



Commodore Stockton Skills

2725 Michigan Ave. • Stockton, CA 95204 • (209) 933-7170 • Grades K-8

Gina Lopez , Principal

gmlopez@stocktonusd.net

<http://cses-susd-ca.schoolloop.com>

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Colleen Keenan
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Ms. Julie Penn
Superintendent
Ms. Julie Penn
Interim Superintendent
Dr. Mark Hagemann
Interim Deputy Superintendent

Commodore Stockton Skills School Vision,

Commodore Stockton Skills School provides a safe, caring, nurturing, risk-free environment that promotes high expectations and mastery of standards for all students.

Commodore Stockton Skills School Mission,

The academically oriented Commodore Stockton Skills School is built on the belief that the major role of the school is to provide children with the basic academic tools to become productive and responsible citizens. The philosophy professes the establishment of definite standards of behavior and skill mastery. All children are expected to master sequential, developmental grade level standards. It is further believed that greater accountability should be expected from parents to develop individual growth and responsibility in their children. The educational experience at Commodore Stockton Skills School will build within each child a sense of responsibility, confidence, pride, and a positive self-image through tangible accomplishments and proven academic achievement within a safe environment.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7170 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	120
Grade 1	121
Grade 2	125
Grade 3	116
Grade 4	126
Grade 5	119
Grade 6	122
Grade 7	120
Grade 8	115
Total Enrollment	1,084

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	8.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	7.1
Asian	4.5
Filipino	4.9
Hispanic or Latino	58.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
White	13.7
Two or More Races	2.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	73.5
English Learners	9.1
Students with Disabilities	4.8
Foster Youth	0.5

SOURCE: California Department of Education

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Commodore Stockton Skills	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	40	42	37
Without Full Credential	1	1	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1437
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	133
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	12

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

♦ no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Commodore Stockton Skills	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	3	1	2

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	98.5	1.5
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.8	4.2
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 Earth Science Adopted in 2007 Life Science Adopted in 2007 Physical Science Adopted in 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Commodore Stockton Skills School has 40 classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library, a computer lab, a gymnasium, a music room and an administration building. At Commodore Stockton Skills School the buildings are about 55 years old. The site was the original home of Daniel Webster Junior High School. The school was refurbished and modernized to accommodate elementary students beginning with the 2007-08 school year.

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The Administration works daily with the Custodial Staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/30/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X		boys & girls restrooms: boys RR missing wall tile, girls RR missing vent cover mian hallwyy. Multipurpose/kitchen: cracked floor tile in MP rm 13: missing and stained ceiling tile rm 21: missing and stained ceiling tile rm 24: missing and stained ceiling tile rm 37: missing and stained ceiling tile rm 58: missing and stained ceiling tile
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				overall: concrete walkway trip hazard, overhang has wood rot, squirrel problem.
Electrical: Electrical	X				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				rm 62: crack on south wall.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				overall: concrete walkway trip hazard, overhang has wood rot, squirrel problem.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
		X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	40	23	44
Math	32	17	33

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	53	63	60	32	31	29	59	60	56

* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	15.40	17.10	37.60
7	25.20	24.30	40.50

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	29
All Student at the School	60
Male	60
Female	60
Black or African American	47
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	58
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	--
White	58
Two or More Races	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	59
Foster Youth	--

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	116	116	100.0	28	38	22	12
	4	125	125	100.0	34	30	22	14
	5	118	117	99.2	26	31	33	10
	6	114	112	98.2	26	28	37	9
	7	114	114	100.0	25	39	32	4
	8	114	111	97.4	12	41	42	5
Male	3	116	61	52.6	36	41	18	5
	4	125	61	48.8	38	36	16	10
	5	118	54	45.8	30	33	31	6
	6	114	55	48.2	33	33	29	4
	7	114	55	48.2	35	38	24	4
	8	114	51	44.7	18	45	31	6

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Female	3	116	55	47.4	20	35	25	20
	4	125	64	51.2	31	25	27	17
	5	118	63	53.4	22	29	35	14
	6	114	57	50.0	19	23	44	14
	7	114	59	51.8	15	41	39	5
	8	114	60	52.6	7	38	52	3
Black or African American	3	116	2	1.7	--	--	--	--
	4	125	14	11.2	43	29	21	7
	5	118	6	5.1	--	--	--	--
	6	114	10	8.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	11	9.6	27	64	9	0
	8	114	19	16.7	16	53	32	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	116	7	6.0	--	--	--	--
	4	125	9	7.2	--	--	--	--
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	12	10.5	58	8	25	8
	7	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	8	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	116	5	4.3	--	--	--	--
	4	125	5	4.0	--	--	--	--
	5	118	5	4.2	--	--	--	--
	6	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	8	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
Filipino	3	116	8	6.9	--	--	--	--
	4	125	2	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	7	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
	8	114	8	7.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	116	78	67.2	29	42	18	10
	4	125	72	57.6	40	28	18	14
	5	118	74	62.7	28	31	34	7
	6	114	64	56.1	27	30	34	8
	7	114	60	52.6	28	35	35	2
	8	114	55	48.2	13	38	49	0

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	8	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
White	3	116	16	13.8	38	31	31	0
	4	125	21	16.8	24	29	24	24
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	16	14.0	13	31	50	6
	7	114	20	17.5	15	40	30	15
	8	114	12	10.5	0	42	33	25
Two or More Races	4	125	2	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	118	2	1.7	--	--	--	--
	6	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	8	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	116	87	75.0	30	39	20	11
	4	125	93	74.4	41	30	19	10
	5	118	84	71.2	26	33	32	8
	6	114	82	71.9	32	26	33	9
	7	114	88	77.2	27	38	32	3
	8	114	75	65.8	15	43	40	3
Students with Disabilities	3	116	8	6.9	--	--	--	--
	4	125	8	6.4	--	--	--	--
	5	118	8	6.8	--	--	--	--
	6	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
	7	114	5	4.4	--	--	--	--
	8	114	4	3.5	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	6	114	1	0.9	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	116	116	100.0	31	31	27	11
	4	125	125	100.0	20	42	26	12
	5	118	117	99.2	25	42	21	12
	6	114	112	98.2	26	31	30	12
	7	114	113	99.1	34	41	18	8
	8	114	111	97.4	41	42	11	5
Male	3	116	61	52.6	25	41	28	7
	4	125	61	48.8	26	36	28	10
	5	118	54	45.8	22	44	22	11
	6	114	55	48.2	31	27	29	11
	7	114	54	47.4	37	35	19	9
	8	114	51	44.7	37	43	16	4
Female	3	116	55	47.4	38	20	25	16
	4	125	64	51.2	14	47	25	14
	5	118	63	53.4	27	40	21	13
	6	114	57	50.0	21	35	32	12
	7	114	59	51.8	31	46	17	7
	8	114	60	52.6	45	42	7	7
Black or African American	3	116	2	1.7	--	--	--	--
	4	125	14	11.2	36	43	21	0
	5	118	6	5.1	--	--	--	--
	6	114	10	8.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	11	9.6	55	36	9	0
	8	114	19	16.7	37	53	5	5
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	116	7	6.0	--	--	--	--
	4	125	9	7.2	--	--	--	--
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	12	10.5	33	33	25	8
	7	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	8	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	116	5	4.3	--	--	--	--
	4	125	5	4.0	--	--	--	--
	5	118	5	4.2	--	--	--	--
	6	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	8	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Filipino	3	116	8	6.9	--	--	--	--
	4	125	2	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	6	5.3	--	--	--	--
	7	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
	8	114	8	7.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	116	78	67.2	29	33	27	10
	4	125	72	57.6	24	39	25	13
	5	118	74	62.7	28	46	16	9
	6	114	64	56.1	27	39	27	6
	7	114	59	51.8	37	44	14	5
	8	114	55	48.2	47	40	11	2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	8	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
White	3	116	16	13.8	25	44	25	6
	4	125	21	16.8	10	38	29	24
	5	118	10	8.5	--	--	--	--
	6	114	16	14.0	25	13	44	19
	7	114	20	17.5	25	25	30	20
	8	114	12	10.5	25	50	17	8
Two or More Races	4	125	2	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	118	2	1.7	--	--	--	--
	6	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	7	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
	8	114	2	1.8	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	116	87	75.0	33	32	22	13
	4	125	93	74.4	25	39	28	9
	5	118	84	71.2	25	45	18	12
	6	114	82	71.9	29	35	27	7
	7	114	88	77.2	36	42	17	5
	8	114	75	65.8	45	37	13	4
Students with Disabilities	3	116	8	6.9	--	--	--	--
	4	125	8	6.4	--	--	--	--
	5	118	8	6.8	--	--	--	--
	6	114	7	6.1	--	--	--	--
	7	114	5	4.4	--	--	--	--
	8	114	4	3.5	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	6	114	1	0.9	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement. At Commodore Stockton Skills School, parents are encouraged to volunteer in their child’s classroom, become a member of the Commodore Parent Teacher Organization (CPTO) and School Site Council. The principal is the primary contact person for parents interested in participating in school activities.

- After School Programs (Tutoring, MESA)
- Counseling services
- English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)
- Parent Resource Center
- Parent/Student conferences when possible
- Parent/Teacher organizations (CPTO)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- Parent Cougar Coffee Hour
- Family Nights
- Picnic in the Park

The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

- Monitoring student attendance
- Monitoring completion of student homework
- Monitoring and regulating television viewing
- Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees
- Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning
- Volunteering in the classroom
- Student Study Teams

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school’s office.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. Evacuations and other safety drills are conducted regularly. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during the close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the Principal and/or Assistant Principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which was recently reviewed by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis. Our latest School Safety Plan was submitted to the District Office for approval in September, 2015.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before and during school. The playground is safe for all students. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	6.05	6.73	7.34
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	10.96	10.09	9.39
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.08	0.08
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

SOURCE: California Department of Education

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	19	17	20	6	8	4			2			
1	31	29	26		1	1	4	4	4			
2	29	17	18		4	4	4	4	4			
3	29	18	26		3	1	4	4	4			
4	28	27	28		1	1	4	4	4			
5	30	25	27		1	1	4	4	4			
6	30	29	25			1	4	4	4			

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	26		10	3		1	9					
Math	25	26	29	2			7	5	2			1
Science	29						8					
SS	29						8					

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.04
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0.33
Social Worker	0.04
Nurse	0.6
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5
Resource Specialist	0.8
Other	1
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development and follow up yearly trainings are offered.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA/ Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings. Professional Learning Community Training to the leadership teachers by Richard Dewey monthly - began Sept. 2015.

All faculty meetings have been turned into professional development - Data Cycle - Dufour's Critical 4 Questions, Data Analysis, CELDT training, ELD training, Review of SARC Data Training, CARE/SAP Team Training.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,802	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,551	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,281	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,299	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,870	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$232,300	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	35%	38%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	4600.19	490.73	4109.46	63379.43
District	◆	◆	4983.67	\$61,632
State	◆	◆	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-17.5	3.6
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-12.4	-10.4

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.

◆ no data required.