

Stockton Unified Early College Academy

349 E. Vine Street • Stockton, CA 95202 • (209) 933-7370 • Grades 9-12

Joshua Thom, Principal

jthom@stocktonusd.net

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Gloria Allen
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Colleen Keenan
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Eliseo Dávalos, Ph.D.
Superintendent
Mr. Thomas Anderson
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services**

Principal's Message

Stockton Early College Academy (SECA) is an early college model high school, which are typically small, highly diverse learning communities (grades 9-12), and are physically located on, or near, a college campus. At SECA, students are engaged in a highly rigorous academic curriculum that involves both college preparatory (Honors) and Advanced Placement (AP) core high school classes (English, Social Science, Math, and Science), as well as fully transferable (non-remediation) college courses taken from San Joaquin Delta Community College, which both supplement and expand the core offerings and fulfill elective requirements.

The result is that SECA students have the opportunity to earn both a high school diploma and up to two years of fully transferable credit – at no cost to the students or their parents – towards a Bachelor's Degree at a four-year university. In this process, many of the students are also awarded AA or AS degrees from Delta College.

SECA is specifically designed to help young people progress toward the education and experience that they will need to succeed both in life and family-supporting careers. It is also uniquely positioned to reach out to students who are of an ethnicity that is typically underserved in higher education, who come from socio-economically challenged families, and/ or who will be the first in their immediate family to attend, and graduate from, a four-year university.

Joshua Thom, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	110
Grade 10	114
Grade 11	93
Grade 12	58
Total Enrollment	375

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.9
Asian	32.8
Filipino	16
Hispanic or Latino	37.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.3
White	5.9
Two or More Races	0.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.3
English Learners	1.3
Students with Disabilities	1.1
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Stockton Unified Early College Academy	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	12	12	13
Without Full Credential	1	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Stockton Unified Early College	14-15	15-16	16-17
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	94.0	6.0
High-Poverty Schools	94.0	6.0
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: July 12, 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>
Mathematics	<p>9-12 Math, Algebra I, II & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014</p> <p>Calculus HM Adopted in 2006</p> <p>PreCalculus Adopted in 2006</p> <p>Statistics McDougal Adopted in 2008</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>
Science	<p>California Holt Earth Science Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Biology Adopted in 2007 CK-12 Biology Adopted in 2016</p> <p>Chemistry: Matter and Change Adopted in 2007</p> <p>Physics: Principles and Problems Adopted in 2007</p> <p>CK-12 Integrated Physical Science Adopted 2016</p> <p>Health Glencoe Adopted in 2005</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0</p>

Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Year and month in which data were collected: July 12, 2016

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
History-Social Science	World History: The Modern World Adopted in 2007 The American Vision: Modern Times Adopted in 2006 CK-12 US History Adopted 2016 Magruder's American Government Adopted in 2006 Economics: Principles in Action Adopted in 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Foreign Language	French - Vista Learning Adopted in 2015 Spanish – Vista Learning Adopted in 2015 Chinese - Cheng-Tsui Co Adopted in 2009 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

SECA is currently located in its new campus for the 2012-2013 school year. Over the course of the year the current campus will undergo a complete modernization. By the end of the 2013-2014 school year the gym, cafeteria, classrooms, and perimeter fencing will have been updated to meet modern requirements and provide a safe and secure school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 8/1/2016

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/1/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			overall: grounds dry.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	98	99	24	25	44	48
Math	88	76	18	21	34	36

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	91	86	83	31	29	30	60	56	54

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
9	21.5	21.5	39.3

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	110	110	100.0	82.7
Male	45	45	100.0	93.3
Female	65	65	100.0	75.4
Asian	34	34	100.0	79.4
Filipino	13	13	100.0	92.3
Hispanic or Latino	42	42	100.0	85.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36	36	100.0	77.8

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	11	90	90	100.0	98.9
Male	11	42	42	100.0	97.6
Female	11	48	48	100.0	100.0
Asian	11	35	35	100.0	97.1
Filipino	11	20	20	100.0	100.0
Hispanic or Latino	11	31	31	100.0	100.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	19	19	100.0	100.0

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	11	90	90	100.0	75.6
Male	11	42	42	100.0	69.0
Female	11	48	48	100.0	81.3
Asian	11	35	35	100.0	82.9
Filipino	11	20	20	100.0	85.0
Hispanic or Latino	11	31	31	100.0	61.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	19	19	100.0	73.7

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

SECA offers an extensive network of communication tools designed to connect parents and children to both the school community and to each other. The staff of the school communicates whenever necessary with both parents and students. This communication may be verbal (both in person and by phone); written (in the form of emails, mailers, or letters); or in meetings (special assemblies, and fund raisers).

Teachers initiate regular communication with parents regarding a student’s progress, as well as listen and respond to parents’ needs and concerns about the operation of the school.

Parents are encouraged to be proactive, to contact teachers, specialists, and/or the principal to solve problems, give feedback, and pass on ideas and insights to the school community. The principal maintains an “open door” policy for all staff, students, parents, and community members.

Direct parental involvement is fundamental to the success of SECA. Parents and students are encouraged to express their individual and collective voices, and to provide feedback to the Principal and to the staff. Parents and students may also be asked to give critiques and/or testimonials about the program.

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate are encouraged to call the school’s office.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

SECA is a very safe campus with a college-like physical and social environment. SECA’s safety plan is reviewed and revised on an annual basis, and both faculty and students are fully aware of the procedures to follow in an emergency situation. There were no incidents of violence or disruption on the campus during 2013-2014, with no suspensions or expulsions.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.3	0.0
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	10.1	9.4	9.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		50
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		86.2

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0.25
Social Worker	0.00
Nurse	0.10
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.25
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0.0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	375

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English	28	32	25	3	2	5	8	5	7	4	6	4
Mathematics	33	35	31	2		1	2	4	6	5	6	5
Science	30	39	30	1	1	1	5	2	8	2	4	2
Social Science	34	38	30	2	2	2	7	5	10	3	5	4

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASP state assessment to be implemented in spring of 2015.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions were provided in 2013-2014 and two are planned for 2014-2015. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based ELA and Math coaches, staff PLC collaboration meetings, data team meetings.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,226	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,239	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$80,927	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$107,767	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$115,639	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$230,000	\$249,537
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	34%	37%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
Stockton Unified Early College	2011-12	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	0.00	1.70	1.00
Graduation Rate	97.37	98.31	99.03
Stockton Unified School District	2011-12	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	9.90	17.90	11.80
Graduation Rate	83.09	76.40	82.63
California	2011-12	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	11.40	11.50	10.70
Graduation Rate	80.44	80.95	82.27

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	6880.89	6799.60	81.30	64643.30
District	♦	♦	6920.96	\$65,674
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-98.8	-16.4
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			38.2	-16.2

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	100
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	72.6

* Where there are student course enrollments.

2015-16 Advanced Placement Courses		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		♦
English	1	♦
Fine and Performing Arts		♦
Foreign Language		♦
Mathematics	1	♦
Science	2	♦
Social Science	3	♦
All courses	7	71

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2015		
	School	District	State
All Students	100	82	86
Black or African American	100	74	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	100	77	78
Asian	100	89	93
Filipino	100	97	93
Hispanic or Latino	100	82	83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100	89	85
White	100	75	91
Two or More Races	0	81	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	43	66
English Learners	0	60	54
Students with Disabilities	100	82	78

Career Technical Education Programs

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.