

Grunsky Elementary


1550 N. School St. • Stockton, CA 95205 • (209) 933-7200 • Grades K-8

Michael Sousa, Principal

michaelsousa@stocktonusd.net

<http://lges-susd-ca.schoolloop.com/>

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Colleen Keenan
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Ms. Julie Penn
Superintendent
Ms. Julie Penn
Interim Superintendent
Dr. Mark Hagemann
Interim Deputy Superintendent

School Description

Mission: Grunsky Elementary School embraces our district's motto of "People Working Together, Sharing Responsibility toward a Common Goal-- Student Success." The Grunsky Mission is to ensure high levels of learning for all students.

Vision: Grunsky, in partnership with parents and community, will establish foundational skills by providing a positive, safe, supportive school and have students strive for academic, social, and emotional excellence.

Principal's Message: Grunsky Elementary offers a solid academic program that focuses on promoting maximum academic achievement for every child. Teacher instruction and assessments are based on the California Content Standards. Individual student learning profiles are utilized to identify each student's learning needs and to place him/her in an appropriate program. Teachers use formative Unit of Study and MAP assessments to monitor student progress and to adjust their instruction so that all learners meet their academic goals. A Program Specialist and Instructional Coach support/coach our staff in the implementation of school wide researched-based strategies and implement small group instruction for at risk learners. Students are given an opportunity to attend after school tutoring for additional academic or language support. We work collaboratively with our families and outside community agencies to increase family involvement in our school community. University of Pacific and Stockton Assistance League provides ongoing support to our school by offering services. We promote good citizenship through the implementation of our character education program. Grunsky students are continually demonstrating an increase in academic achievement and we will continue to strive to close the achievement gap for all students.

School Description: Grunsky is a kindergarten through eighth grade campus of approximately 550 students not including 48 preschoolers. The vast majority of our students are Hispanic (74%). One hundred percent of our students fall into the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) sub-group receiving free and reduced lunch. Grunsky's staff is comprised of 20 general education teachers from K-8, 2 Special Education teachers, 2 preschool teachers (a.m. & p.m.), part-time resource teacher, speech pathologist, and counselor, and a full-time program specialist, instructional coach and intervention teacher. Grunsky has a full-functioning library with a part-time librarian. Additionally, Grunsky has one bilingual paraprofessional who provides primary language support to English Language Learners (ELLs) with CELDT levels 1 & 2.

The primary goal of the Grunsky Staff and Teachers is to ensure a safe and secure learning environment where all students can learn to be good citizens and achieve at their highest academic level. In order to accomplish this goal Grunsky teachers are committed to working together as a Professional Learning Community (PLC) whereby not only student achievement is the highest priority but teacher instructional improvement through ongoing weekly collaboration and professional development. Our commitment to the PLC process and the continuous use of student data allows us to monitor student progress on an ongoing basis. Grunsky follows the Response to Intervention (RTI) model where students who are progressing rapidly are provided with enrichment and the students falling behind are provided with interventions. Students are provided additional academic support through Grunsky's after-school tutorial (i.e. STEP Up & SES programs).

Technology infusion in the classrooms plays an integral part of student learning and preparation for the 21st century. Grunsky has 567 computers that are available to students. These computers are utilized for the following: administration of assessments and reinforcement of skills in both ELA and Math. In order to provide students with additional learning of math concepts, students work weekly on ST Math to develop algebraic concept skills. To provide appropriate intervention in English Language Arts and Math, students are assessed in the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) that determines skills that need to be retaught or reinforced. Additionally, students are given access to Compass Learning at home and school. Compass Learning allows students to strengthen their ELA skills through assignments that are based on the MAP test. In order to develop computer literacy skills and to prepare for SBAC assessments, students are provided scheduled computer time to further develop these skills.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7200 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	64
Grade 1	64
Grade 2	63
Grade 3	66
Grade 4	65
Grade 5	57
Grade 6	69
Grade 7	65
Grade 8	61
Total Enrollment	574

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.7
Asian	6.1
Filipino	0.2
Hispanic or Latino	72.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.7
White	10.5
Two or More Races	2.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.4
English Learners	34.1
Students with Disabilities	7.7
Foster Youth	0.3

SOURCE: California Department of Education

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Grunsky Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	23	22	21
Without Full Credential	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	◆	◆	1437
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	133
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	12

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.
◆ no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Grunsky Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.8	4.2
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 Earth Science Adopted in 2007 Life Science Adopted in 2007 Physical Science Adopted in 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Grunsky's main campus was built in 1977. In 2010-2011 Grunsky's permanent building was completely remodeled and students returned to a new modern facility during the 2011-2012 school year. Grunsky has 34 classrooms which include 10 portables. Grunsky has a remodeled multipurpose room, library, administration office and modern computer lab.

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Stockton Unified School District to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/14/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X		P-1: rips on walls. P-4: rips on walls, carpet worn&missing baseboard. rm 1: stained ceiling tile. rm 20: stained ceiling tile.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical	X				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				X	overall: asphalt walkway by portables in bad condition.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
			X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	13	23	44
Math	18	17	33

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	25	50	39	32	31	29	59	60	56

* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	25.00	14.30	7.10
7	31.10	16.40	19.70

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
	All Students in the LEA
All Student at the School	39
Male	36
Female	42
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Hispanic or Latino	34
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	--
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	7
Students with Disabilities	38
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--
Foster Youth	--

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	68	67	98.5	63	21	13	3
	4	62	62	100.0	81	11	5	2
	5	58	58	100.0	76	12	12	0
	6	72	71	98.6	45	34	20	0
	7	59	59	100.0	58	34	7	2
	8	67	62	92.5	44	40	16	0
Male	3	68	31	45.6	68	16	13	3
	4	62	33	53.2	79	9	6	3
	5	58	35	60.3	77	14	9	0
	6	72	29	40.3	69	21	7	0
	7	59	27	45.8	67	30	4	0
	8	67	28	41.8	61	25	14	0
Female	3	68	36	52.9	58	25	14	3
	4	62	29	46.8	83	14	3	0
	5	58	23	39.7	74	9	17	0
	6	72	42	58.3	29	43	29	0
	7	59	32	54.2	50	38	9	3
	8	67	34	50.7	29	53	18	0
Black or African American	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	3	4.8	--	--	--	--
	5	58	9	15.5	--	--	--	--
	6	72	5	6.9	--	--	--	--
	7	59	3	5.1	--	--	--	--
	8	67	5	7.5	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	5	58	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	8	67	4	6.0	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	7	11.3	--	--	--	--
	5	58	3	5.2	--	--	--	--
	6	72	3	4.2	--	--	--	--
	7	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
	8	67	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
Filipino	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	3	68	51	75.0	59	22	16	4
	4	62	44	71.0	82	9	5	2
	5	58	41	70.7	78	12	10	0
	6	72	50	69.4	40	36	22	0
	7	59	41	69.5	51	37	10	2
	8	67	44	65.7	50	32	18	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	62	3	4.8	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	8	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--
White	3	68	7	10.3	--	--	--	--
	4	62	5	8.1	--	--	--	--
	5	58	4	6.9	--	--	--	--
	6	72	9	12.5	--	--	--	--
	7	59	9	15.3	--	--	--	--
	8	67	6	9.0	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	55	80.9	67	20	9	4
	4	62	58	93.5	79	12	5	2
	5	58	55	94.8	76	11	13	0
	6	72	65	90.3	46	32	20	0
	7	59	56	94.9	59	36	5	0
	8	67	57	85.1	44	40	16	0
Students with Disabilities	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	4	6.5	--	--	--	--
	5	58	8	13.8	--	--	--	--
	6	72	8	11.1	--	--	--	--
	7	59	6	10.2	--	--	--	--
	8	67	8	11.9	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	8	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	68	67	98.5	37	31	22	9
	4	62	62	100.0	42	42	15	2
	5	58	56	96.6	71	21	4	4
	6	72	72	100.0	53	25	17	4
	7	59	59	100.0	54	27	15	3
	8	67	63	94.0	60	25	11	3
Male	3	68	31	45.6	35	32	19	13
	4	62	33	53.2	39	39	18	3
	5	58	33	56.9	73	21	3	3
	6	72	29	40.3	76	7	14	3
	7	59	27	45.8	63	19	15	4
	8	67	29	43.3	72	21	3	3
Female	3	68	36	52.9	39	31	25	6
	4	62	29	46.8	45	45	10	0
	5	58	23	39.7	70	22	4	4
	6	72	43	59.7	37	37	19	5
	7	59	32	54.2	47	34	16	3
	8	67	34	50.7	50	29	18	3
Black or African American	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	3	4.8	--	--	--	--
	5	58	7	12.1	--	--	--	--
	6	72	5	6.9	--	--	--	--
	7	59	3	5.1	--	--	--	--
	8	67	5	7.5	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	5	58	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	8	67	4	6.0	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	68	4	5.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	7	11.3	--	--	--	--
	5	58	3	5.2	--	--	--	--
	6	72	4	5.6	--	--	--	--
	7	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
	8	67	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
Filipino	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	68	51	75.0	35	33	20	12
	4	62	44	71.0	41	48	9	2
	5	58	41	70.7	76	20	2	2
	6	72	50	69.4	54	22	18	4
	7	59	41	69.5	51	27	17	5
	8	67	45	67.2	64	24	7	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	62	3	4.8	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
	8	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--
White	3	68	7	10.3	--	--	--	--
	4	62	5	8.1	--	--	--	--
	5	58	4	6.9	--	--	--	--
	6	72	9	12.5	--	--	--	--
	7	59	9	15.3	--	--	--	--
	8	67	6	9.0	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	3	68	1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	6	72	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	7	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	55	80.9	38	31	22	9
	4	62	58	93.5	41	43	14	2
	5	58	54	93.1	70	22	4	4
	6	72	66	91.7	52	26	18	3
	7	59	56	94.9	55	29	14	2
	8	67	58	86.6	60	24	12	3

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Students with Disabilities	3	68	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	4	62	4	6.5	--	--	--	--
	5	58	8	13.8	--	--	--	--
	6	72	8	11.1	--	--	--	--
	7	59	6	10.2	--	--	--	--
	8	67	8	11.9	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	4	62	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	8	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent Involvement: Grunsky seeks to develop a strong partnership with parents and community members. We understand the huge impact that parent involvement makes on student achievement. Parents benefit the school's programs by their direct and indirect participation in:

- School Site Council (SSC)
- English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC/ELPIC)
- District English Language Advisory Committee (DELAC)
- Parent Teacher Student Association
- Parenting Partners Classes (nutrition and computer classes)
- District Advisory Committee
- Classroom volunteering
- Coffee Socials
- Back to School Night / Title 1 Annual Parent Meeting
- Math Night / Literacy/Data Night
- *Parent/Student/ Teacher Conferences

We welcome all parents or guardians to the school and those wishing to volunteer may contact Michael Sousa, Principal, at (209) 933-7200.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

School Safety Plan: Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during the summer or other extended breaks. The state Williams Team inspects Grunsky annually to verify state health and safety regulations are met. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which was most recently reviewed in March 2015 by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools Plan (REMS) Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis. The ParentLink message system informs parents in a timely manner of any important safety concerns occurring on campus.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Yard supervisors, a full time Campus Safety Assistant, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before, during and after school. At 8:05 a.m. all school gates are locked and visitors must sign in at the office to receive proper authorization to be on campus. While on campus visitors must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.44	9.09	14.67
Expulsions Rate	0.16	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	10.96	10.09	9.39
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.08	0.08
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

SOURCE: California Department of Education

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	1998-1999	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	18	16	22	4	6	1			3			
1	31	26	23		1	2	2	2	2			
2	33	25	21		1	2		2	2	1		
3	32	19	23		2	2	2	2				2
4	17	27	22	1		2		2	1	1		1
5	33	22	18		1	2		2	2	2		
6	26	16	17	1	4	3	1	2	2	1		
Other		2			1							

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	25	10	21	2	2	1	3			1		
Math	28	28					6	1				
Science	26						4					
SS	23			2			2					

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Professional Development: The focus of professional development this year is on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

The Common Core standards and Units of Study instruction was initially provided through two full days of offsite professional development training sessions during 2014-2015. On-going teacher professional development is provided by the site-based ELA and Math coaches, program specialist and staff members. To enhance Common Core standard knowledge and Unit of Study practice teachers collaborate regularly in Professional Learning Communities/Data Teams to refine and improve instruction to better meet needs of their students based on data.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.6
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.04
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.43
Psychologist	0.33
Social Worker	0.08
Nurse	0.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	0.5
Other	1.4
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,802	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,551	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,281	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,299	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,870	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$232,300	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	35%	38%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5589.97	1269.82	4320.15	65202.18
District	◆	◆	4983.64	\$61,632
State	◆	◆	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-13.3	6.6
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-7.9	-7.8

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.

◆ no data required.