# **Victory Elementary**

1838 West Rose St. • Stockton, CA 95203 • (209) 933-7310 • Grades K-8
Nancy Lane, Principal
nlane@stocktonusd.net

# 2013-14 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2014-15 School Year



#### **Stockton Unified School District**

701 North Madison St. Stockton, CA 95202-1634 (209) 933-7000 www.stocktonusd.net

#### **District Governing Board**

Gloria Allen

Andrea Burrise

Kathleen Garcia

Colleen Keenan

Maria Mendez

**Angela Phillips** 

**Steve Smith** 

#### **District Administration**

Ms. Julie Penn **Superintendent** 

#### VICTORY SCHOOL'S MISSION

Our mission is to empower students to reach their highest potential, inspire academic and behavioral excellence, and encourage members of the school community to participate in our endeavor. Every Student, Every Day, Whatever It Takes!

#### VICTORY SCHOOL'S VISION

Our vision is to provide a safe, caring, disciplined and stimulating environment where children will achieve their fullest potential, so they can make their best contribution to our world. We value our school community and recognize the role it plays in realizing our vision.

#### **About the SARC**

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7310.

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level Number of Students			
Kinder.	64		
Gr. 1	64		
Gr. 2	58		
Gr. 3	70		
Gr. 4	51		
Gr. 5	49		
Gr. 6	61		
Gr. 7	58		
Gr. 8	50		
Total	525		

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

2013-14 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	10.9			
American Indian or Alaska Native	8.0			
Asian	4.0			
Filipino	2.1			
Hispanic or Latino	60.2			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4			
White	11.8			
Two or More Races	2.7			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92.0			
English Learners	17.9			
Students with Disabilities	14.3			

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

#### A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials					
Victory Elementary	12-13	13-14	14-15		
Fully Credentialed	26	24	23		
Without Full Credential	0	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0		
Stockton Unified School District	12-13	13-14	14-15		
Fully Credentialed	+	*	1472		
Without Full Credential	+	•	65		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	+	+	7		

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district. • no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Victory Elementary 12-13 13-14 14-15						
Teachers of English Learners 0 0 0						
Total Teacher Misassignments 0 0 0						
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	1	0			

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

# **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2013-14 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes  Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers  Qualified Teachers						
This School	89.80	10.20				
	Districtwide					
All Schools	96.03	3.97				
High-Poverty Schools	95.92	4.08				
Low-Poverty Schools	100.00	0.00				

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials  Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2014					
Core Curriculum Area		Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption			
Reading/Language Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014			
Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014			
Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007  Earth Science Adopted in 2007  Life Science Adopted in 2007  Physical Science Adopted in 2007			
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006  6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006			

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school is in good repair, according to the criteria established by the Office of Public School Construction. Our deficiencies are minor ones resulting from common wear and tear, and there are few of them. We scored between 90 and 99 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/27/2014					
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	P-37: a/c not working, carpet needs to be cleaned.	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	P-39: loose ceiling tile, extension cord across door way, vents carpet need to be cleaned	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[ ]	[X]	P-37: a/c not working, carpet needs to be cleaned. P-39: loose ceiling tile, extension cord across door way, vents carpet need to be cleaned	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]		
Overall Rating	Exemplary [ ]	Good Fair	Poor [ ]		

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			District			State		
	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14	11-12	12-13	13-14
Science	29	30	24	35	34	34	60	59	60

Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

	STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	37	40	38	36	38	37	54	56	55
Math	40	41	38	34	34	34	49	50	50
HSS	21	30	29	26	27	27	48	49	49

<sup>\*</sup> STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison					
API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
Statewide	1	2	1		
Similar Schools	3	6	6		

For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

#### **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

Grade	2013-14 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	11.4	20.5	11.4		
7	27.3	14.5	9.1		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

2013-14 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	34			
All Student at the School	24			
Male	27			
Female	24			
Black or African American	25			
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	22			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22			
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## **SOURCE: California Department of Education**

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Crown	Actual API Change				
Group	10-11	11-12	12-13		
All Students at the School	-21	27	-17		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	-15	22	-18		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	-7				
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-26	38	-15		
English Learners	5	11	-34		
Students with Disabilities					
* IIN /D//	labla ta tha C	SE 154:			

<sup>&</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information

# C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Each school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement.

- Adult Education classes for GED and citizenship
- After School Programs
- Counseling services when available
- English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)
- English as a Second Language for adults (ESL)
- Family Health Centers and programs when and where available
- GATE
- Parent Resource Center
- Parent/Student conferences twice a year
- Parent/Teacher organizations (PTO)
- School Readiness Program (ages 0-5)

- School Site Council (SSC)
- The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:
- Monitoring student attendance
- Monitoring completion of student homework
- Monitoring and regulating television viewing
- Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees
- Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning
- Volunteering in the classroom

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

Our schools place a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually. A comprehensive School Safety Plan, called REMS (Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools), is updated annually and reviewed by staff and School Site Council. The most recent update of the REMS plan took place in August of 2014. It helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis with staff and students. The site's Emergency Response Team meets monthly to review drills and prepare for any potential disasters. We communicate with parents through the district's automated phone message system to inform them of any important safety announcements. Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. A full time Campus Safety Assistant, yard supervisors, teachers, the site administrator and school staff provide supervision for students before, during and after school. The playground is well supervised during recess time by classified and certificated staff. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	5.1	9.7	9.4		
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.2		
District	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	5.2	11.0	10.1		
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.0	0.1		
State	11-12	12-13	13-14		
Suspensions Rate	5.7	5.1	4.4		
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1		

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2013-14 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria				
AYP Criteria	School	District		
Made AYP Overall				
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts				
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics				
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts				
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics				
Met API Criteria				

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

2014-15 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	51				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improv	87.9				

<sup>\*</sup> DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0.0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.04			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0			
Psychologist	.33			
Social Worker	.10			
Nurse	.20			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist 1.0				
Resource Specialist 1.0				
Other 1.6				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
A	Number of Classrooms*											
AVE	rage C	iass Siz	e		1-20		21-32			33+		
Grade	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14	12	13	14
Kinder.	33.5	18	16	0	4	3	1		2	1		
Gr. 1	29	27	15	0		3	2	2	2	0		
Gr. 2	29.5	24	13	0	1	4	2	2	2	0		
Gr. 3	22.3	28	20	1		2	2	2	2	0		
Gr. 4	28.5	19	13	0	1	5	2	2	1	0		
Gr. 5	31.5	22	10	0	1	6	2	2	1	0		
Gr. 6	28	22	12	0	1	5	2	2	2	0		
Other	0			2			0			0		
English	35.6	22		0	2		4	3		1		
Math	30	25	21	0	2	4	3	3		0		
Science	31	23		0	1		2	2		0		
SS	45.5	29		0			2	2		2		

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education** 

FY 2012-13 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,408	\$41,761			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,951	\$66,895			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$75,526	\$86,565			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$104,770	\$108,011			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$113,058			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,283	\$123,217			
Superintendent Salary	\$225,000	\$227,183			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	36	38			
Administrative Salaries	5	5			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2012-13 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary		
School Site	\$7,143	\$3,025	\$4,119	\$66,375		
District	•	+	\$4,980	\$61,189		
State			\$4,690	\$70,720		
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-17.3	8.5		
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-12.2	-6.1		

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education. • no data required.

## Types of Services Funded at Victory Elementary

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

#### Professional Development provided for Teachers at Victory Elementary

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2013-2014 and two are planned for 2014-2015. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.