# **Roosevelt Elementary**

776 South Broadway Ave • Stockton, CA 95205 • (209) 933-7275 • Grades K-8
Charlene Clark-Mah, Principal
cmah@stocktonusd.net

# 2013-14 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2014-15 School Year



#### **Stockton Unified School District**

701 North Madison St. Stockton, CA 95202-1634 (209) 933-7000 www.stocktonusd.net

#### **District Governing Board**

Gloria Allen

Andrea Burrise

Kathleen Garcia

Colleen Keenan

Maria Mendez

**Angela Phillips** 

**Steve Smith** 

#### **District Administration**

Ms. Julie Penn
Superintendent

#### Mission Statement:

Through collaboration all Roosevelt teachers, staff, and parents ensure that students will master each grade level's essential objectives through the rigor of direct interactive instruction, databased decision making, and reflection. Through clear expectations and communication an atmosphere of accountability is created which will be evidenced by students, parents, and teachers actively engaged in learning.

#### Vision Statement:

Our vision is to create a high performing Pre-K through 8th grade school that promotes our students to become lifelong learners who contribute to their community.

# **School Description:**

Roosevelt Elementary School is one of the oldest schools in the city of Stockton. The school was constructed in 1921 and opened for its students in the fall of 1923. Roosevelt has continued with its diverse population of English language learners, migrant families, students with special needs, and long time established community. In 2013-2014, Roosevelt enrolled 485 students of which 202 were identified as English Learners.

Roosevelt Elementary received the School Improvement Grant (SIG) in 2012 and has continued to climb in its achievement in both Mathematics and English Language Arts. The grant has provided all students with additional instructional minutes, guaranteed weekly collaboration time for teachers, coaches, and administration, professional development in the instructional delivery of common core, assessments, and (Response to Intervention (RTI). In addition, the grant provides 30 Saturdays for a four hour enrichment program available to all Roosevelt students. Additional personnel, technology, materials and resources, anti-bullying program, and research based academic parent teacher team meetings (APTT), and Individual Student/Parent meetings all derive from SIG. Parents also benefit directly with educational classes provided through the parent liaison. The grant is implemented for a three year period with a possible extension for a fourth year (2014-2015).

The culture of Roosevelt Elementary is clearly established as a professional learning community (PLC). Our staff assists students in making responsible choices to maximize the possibilities for their futures. We are solution-oriented to the struggles that some students must overcome. We maintain high expectations for student performance. Students are encouraged to be creative, insightful, remain on task and put their best work effort forward.

School personnel act as advocates for the students. Students in need of additional support are identified through data analysis. Needs are addressed through Response to Intervention (RTI), a tiered structure of support. Support may include strategic planning, platooning, after-school tutorials, extended school year programs, school-home partnerships, Student Assistance Program (SAP), Student Success Team (SST), counseling, partnerships with outside agencies, and special education. Over half of our staff is bilingual; working to bring English language learners to full functioning fluency and literacy, necessary for career and continuing educational opportunities. The staff guides students as they gain confidence and skills through self-actualization; encouraging students toward ever-increasing goals, and respecting the promise of who they are.

As principal of Roosevelt Elementary School, I believe that success is a function of collaboration; organizing and implementing avenues toward positive change, setting goals that are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound. All stakeholders are integral to this process: students, parents, staff and the community. It is certain that TOGETHER we can make a difference.

Charlene Mah, PRINCIPAL

#### **About the SARC**

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7275.

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kinder.	39			
Gr. 1	33			
Gr. 2	58			
Gr. 3	63			
Gr. 4	56			
Gr. 5	70			
Gr. 6	63			
Gr. 7	45			
Gr. 8	58			
Total	485			

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Group			
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	10.3		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.0		
Asian	5.8		
Filipino	0.4		
Hispanic or Latino	76.7		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0		
White	5.2		
Two or More Races	0.4		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	96.7		
English Learners	41.6		
Students with Disabilities	12.4		

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

## A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials					
Roosevelt Elementary	12-13	13-14	14-15		
Fully Credentialed	20	21	20		
Without Full Credential	0	0	1		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0		
Stockton Unified School District	12-13	13-14	14-15		
Fully Credentialed	+	*	1472		
Without Full Credential	+	+	65		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	+	+	7		

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district. • no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Roosevelt Elementary 12-13 13-14 14-15						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments 0 0 0						
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	1	3			

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

# **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2013-14 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
Location of Classes  Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers  Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.00	0.00		
	Districtwide			
All Schools	96.03	3.97		
High-Poverty Schools 95.92 4.08				
Low-Poverty Schools 100.00 0.00				

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2014					
Core Curriculum Area		Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption			
Reading/Language Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014			
Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014			
Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007  Earth Science Adopted in 2007  Life Science Adopted in 2007  Physical Science Adopted in 2007			
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006			

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Each school site has classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library and an administration building. The number of classrooms at each school site varies, depending on enrollment and available space. Several sites have portable class rooms to accommodate increased enrollment and to meet the guidelines for Class Size Reduction (CSR).

# Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

#### **Cleaning Process and Schedule**

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/11/2014					
		Repair Status			Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fa	ir	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	]	]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[ ]	ι	]	[X]	boys & girls restrooms: boys RR ceiling needs to be cleaned, girls RR missing ceiling tiles, Overall: lights out on second floor hallway, weeds on grounds rm-12: replace paper towel dispenser, fix lock on cabinet, carpet torn rm-16: carpet worn, rips on walls rm-21: carpet worn. rm-7: carpet torn, missing fire extinguisher
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	]	]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	]	]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	]	]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[	]	[]	rm-7: carpet torn,missing fire extinguisher
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	]	]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[	]	[X]	Overall: lights out on second floor hallway, weeds on grounds
Overall Rating	Exemplary [ ]	Good	Fair [X]	Poor	

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			School District				State	
	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14
Science	20	22	23	35	34	34	60	59	60

Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

	STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School		District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	21	28	26	36	38	37	54	56	55
Math	29	32	30	34	34	34	49	50	50
HSS	0	15	12	26	27	27	48	49	49

<sup>\*</sup> STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison					
API Rank 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13					
Statewide	1	1	1		
Similar Schools	1	1	1		

For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

# SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade	2013-14 Percent o	of Students Meeting	ts Meeting Fitness Standards		
Level 4 of 6		5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	27.1	20.0	10.0		
7	15.4	33.3	17.9		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

2013-14 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
·	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	34			
All Student at the School	23			
Male	25			
Female	21			
Black or African American	18			
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	25			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24			
English Learners	6			
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Crown	Actual API Change				
Group	10-11	11-12	12-13		
All Students at the School	14	57	11		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	20	55	0		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	14	57	11		
English Learners	37	26	1		
Students with Disabilities					
* IINI/D//	ilalala ka kila 60		('D''		

<sup>&</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information

# C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Each school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement. Our contacts for parent involvement include: Heidi Prieto (School Counselor), Silvia Manzo-Garcia (Parent Liaison), and Kris Garcia (Social Worker). The following are a list of resources/activities provided for parent involvement:

- After School Programs
- Counseling services
- English Classes for Parents
- English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)
- English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)
- English as a Second Language for adults (ESL)
- Family Health Centers and programs when and where available
- MESA
- Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)
- Parent Resource Center
- Parent/Student conferences when possible
- Parent Student Teacher Association (PTSA)
- School Readiness Program (ages 0-5)
- School Site Council (SSC)

Roosevelt School believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

- Monitoring student attendance
- Monitoring completion of student homework
- Monitoring and regulating television viewing
- Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees
- Participating in Academic Parent Teacher Team meetings and Individual Parent/Studen/Teacher meetings
- Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning

Volunteering in the classroom

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office and request one of the above mentioned contacts.

Our school office number is (209) 933-7275.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## School Safety Plan

Our schools place a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which was recently reviewed by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before and during school. The playground is safe for all students. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	3.9	14.0	14.8		
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.0	0.0		
District	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	5.2	11.0	10.1		
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.0	0.1		
State	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	5.7	5.1	4.4		
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1		

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2013-14 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria					
AYP Criteria School Distr					
Made AYP Overall					
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts					
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics					
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts					
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics					
Met API Criteria					

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

2014-15 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	In Pl	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	51				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improv	87.9				

<sup>\*</sup> DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.04				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0				
Psychologist	.33				
Social Worker	.10				
Nurse	.20				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist .50					
Resource Specialist 1.0					
Other 2.0					
Average Number of Students per Staff Member					
Academic Counselor					

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
A	Average Class Size Number of Classrooms*											
AVE	rage C	iass Siz	e	1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14
Kinder.	19.5	20	14	2	2	4	0			0		
Gr. 1	19.3	31	17	3		2	0	2	1	0		
Gr. 2	18.3	29	29	3			0	2	2	0		
Gr. 3	18.3	16	15	3	2	4	0	2	2	0		
Gr. 4	23	22	19	0	1	1	2	2	2	0		
Gr. 5	22.5	14	15	1	3	4	1		2	0	1	
Gr. 6	17.7	17	5	3	2	18	0	1	2	0		
Other	0			1			0			0		
English	19.3	26		6			0	17		0		
Math	20	24		7	1		0	12		0		
Science	0	22		0	1		0	1		0		
SS	0	22		0	1		0	4		0		

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

FY 2012-13 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,408	\$41,761			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,951	\$66,895			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$75,526	\$86,565			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$104,770	\$108,011			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$113,058			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,283	\$123,217			
Superintendent Salary	\$225,000	\$227,183			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	36	38			
Administrative Salaries	5	5			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

l						
FY 2012-13 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Level	Total	Restricted	Average Teacher Salary ed Unrestricted			
School Site	\$8,569	\$4,342	\$4,227	\$60,922		
District	•	•	\$4,980	\$61,189		
State	• •		\$4,690	\$70,720		
Percent Difference: School Site/District		-15.1	-0.4			
Percent Difference: School Site/ State		-9.9	-13.9			

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education. 

• no data required.

# Types of Services Funded at Roosevelt Elementary

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

#### Professional Development provided for Teachers at Roosevelt Elementary

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2013-2014 and two are planned for 2014-2015. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.