Resource Specialist	
Other	as needed
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,883	\$43,091
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$82,337	\$70,247
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,645	\$89,152
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$123,466	\$112,492
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,270	\$116,021
Average Principal Salary (HS)		\$117,511
Superintendent Salary	\$180,000	\$192,072
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	48%	41%
Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, all schools within Evergreen School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Economic Impact Aid
- School & Library Improvement Program
- Title I, Targeted Assistance (for these schools: Cadwallader, Dove Hill, Holly Oak, Katherine Smith, Montgomery, and O.B. Whaley)
- Title II, Staff Development
- Title III, Limited English Proficient

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has sponsored three staff development days annually where teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. At the site level teachers are participating on PLCs to look at student work to drive our instruction.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	4,950	65	4,885	87,289
District	♦	♦	\$6032	\$82,899
State	♦	♦	\$5,348	\$72,993
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-19.0	7.8
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			4.2	23.3

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.