

Adams Elementary

6402 Inglewood Ave. • Stockton, CA 95207-3829 • (209) 933-7155 • Grades K-8
Sharon Womble, Principal
swomble@stocktonusd.net
http://jaes-susd-ca.schoolloop.com/

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St. Stockton, CA 95202-1634 (209) 933-7000 www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen

Andrea Burrise

Kathleen Garcia

Colleen Keenan

Maria Mendez

Angela Phillips

Steve Smith

District Administration

Ms. Julie Penn

Superintendent

Ms. Julie Penn

Interim Superintendent

Dr. Mark Hagemann
Interim Deputy Superintendent

Principal's Message

The goal of John Adams Elementary School is to provide an instructional program that reflects high expectations for all students and focuses on mastery of the Common Core Standards. Our dedicated staff uses a variety of strategies to encourage students to become lifelong learners and productive citizens. Instructional practices are well planned and include interactive activities appropriate to students' developmental levels. Students have opportunities to learn keyboarding and computer skills, as well as the arts. Our curriculum is designed to support the academic, social, emotional, and physical aspects of child development. A partnership between home, school, and the community ensures a successful experience for each member of our school family. Teachers work collaboratively to promote high expectations in regard to students' academic achievement.

OUR VISION:

Achieving
Dreams through
Attitude
Motivation and
Spirit

OUR MISSION:

We commit to cultivating positive and safe learning environments by focusing on collaboration and research-based instruction to ensure student learning.

Sharon Womble, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7155 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kindergarten	75			
Grade 1	63			
Grade 2	55			
Grade 3	61			
Grade 4	65			
Grade 5	75			
Grade 6	55			
Grade 7	56			
Grade 8	38			
Total Enrollment	543			

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group						
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment					
Black or African American	19.3					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.1					
Asian	18.4					
Filipino	2.2					
Hispanic or Latino	47.7					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4					
White	7					
Two or More Races	3.9					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.5					
English Learners	28					
Students with Disabilities	11					
Foster Youth	2.2					

SOURCE: California Department of Education

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Adams Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	22	21	23			
Without Full Credential	1	2	3			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Stockton Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	*	+	1437			
Without Full Credential	•	*	133			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	12			

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district. • no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School									
Adams Elementary 13-14 14-15 15-16									
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0						
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0						
Vacant Teacher Positions	3	0	2						

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
This School	100.0	0.0					
	Districtwide						
All Schools	All Schools 95.8 4.2						
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2					
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0					

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: Sep	tember 2015					
Core Curriculum Area	Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core Adopted in 2014	e State Standards					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007						
	Earth Science Adopted in 2007						
	Life Science						
	Adopted in 2007						
	Physical Science						
	Adopted in 2007						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006						
	6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0					

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Age of buildings

Each school site has classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library and an administration building. The number of classrooms at each school site varies, depending on enrollment and available space. Several sites have portable class rooms to accommodate increased enrollment and to meet the guidelines for Class Size Reduction (CSR). There are a total of eighteen portable classrooms at Adams School. Six of them were added in 1997 to accommodate class-size reduction; the remainders are over twenty years old.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 6/18/2015						
System Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces				х	Multipurpose/kitchen: floor tile crack in kitchen. P-21: rips on walls P-25: rips on walls P-31: carpet worn.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				overall: paint peeling on overhang.	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
		Χ				

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards Subject (grades 3-8 and 11)						
	School	District	State			
ELA	16	23	44			
Math	11	17	33			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District State								
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	25	25 30 22 32 31 29 59 60 56							

^{*} Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6			
5	22.10	25.00	2.90			
7	19.60	28.60	12.50			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
·	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	29			
All Student at the School	22			
Male	21			
Female	23			
Black or African American	1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	F			
Asian	14			
Filipino	-			
Hispanic or Latino	21			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	-			
White	1			
Two or More Races	ŀ			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6			
English Learners	0			
Students with Disabilities	20			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade **Student Group Standard Standard Standard** Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** All Students 98.4 96.9 98.6 98.3 93.1 97.2 Male 56.3 55.4 53.6 45.8 41.4 50.0 **Female** 42.2 41.5 44.9 52.5 51.7 47.2 **Black or African American** 14.1 21.5 13.0 16.9 13.8 19.4 American Indian or Alaska Native 1.5 2.9 1.7 3.4 Asian 20.3 16.9 30.4 18.6 19.0 13.9

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Percent of Students Number of Students** Grade **Student Group Standard Standard Standard** Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Filipino 3.1 1.5 1.4 1.7 1.7 8.3 --**Hispanic or Latino** 51.6 47.7 37.7 45.8 51.7 44.4 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1.4 1.7 --__ White 4.7 7.7 8.7 8.5 1.7 11.1 Two or More Races 4.7 2.9 3.4 1.7 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 93.8 92.3 89.9 88.1 77.6 86.1 Students with Disabilities 4.7 ----16.9 23.2 20.3 15.5 22.2

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade **Student Group** Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled Tested** Tested **Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Students Receiving Migrant Education 3 64 1 1.6 Services 5 69 1 1.4 **Foster Youth** 3 ----4 5 6 7

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	f Students		Pe	ercent of Studer	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	64	63	98.4	63	22	11	3
	4	65	62	95.4	44	44	8	5
	5	69	69	100.0	62	32	6	0
	6	59	58	98.3	69	21	3	7
	7	58	55	94.8	49	44	7	0
	8	36	35	97.2	46	34	17	3
Male	3	64	36	56.3	67	22	6	6
	4	65	36	55.4	42	44	8	6
	5	69	37	53.6	68	27	5	0
	6	59	27	45.8	70	22	7	0
	7	58	24	41.4	54	38	8	0
	8	36	18	50.0	44	50	6	0
Female	3	64	27	42.2	59	22	19	0
	4	65	26	40.0	46	42	8	4
	5	69	32	46.4	56	38	6	0
	6	59	31	52.5	68	19	0	13
	7	58	31	53.4	45	48	6	0
	8	36	17	47.2	47	18	29	6

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade **Student Group Standard Standard Standard** Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded Black or African American** 14.1 20.0 13.0 16.9 15.5 19.4 American Indian or Alaska Native 1.5 2.9 1.7 3.4 Asian 20.3 16.9 30.4 18.6 19.0 13.9 **Filipino** 3.1 1.5 1.4 1.7 1.7 8.3 **Hispanic or Latino** 51.6 47.7 37.7 45.8 51.7 44.4 **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** 1.4 1.7 ------White 4.7 ----7.7 8.7 8.5 1.7 11.1

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students Student Group** Grade Standard **Standard Standard** Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Two or More Races 3 64 3 4.7 5 69 3 4.3 6 59 2 3.4 7 58 1 1.7 ------Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 60 64 93.8 63 22 12 3 4 59 90.8 42 44 8 5 65 5 69 63 91.3 59 35 6 0 6 59 52 88.1 69 21 6 7 58 45 77.6 47 9 0 44 8 3 36 31 86.1 48 32 16 Students with Disabilities 3 64 3 4.7 4 65 11 16.9 73 18 0 5 69 16 23.2 75 25 n 0 6 12 20.3 92 8 n 59 n 7 58 9 15.5 8 36 8 22.2 Students Receiving Migrant Education 3 64 1 1.6 Services 5 69 1.4 1 **Foster Youth** 3 --4 5 6 7

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

8

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement.

After School Programs

Counseling services when available

English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)

Family Health Centers and programs when and where available

Parent/Student conferences when possible

Parent/Teacher organizations (PTA/PTO/PTC) School Readiness Program (ages 0-5) School Site Council (SSC) Parenting Partners

The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

Monitoring student attendance

Monitoring completion of student homework

Monitoring and regulating television viewing and video games

Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees

Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning

Volunteering in the classroom

Adams has a Parent Teacher Association called VIPS

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed yearly by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. The principal shares and reviews the plans with the staff at faculty meetings. Teachers then review safety procedures with the students in their classrooms. The principal, throughout the year, focuses on specific sections of the plan and reviews the section via e-mail with the staff. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Campus Security Assistant, yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before and during school. The playground is safe for all students. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times. All volunteers have gone through fingerprinting and are current with their TB test.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	8.97	14.75	7.70			
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00			
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	10.96	10.09	9.39			
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.08	0.08			
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80			
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09			

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria						
AYP Criteria School District State English Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes			

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program						
Indicator	Indicator School Distric					
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement 50						
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	86.2					

SOURCE: California Department of Education

SOURCE: California Department of Education

wasa Cla		Average Clas	s Size and	Class Size	Distribution	on (Elemer	ntary)						
voce Cle					Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)								
	Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
rage Cia	ss size		1-20			21-32			33+				
.2-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
20	18	15	4	4	2			3					
27	28	20		1	2	2	2	2					
30	28	17		1	2	2	2	2					
23	23	21	1	1	2	2	2	2					
29	25	20		1	2	2	1	2		1			
31	21	28		1	3	2	2	1			10		
33	26	24		1	1		3	12	1	1			
	14			1									
2	2-13 20 27 27 20 27 29 29 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	2-13 2013-14 20 18 27 28 28 23 23 29 25 21 21 23 26	2-13 2013-14 2014-15 20 18 15 27 28 20 30 28 17 23 23 21 29 25 20 31 21 28 33 26 24	2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 20 18 15 4 27 28 20 3 30 28 17 3 23 21 1 1 29 25 20 3 31 21 28 3 33 26 24 3	1-20 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 20 18 15 4 4 27 28 20 1 30 28 17 1 23 23 21 1 1 29 25 20 1 31 21 28 1 33 26 24 1	1-20 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 20 18 15 4 4 2 27 28 20 1 2 30 28 17 1 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 29 25 20 1 2 31 21 28 1 3 33 26 24 1 1	1-20 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 20 18 15 4 4 2 27 28 20 1 2 2 30 28 17 1 2 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 2 29 25 20 1 2 2 31 21 28 1 3 2 33 26 24 1 1 1	1-20 21-32 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 20 18 15 4 4 2 2 27 28 20 1 2 2 2 28 17 1 2 2 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 2 2 29 25 20 1 2 2 1 31 21 28 1 3 2 2 33 26 24 1 1 1 3	1-20 21-32 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2013-14 2014-15 20 18 15 4 4 2 3 27 28 20 1 2 2 2 2 20 28 17 1 2 2 2 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 2 2 2 29 25 20 1 2 2 1 2 31 21 28 1 3 2 2 1 33 26 24 1 1 3 12	1-20 21-32 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 20 18 15 4 4 2 3 3 27 28 20 1 2 2 2 2 2 20 28 17 1 2 2 2 2 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 29 25 20 1 2 2 1 2 31 21 28 1 3 2 2 1 33 26 24 1 1 3 12 1	1-20 21-32 33+ 2-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 20 18 15 4 4 2 3 3 9 27 28 20 1 2 2 2 2 2 30 28 17 1 2 2 2 2 2 23 23 21 1 1 2 2 2 2 29 25 20 1 2 2 1 2 1 31 21 28 1 3 2 2 1 1 33 26 24 1 1 3 12 1 1		

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*									
	Average Ci	ass size		1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	23	8	7	1	1	2	2					
Math	28						4					
Science	27						3					
SS	26						2					

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)					
Academic Counselor 0					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.04				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0				
Psychologist	0.5				
Social Worker	0.2				
Nurse	0.2				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.5				
Resource Specialist	1				
Other	1.2				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member					
Academic Counselor					

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,802	\$43,165				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,551	\$68,574				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,281	\$89,146				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,299	\$111,129				
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$116,569				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,870	\$127,448				
Superintendent Salary	\$232,300	\$234,382				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	35%	38%				
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%				

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards

Title I Homeless

Title I Migrant Education

Title II Improving Teacher Quality

Title III Limited English Proficient Students

Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)

Extended Day Programs

English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2015-2016. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based instructional coache, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Level	Exp	Pupil Average				
Levei	Total	Restricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	4983.43	1193.64	3789.79	53544		
District	•	*	4983.64	\$61,632		
State			\$5,348	\$72,971		
Percent Diffe	rence: School	-24.0	-12.5			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-19.2	-24.3			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

[♦] no data required.