




Stockton High School

22 South Van Buren St. • Stockton, CA 95203 • (209) 933-7365 • Grades 9-12

Maryann Santella, Principal
msantella@stocktonusd.net

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Colleen Keenan
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Ms. Julie Penn
Superintendent
Ms. Julie Penn
Interim Superintendent
Dr. Mark Hagemann
Interim Deputy Superintendent

School Description

Mission: Stockton High School will provide individualized standards-based education to a diverse student population.

Vision: Our vision is to educate and graduate our students with the tools necessary to be productive members of society.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7365 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 10	18
Grade 11	49
Grade 12	124
Total Enrollment	191

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	19.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	6.3
Asian	3.1
Filipino	0.5
Hispanic or Latino	51.8
White	17.8
Two or More Races	0.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.7
English Learners	3.1
Students with Disabilities	3.1
Foster Youth	0.5

SOURCE: California Department of Education

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Stockton High School	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	5	5	5
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	1
Stockton Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1437
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	133
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	12

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

♦ no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Stockton High School	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.8	4.2
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>
Mathematics	<p>9-12 Math, Algebra I, II & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p>Calculus HM Adopted in 2006</p> <p>PreCalculus Adopted in 2006</p> <p>Statistics McDougal Adopted in 2008</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>
Science	<p>California Holt Earth Science Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Biology Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Chemistry: Matter and Change Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Physics: Principles and Problems Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Health Glencoe Adopted in 2005</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>
History-Social Science	<p>World History: The Modern World Adopted in 2007</p> <p>The American Vision: Modern Times Adopted in 2006</p> <p>Magruder's American Government Adopted in 2006</p> <p>Economics: Principles in Action Adopted in 2007</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>

Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Foreign Language	French - McDougal Adopted in 2001 Spanish – Prentice Hall Adopted in 2000 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Stockton High School is located on an older SUSD campus. While we cannot control the age of our home, we keep ALL our classrooms and work stations very clean for both staff and student use. Restrooms are kept clean and sanitary and repairs are completed in a timely manner to ensure safety for all. During the 2012-2013 school year, SHS moved the main office across campus to provide a bigger space and an area for large group meetings. There are no scheduled improvements for 2014.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/27/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X	boys & girls restrooms: girls RR door missing thershold, boys RR cover under sink damage. rm 5: rips on wall.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical		X		rm 13: missing outlet cover on ceiling
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			overall: walk way by office enlevel trip hazard,liquid amber trees dropping seed pods safety concern.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	4	23	44
Math	2	17	33

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	5	25	7	32	31	29	59	60	56

* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	29
All Student at the School	7
Male	--
Female	5
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Hispanic or Latino	10
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	8
Foster Youth	--

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	11	70	45	64.3	58	27	4	0
Male	11	70	25	35.7	60	24	8	0
Female	11	70	20	28.6	55	30	0	0
Black or African American	11	70	7	10.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	70	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
Asian	11	70	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
Filipino	11	70	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	11	70	27	38.6	52	30	7	0
White	11	70	6	8.6	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	11	70	0	0.0	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	70	36	51.4	56	31	3	0
Students with Disabilities	11	70	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	11	70	47	67.1	83	6	2	0
Male	11	70	27	38.6	81	7	4	0
Female	11	70	20	28.6	85	5	0	0
Black or African American	11	70	7	10.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	70	1	1.4	--	--	--	--
Asian	11	70	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
Filipino	11	70	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	11	70	29	41.4	79	7	3	0
White	11	70	6	8.6	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	11	70	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	70	38	54.3	82	8	0	0
Students with Disabilities	11	70	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

We do not have many opportunities for parent involvement since the students are only in class for 2 ½ hours daily. However, there are few parent volunteer opportunities and any parent wishing to volunteer must obtain the mandatory fingerprinting clearance and contact the school office at (209)933-7365.

Stockton High School holds elections for School Site Council (SSC) during Back to School Night.

Parents are encouraged to become involved in their students education and to keep the school informed of any issues or concerns.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Stockton High school has a full time Campus Security Monitor (CSM). Visitors entering our campus are greeted by our CSM and directed to the Main office. All visitors must sign in at the main office prior to walking on campus. We revisit and modify our School Safety Plan at the start of every school year. This year, we revised our plan in August. SHS campus has an Emergency Response and Readiness Plan in place in case of any emergency (this plan also covers safety issues). Various drills are practiced throughout the year to prepare staff and students in case of an actual emergency. Staff discusses and modifies the plan on a continuous basis to ensure the safety of our staff and students at all times. Constant communication and collaboration by staff is a necessity in our Safety Plan. If necessary, a phone message can be sent out from the school informing parents of any emergency.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	0.00	0.31	0.00
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	10.96	10.09	9.39
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.08	0.08
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

SOURCE: California Department of Education

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	No	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	No	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	No	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	3	5	5	18	7	10						
Math	3	5	4	18	10	10						
Science	5	4	5	8	8	7						
SS	3	3	3	25	23	21						

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0.33
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0.05
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	191

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,802	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,551	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,281	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,299	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,870	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$232,300	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	35%	38%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (B TSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary focus of staff development was on the PLC model (Professional Learning Communities). This is a District wide implementation over the last few years. PLC's have been the guiding force in what we do for students. Collaboration is on-going and the focus is student achievement. Collaboration gives each teacher an opportunity to share ideas, information and gain support in struggling areas.

Teachers meet bi-weekly for 1 and 1/2 hours afterschool.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5287.01	182.7	5104.31	64422.19
District	◆	◆	4983.64	\$61,632
State	◆	◆	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			2.4	5.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			8.8	-8.9

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.
◆ no data required.

2014-15 California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group						
Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	60	22	18	55	33	13
All Students at the School	100			95	5	
Male	100			92	8	

2014-15 California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
Hispanic or Latino	100			100		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100			94	6	

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
Stockton High School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	11	16	
Mathematics	4	10	5
Stockton Unified School District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	44	35	34
Mathematics	49	42	38
California	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	57	56	58
Mathematics	60	62	59

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
Stockton High School	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	52.30	19.70	22.40
Graduation Rate	9.09	32.39	37.93
Stockton Unified School District	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	19.40	9.90	17.90
Graduation Rate	71.09	83.09	76.40
California	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	13.10	11.40	11.50
Graduation Rate	78.87	80.44	80.95

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2014		
	School	District	State
All Students	31.54	75.95	84.6
Black or African American	35.29	67.21	76
American Indian or Alaska Native		58.72	78.07
Asian		82.39	92.62
Filipino		87.31	96.49
Hispanic or Latino	32.5	76.3	81.28
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		100	83.58
White	50	76.53	89.93
Two or More Races		81.82	82.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33.33	40	61.28
English Learners	14.29	44.28	50.76
Students with Disabilities	31.76	76.64	81.36
Foster Youth	--	--	--

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	90.58
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Advanced Placement Courses		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students in AP Courses
Computer Science		♦
English		♦
Fine and Performing Arts		♦
Foreign Language		♦
Mathematics		♦
Science		♦
Social Science		♦
All courses		

* Where there are student course enrollments.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

♦ no data required.