

San Joaquin Elementary

2020 South Fresno Ave. • Stockton, CA 95206 • (209) 933-7280 • Grades K-8

Vendetta Brown, Principal
vdbrown@stocktonusd.net

2013-14 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2014-15 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen

Andrea Burrise

Kathleen Garcia

Colleen Keenan

Maria Mendez

Angela Phillips

Steve Smith

District Administration

Ms. Julie Penn
Superintendent

School Description

MISSION STATEMENT: San Joaquin Elementary School's rigorous and challenging educational program promotes high expectations for all students in order to meet or exceed grade level academic standards.

VISION STATEMENT: San Joaquin Elementary School shall promote a college and career centered learning environment where academic excellence, character development, leadership and service to the community are defining elements of the educational program.

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7280.

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kinder.	99
Gr. 1	92
Gr. 2	96
Gr. 3	98
Gr. 4	98
Gr. 5	96
Gr. 6	103
Gr. 7	95
Gr. 8	94
Total	871

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	11.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.6
Asian	5.2
Filipino	15.2
Hispanic or Latino	60.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.7
White	3.4
Two or More Races	0.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	90.5
English Learners	26.9
Students with Disabilities	7.9

SOURCE: California Department of Education

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

San Joaquin Elementary	12-13	13-14	14-15
Fully Credentialed	36	33	31
Without Full Credential	1	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	12-13	13-14	14-15
Fully Credentialed	◆	◆	1472
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	65
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	7

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

◆ no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School

San Joaquin Elementary	12-13	13-14	14-15
Teachers of English Learners	1	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	1	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	1	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2013-14 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
Districtwide		
All Schools	96.03	3.97
High-Poverty Schools	95.92	4.08
Low-Poverty Schools	100.00	0.00

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2014

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014
Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014
Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 Earth Science Adopted in 2007 Life Science Adopted in 2007 Physical Science Adopted in 2007
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

San Joaquin Elementary School was dedicated in August, 1999. The condition of the buildings at San Joaquin Elementary School is good.

Each school site has classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library and an administration building. The number of classrooms at each school site varies, depending on enrollment and available space. Several sites have portable class rooms to accommodate increased enrollment and to meet the guidelines for Class Size Reduction (CSR).

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. Administrators work daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a safe as well as immaculate campus.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/23/2014

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]		EE-5: phone outlet needs to be secured to wall.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]		
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14
Science	33	33	51	35	34	34	60	59	60

* Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	41	44	43	36	38	37	54	56	55
Math	56	56	56	34	34	34	49	50	50
HSS	17	24	13	26	27	27	48	49	49

* STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	3	3	3
Similar Schools	6	5	5

* For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade Level	2013-14 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	25.0	21.9	16.7
7	25.6	16.7	6.7

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2013-14 CAASPP Results by Student Group

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	34
All Student at the School	51
Male	47
Female	54
Black or African American	36
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	43
Filipino	69
Hispanic or Latino	50
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50
English Learners	15
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

* CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	15	2	3
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino	38	-11	-18
Hispanic or Latino	30	-2	13
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	4	15
English Learners	23	-10	19
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information

SOURCE: California Department of Education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents play a pivotal role in the academic success of their children. At San Joaquin School we encourage and welcome the support and participation of parents as we strive to advance each child to proficiency in reading, language arts, and mathematics. Each parent can support learning at San Joaquin School by:

- Making sure that your child arrives at school every day on time and ready to learn.
- Providing adequate rest and appropriate diet.
- Checking homework and making sure it is in student's back pack and ready for the next day.
- Ensuring that your child reads 20-30 minutes per day.
- Drilling your child daily until he/she knows all grade-level-appropriate math facts.
- Establishing rules at home which will carry over into the school setting.
- Support the school in the establishment and implementation of discipline policies.
- Parent Participation Opportunities at San Joaquin School:
- Volunteering in your child's classroom when needed.
- Attending parent/teacher conferences and Back-to-School Night
- Attending monthly parent education meetings.
- English Learner Advisory Committee
- School Site Council
- Parent Teachers Organization (PTA)
- Assist with extracurricular activities.
- Chaperone field trips.

All school volunteers must have fingerprint and TB clearance by Stockton Unified School District. Parents and community members are encouraged to contact the school office for more information about volunteering at San Joaquin School.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

San Joaquin School places strong emphasis upon ensuring the safety of students and staff. The administration, campus security assistant, custodial team and staff continually monitor our school's facilities to ensure compliance with all federal, state and district level health and safety guidelines. In the event of a facility or campus emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate students and staff as per San Joaquin's Readiness and Emergency Management School Plan (REMS). Key elements of the plan include a list of REMS personnel and the responsibilities of each, emergency drill schedule, facilities inspection routines, school-wide emergency procedures, evacuation guidelines, and a schedule of REMS Table Talk preparedness exercises for staff. This plan was updated on July 1, 2013.

Suspensions and Expulsions

School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	1.9	4.3	3.0
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	5.2	11.0	10.1
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.0	0.1
State	11-12	12-13	13-14
Suspensions Rate	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2013-14 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria		
AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		
Met API Criteria		

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2010-2011	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 4	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	51	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	87.9	

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.04
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.75
Psychologist	.50
Social Worker	0
Nurse	.20
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0
Resource Specialist	2.0
Other	1.0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14
Kinder.	30.7	19	14	0	5	10	3			0		
Gr. 1	30.7	31	21	0		3	3	3	3	0		
Gr. 2	31.3	31	24	0		3	3	3	3	0		
Gr. 3	31	32	20	0		4	3	3	3	0		
Gr. 4	31.7	24	16	0	1	5	2	3	2	1		1
Gr. 5	31.7	24	15	0	1	5	2	3	3	1		
Gr. 6	27	26	9	1	1	10	2	2	3	1	1	
Other	0			2			0			0		
English	31.7	29		0	1		7	6		2		
Math	31.3	30		0			8	11		1		
Science	31.5	29		0			5	4		1		
SS	31.5	27		0	1		5	3		1		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

FY 2012-13 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,408	\$41,761
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,951	\$66,895
Highest Teacher Salary	\$75,526	\$86,565
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$104,770	\$108,011
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$113,058
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,283	\$123,217
Superintendent Salary	\$225,000	\$227,183
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	36	38
Administrative Salaries	5	5

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

FY 2012-13 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,916	\$1,268	\$3,648	\$60,994
District	◆	◆	\$4,980	\$61,189
State	◆	◆	\$4,690	\$70,720
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-26.7	-0.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-22.2	-13.8

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.

◆ no data required.

Types of Services Funded at San Joaquin Elementary

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

Professional Development provided for Teachers at San Joaquin Elementary

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2013-2014 and two are planned for 2014-2015. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.