

Hazelton Elementary

535 W. Jefferson St. • Stockton, CA 95206 • (209) 933-7210 • Grades K-8

Kathryn Byers, Principal
kbyers@stocktonusd.net

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Colleen Keenan
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Eliseo Dávalos, Ph.D.
Superintendent
Mr. Thomas Anderson
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services**

Vision Statement:

Our Hazelton Family commits to building an environment of excellence and continuous growth as we empower children to be effective critical thinkers and lifelong learners.

Mission Statement:

Our mission, as Hazelton Hawks, is to create an environment of safety, respect, responsibility and academic excellence for all.

School Motto:

Respect, Responsibility...Results!

PRINCIPAL' S MESSAGE

We welcome you and your child to Hazelton Elementary School. We believe Hazelton offers an outstanding educational program for ALL our students. Our staff works hard to create an atmosphere where academics are rigorous and the learning environment is supportive and positive. We believe all of us, the school, home, and community must work together to ensure the success of our students.

We would like to encourage your active participation in the education of your child both at home and at school. Whether you are a classroom volunteer or a member of a school committee, your participation is important. School research clearly demonstrates that parent participation in their child's school coincides with a greater likelihood of school success for their child.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Byers, Principal

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	71
Grade 1	61
Grade 2	90
Grade 3	99
Grade 4	94
Grade 5	91
Grade 6	98
Grade 7	86
Grade 8	85
Total Enrollment	775

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	8.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.2
Asian	5
Filipino	4.4
Hispanic or Latino	76.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	2.3
Two or More Races	1.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.5
English Learners	40.5
Students with Disabilities	8.8
Foster Youth	0.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Hazelton Elementary	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	28	26	22
Without Full Credential	3	5	9
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	2
Stockton Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Hazelton Elementary	14-15	15-16	16-17
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	2
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	3	1

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	90.9	9.1
Districtwide		
All Schools	94.0	6.0
High-Poverty Schools	94.0	6.0
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 16, 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 CK-12 Earth Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Life Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Physical Science Adopted in 2016 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Age of buildings

Each school site has classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library and an administration building. The number of classrooms at each school site varies, depending on enrollment and available space. Several sites have portable class rooms to accommodate increased enrollment and to meet the guidelines for Class Size Reduction (CSR).

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Improvements

In the fall of 2009 the primary playground asphalt was resurfaced to increase safety of the area.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/9/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			B-2: carpet seam-rips, repair
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains			X	boys R/R by C-1 & B-2: chalking around sinks, toilets and urinals, trash receptacle-paint(metal) girls R/R by C-1 & B-2: chalking around sinks and toilets
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			G-3: ramp repair-paint non slip, cabinets-re-finish
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			X	C-3: entrance door-paint G-5: ramp repair- paint non slip, entrance door-paint J-1: entrance door-paint
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	14	14	24	25	44	48
Math	15	11	18	21	34	36

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	33	22	19	31	29	30	60	56	54

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	15.1	7.5	15.1
7	20.2	27.4	19

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	186	180	96.8	19.4
Male	93	91	97.9	24.2
Female	93	89	95.7	14.6
Black or African American	15	15	100.0	40.0
Asian	14	14	100.0	35.7
Hispanic or Latino	145	140	96.6	15.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	146	140	95.9	17.9
English Learners	60	59	98.3	5.1

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	103	98	95.2	8.2
	4	92	90	97.8	18.9
	5	97	94	96.9	7.5
	6	97	92	94.8	13.0
	7	89	80	89.9	15.0
	8	88	85	96.6	22.4
Male	3	46	43	93.5	9.3
	4	48	46	95.8	21.7
	5	55	53	96.4	7.5
	6	52	48	92.3	12.5
	7	45	38	84.4	7.9
	8	38	38	100.0	15.8
Female	3	57	55	96.5	7.3
	4	44	44	100.0	15.9
	5	42	41	97.6	7.3
	6	45	44	97.8	13.6
	7	44	42	95.5	21.4
	8	50	47	94.0	27.7
Black or African American	3	11	11	100.0	
Hispanic or Latino	3	73	73	100.0	9.6
	4	70	68	97.1	17.6
	5	77	75	97.4	2.7
	6	71	68	95.8	4.4
	7	67	62	92.5	16.1
	8	67	64	95.5	20.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	85	82	96.5	8.5
	4	72	70	97.2	14.3
	5	80	79	98.8	6.3
	6	73	69	94.5	11.6
	7	73	66	90.4	13.6
	8	65	62	95.4	24.2
English Learners	3	42	39	92.9	2.6
	4	32	31	96.9	
	5	30	27	90.0	3.7
	6	33	31	93.9	
	7	25	20	80.0	5.0
	8	30	29	96.7	3.5

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics					
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven					
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	103	100	97.1	11.1
	4	93	91	97.8	20.0
	5	98	97	99.0	6.3
	6	97	94	96.9	13.8
	7	89	85	95.5	10.6
	8	89	85	95.5	10.6
Male	3	46	45	97.8	15.9
	4	48	46	95.8	19.6
	5	55	54	98.2	7.4
	6	52	49	94.2	16.3
	7	45	42	93.3	4.8
	8	45	42	93.3	4.8
Female	3	57	55	96.5	7.3
	4	45	45	100.0	20.4
	5	43	43	100.0	4.8
	6	45	45	100.0	11.1
	7	44	43	97.7	16.3
	8	44	43	97.7	16.3
Black or African American	3	11	11	100.0	
Hispanic or Latino	3	73	72	98.6	15.3
	4	70	68	97.1	17.9
	5	78	78	100.0	5.2
	6	71	69	97.2	4.3
	7	67	65	97.0	10.8
	8	67	65	97.0	10.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	85	84	98.8	9.6
	4	73	71	97.3	12.9
	5	81	80	98.8	5.0
	6	73	70	95.9	11.4
	7	73	70	95.9	10.0
	8	73	70	95.9	10.0

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
English Learners	3	42	41	97.6	2.4
	4	32	31	96.9	
	5	31	31	100.0	3.2
	6	33	32	97.0	
	7	25	25	100.0	
	8	25	25	100.0	

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement.

After School Programs

Counseling services English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)

Parent/Student conferences when possible

Parent/Teacher organizations (PTA/PTO/PTC)

State Pre-Schools

School Site Council (SSC)

The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

Monitoring student attendance

Monitoring completion of student homework

Monitoring and regulating television viewing

Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees

Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning

Volunteering in the classroom

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office at 933-7210.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our school adheres strongly to the Emergency Response Crisis Management (ERCM) preparing staff, students and parents with appropriate instructions, training and practice in how to act and react in case of an emergency. All conceivable actions are taken to ensure the safety and welfare of students and staff. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan, which was recently reviewed by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a monthly basis.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before and during school. The playground is safe for all students and gates are all closed limiting access to our campus during the school day. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	8.8	6.9	15.8
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.2	0.1
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	10.1	9.4	9.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.04
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	.50
Social Worker	.10
Nurse	.20
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0
Resource Specialist	1.0
Other	1.5
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	19	20	23	5	1	0		3	3			0
1	25	22	31	1	2	0	4	3	2			0
2	25	23	29	1	2	0	4	3	3			0
3	29	22	30		3	0	5	3	3			0
4	29	24	32	1	2	0	4	3	3			0
5	26	23	29	1	2	0	2	3	3			0
6	23	25	30	2	1	0	2	2	2			0
Other	11	12		1	2							

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English	17	17	17	2	2	2	1	1	1			
Mathematics	27	28	28				2	1	1			
Science												
Social Science												

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary staff development focus has been on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASPP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2013-2014 and 2014-2015. This year, 2015 -2016, teachers new to Stockton Unified, or who request additional subject content training will be provided opportunities offered through our Staff Professional Development Center (PDC). Hazelton teachers will continue developing it's Professional Learning Community (PLC) to fully implement Common Core Units of Study, AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) and PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention and Support). Additionally, onsite instructional coaching is provided for every Hazelton teacher.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,226	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,239	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$80,927	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$107,767	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$115,639	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$230,000	\$249,537
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	34%	37%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5938.94	1060.27	4878.67	67068.26
District	♦	♦	6920.96	\$65,674
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-29.5	-13.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			669.6	-17.2

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.