

# Victory Elementary

1838 West Rose St. • Stockton, CA 95203 • (209) 933-7310 • Grades K-8

Nancy Lane, Principal  
nlane@stocktonusd.net

## 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



### **Stockton Unified School District**

701 North Madison St.  
Stockton, CA 95202-1634  
(209) 933-7000  
www.stocktonusd.net

#### **District Governing Board**

Gloria Allen  
Andrea Burrise  
Kathleen Garcia  
Colleen Keenan  
Maria Mendez  
Angela Phillips  
Steve Smith

#### **District Administration**

Ms. Julie Penn  
**Superintendent**  
Ms. Julie Penn  
**Interim Superintendent**  
Dr. Mark Hagemann  
**Interim Deputy Superintendent**

### **VICTORY SCHOOL'S MISSION**

OUR MISSION IS TO EMPOWER STUDENTS TO REACH THEIR HIGHEST POTENTIAL, INSPIRE ACADEMIC AND BEHAVIORAL EXCELLENCE, AND ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN OUR ENDEAVOR. EVERY STUDENT, EVERY DAY, WHATEVER IT TAKES!

### **VICTORY SCHOOL'S VISION**

VICTORY SCHOOL'S VISION IS TO PROVIDE A SAFE, CARING, DISCIPLINED AND STIMULATING ENVIRONMENT WHERE CHILDREN WILL ACHIEVE THEIR FULLEST POTENTIAL, SO THEY CAN MAKE THEIR BEST CONTRIBUTION TO OUR WORLD. WE VALUE OUR SCHOOL COMMUNITY AND RECOGNIZE THE ROLE IT PLAYS IN REALIZING OUR VISION

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (209) 933-7310 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	45
Grade 1	65
Grade 2	64
Grade 3	65
Grade 4	60
Grade 5	56
Grade 6	50
Grade 7	68
Grade 8	59
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>532</b>

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	10.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	10
Asian	3
Filipino	0.9
Hispanic or Latino	60.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
White	11.5
Two or More Races	2.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86.1
English Learners	15.6
Students with Disabilities	14.7
Foster Youth	2.1

SOURCE: California Department of Education

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Victory Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	24	23	25
Without Full Credential	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1437
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	133
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	12

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

♦ no data required.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Victory Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	97.4	2.6
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.8	4.2
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
Mathematics	<p>K-8 Math, Algebra I &amp; Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
Science	<p>FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Earth Science Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Life Science Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Physical Science Adopted in 2007</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
History-Social Science	<p>K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006</p> <p>6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school is in good repair, according to the criteria established by the Office of Public School Construction. Our deficiencies are minor ones resulting from common wear and tear, and there are few of them. We scored between 90 and 99 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 6/30/2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			P-35: stained ceiling tile,internet needs outlet cover.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/30/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Electrical: Electrical		X			P- 38: missing outlet cover,ramp has wood rot. P-35: stained ceiling tile,internet needs outlet cover.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				P- 38: missing outlet cover,ramp has wood rot.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				X	overall: playground equipment needs to be repaired.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
			X		

**B. Pupil Outcomes**

**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	26	23	44
Math	19	17	33

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	30	24	29	32	31	29	59	60	56

\* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	27.10	16.90	8.50

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
	All Students in the LEA
All Student at the School	29
Male	24
Female	33
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	27
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	--
White	61
Two or More Races	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	27
Foster Youth	--

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	<b>3</b>	67	65	97.0	57	22	15	6
	<b>4</b>	63	61	96.8	66	13	15	7
	<b>5</b>	60	57	95.0	67	14	19	0
	<b>6</b>	49	49	100.0	59	18	22	0
	<b>7</b>	69	67	97.1	52	18	24	6
	<b>8</b>	59	57	96.6	30	32	33	5
<b>Male</b>	<b>3</b>	67	34	50.7	53	24	18	6
	<b>4</b>	63	29	46.0	72	10	14	3
	<b>5</b>	60	24	40.0	63	21	17	0
	<b>6</b>	49	26	53.1	73	8	19	0
	<b>7</b>	69	33	47.8	61	18	18	3
	<b>8</b>	59	29	49.2	41	34	21	3
<b>Female</b>	<b>3</b>	67	31	46.3	61	19	13	6
	<b>4</b>	63	32	50.8	59	16	16	9
	<b>5</b>	60	33	55.0	70	9	21	0
	<b>6</b>	49	23	46.9	43	30	26	0
	<b>7</b>	69	34	49.3	44	18	29	9
	<b>8</b>	59	28	47.5	18	29	46	7
<b>Black or African American</b>	<b>3</b>	67	3	4.5	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	63	11	17.5	64	9	18	9
	<b>5</b>	60	2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	49	7	14.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>7</b>	69	5	7.2	--	--	--	--
	<b>8</b>	59	5	8.5	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	<b>3</b>	67	9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	63	4	6.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	60	7	11.7	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	49	5	10.2	--	--	--	--
	<b>7</b>	69	8	11.6	--	--	--	--
	<b>8</b>	59	8	13.6	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	<b>3</b>	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	60	2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	49	1	2.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>7</b>	69	3	4.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>8</b>	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Filipino	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	7	69	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	8	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	67	48	71.6	50	27	15	8
	4	63	33	52.4	73	9	12	6
	5	60	36	60.0	72	14	14	0
	6	49	31	63.3	55	16	29	0
	7	69	37	53.6	51	16	27	5
	8	59	26	44.1	15	31	46	8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	8	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
White	3	67	3	4.5	--	--	--	--
	4	63	8	12.7	--	--	--	--
	5	60	10	16.7	--	--	--	--
	6	49	3	6.1	--	--	--	--
	7	69	10	14.5	--	--	--	--
	8	59	13	22.0	23	38	38	0
Two or More Races	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	60	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
	6	49	1	2.0	--	--	--	--
	7	69	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	8	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	67	57	85.1	56	25	12	7
	4	63	53	84.1	64	15	13	8
	5	60	48	80.0	69	15	17	0
	6	49	44	89.8	61	16	23	0
	7	69	58	84.1	55	19	22	3
	8	59	49	83.1	29	37	31	4
Students with Disabilities	3	67	9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	4	63	12	19.0	75	8	8	8
	5	60	12	20.0	83	8	8	0
	6	49	12	24.5	100	0	0	0
	7	69	11	15.9	91	9	0	0
	8	59	9	15.3	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	67	65	97.0	55	20	22	3
	4	63	61	96.8	38	46	11	5
	5	60	57	95.0	65	28	7	0
	6	49	49	100.0	59	24	12	4
	7	69	67	97.1	51	25	15	9
	8	59	57	96.6	53	26	11	11
Male	3	67	34	50.7	50	21	26	3
	4	63	29	46.0	41	41	10	7
	5	60	24	40.0	71	17	13	0
	6	49	26	53.1	69	12	12	8
	7	69	33	47.8	55	27	15	3
	8	59	29	49.2	66	17	10	7
Female	3	67	31	46.3	61	19	16	3
	4	63	32	50.8	34	50	13	3
	5	60	33	55.0	61	36	3	0
	6	49	23	46.9	48	39	13	0
	7	69	34	49.3	47	24	15	15
	8	59	28	47.5	39	36	11	14
Black or African American	3	67	3	4.5	--	--	--	--
	4	63	11	17.5	36	45	18	0
	5	60	2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6	49	7	14.3	--	--	--	--
	7	69	5	7.2	--	--	--	--
	8	59	5	8.5	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	67	9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	4	63	4	6.3	--	--	--	--
	5	60	7	11.7	--	--	--	--
	6	49	5	10.2	--	--	--	--
	7	69	8	11.6	--	--	--	--
	8	59	8	13.6	--	--	--	--
Asian	3	67	2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	60	2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6	49	1	2.0	--	--	--	--
	7	69	3	4.3	--	--	--	--
	8	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
Filipino	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	7	69	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	8	59	2	3.4	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	67	48	71.6	48	25	25	2
	4	63	33	52.4	45	39	9	6
	5	60	36	60.0	72	22	6	0
	6	49	31	63.3	58	23	13	6
	7	69	37	53.6	49	27	19	5
	8	59	26	44.1	46	27	12	15
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	8	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--
White	3	67	3	4.5	--	--	--	--
	4	63	8	12.7	--	--	--	--
	5	60	10	16.7	--	--	--	--
	6	49	3	6.1	--	--	--	--
	7	69	10	14.5	--	--	--	--
	8	59	13	22.0	31	38	23	8
Two or More Races	4	63	1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	5	60	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
	6	49	1	2.0	--	--	--	--
	7	69	2	2.9	--	--	--	--
	8	59	1	1.7	--	--	--	--



**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	<b>3</b>	67	57	85.1	56	21	19	4
	<b>4</b>	63	53	84.1	34	49	11	6
	<b>5</b>	60	48	80.0	69	27	4	0
	<b>6</b>	49	44	89.8	59	25	11	5
	<b>7</b>	69	58	84.1	53	26	14	7
	<b>8</b>	59	49	83.1	55	27	12	6
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>3</b>	67	9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	63	12	19.0	50	42	0	8
	<b>5</b>	60	12	20.0	83	8	8	0
	<b>6</b>	49	12	24.5	100	0	0	0
	<b>7</b>	69	11	15.9	82	18	0	0
	<b>8</b>	59	8	13.6	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	<b>3</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>7</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>8</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement.

Adult Education classes for GED and citizenship

After School Programs

Counseling services when available

English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)

English as a Second Language for adults (ESL) - various school sites

Family Health Centers and programs when and where available

GATE

Parent Resource Center

Parent/Student conferences twice a year

Parent Nights - monthly

Parent/Teacher organizations (PTO)

School Readiness Program (ages 0-5)

School Site Council (SSC)

The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

Monitoring student attendance

Monitoring completion of student homework

Monitoring and regulating television viewing

Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees

Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning

Volunteering in the classroom

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually. A comprehensive School Safety Plan, called REMS (Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools), is updated annually and reviewed by staff and School Site Council. The most recent update of the REMS plan took place in September 2015. It helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis with staff and students. The site's Emergency Response Team meets monthly to review drills and prepare for any potential disasters. We communicate with parents through the district's automated phone message system to inform them of any important safety announcements. Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. A full time Campus Safety Assistant, yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before, during and after school. The playground is well supervised during recess time by classified and certificated staff. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	9.72	9.39	10.37
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.16	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	10.96	10.09	9.39
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.08	0.08
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

SOURCE: California Department of Education

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

SOURCE: California Department of Education

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	18	19	19	4	2	1		2	2			
1	27	19	19		2	2	2	2	2			
2	24	25	22	1	1	1	2	2	2			
3	28	23	23		1	2	2	2	2			
4	26	31	28				2	1	2			
5	32	32	28				2	2	2			
6	30	22	19		3	3	2	2	1			
Other	14	14		1	2							

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	22		7	2		1	3					
Math	25	21	22	2	4	1	3					
Science	23			1			2					
SS	29						2					

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

SOURCE: California Department of Education

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.4
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.04
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	0.16
Nurse	0.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	1
Other	1
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

SOURCE: This information is provided by the school district.

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

Our primary focus for staff development continues to be on implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and the Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches and collaboration meetings.

Professional Learning Community (PLC) training began for the site leadership team and is expected to continue next year. Select staff members also received PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention Support) training. Training takes place at the district's Professional Development Center (PDC) or San Joaquin Country Office of Education.

All staff members received a 3 hour overview training before the school year started on Imagine Learning (IL), our computer based reading intervention program. IL provides on site support through ongoing professional development on reading, interpreting and utilizing student progress report data as well as ongoing in class support throughout the school year.

Teachers also received two 1-hour training sessions on ST Math, our math intervention program for K-6 students. ST Math also provides in class support throughout the school year upon request.

A district representative from the research department provided a one hour training on site for our computer based student assessment tracking system, Illuminate.

Annual training on the CELDT, CAASP and REMS also took place during regularly scheduled staff meetings throughout the school year.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,802	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,551	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,281	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,299	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$111,870	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$232,300	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	35%	38%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

**SOURCE: California Department of Education**

### Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	6389.37	2129.23	4260.15	67991.77
District	◆	◆	4983.64	\$61,632
State	◆	◆	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-14.5	11.1
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-9.2	-3.9

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

**SOURCE: Information is provided by the school district and California Department of Education.**

◆ no data required.