



Roosevelt Elementary

776 South Broadway Ave • Stockton, CA 95205 • (209) 933-7275 • Grades K-8

Kraig Jorgensen, Principal
kjorgensen@stocktonusd.net

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Cecilia Mendez
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Lange P. Luntao
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Dan Wright, Acting Superintendent
Superintendent
Dr. Ward Andrus
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services**

School Description

Roosevelt Elementary School

Mission Statement:

Through collaboration all Roosevelt teachers, staff, and parents ensure that students will master each grade level's essential objectives through the rigor of direct interactive instruction, data-based decision making, and reflection. Through clear expectations and communication an atmosphere of accountability is created which will be evidenced by students, parents, and teachers actively engaged in learning.

Vision Statement:

Our vision is to create a high performing Pre-K through 8th grade school that promotes our students to become lifelong learners who contribute to their community.

School Description:

Roosevelt Elementary School is one of the oldest schools in the city of Stockton. The school was constructed in 1921 and opened for its students in the fall of 1923. Roosevelt has continued with its diverse population of English language learners, migrant families, students with special needs, and long time established community. In 2017-18, Roosevelt has an enrollment of 585 students of which 246 were identified as English Learners.

Roosevelt Elementary received the School Improvement Grant (SIG) in 2012 and has continued to climb in its achievement in both Mathematics and English Language Arts. The grant has provided all students with additional instructional minutes, guaranteed weekly collaboration time for teachers, coaches, and administration, professional development in the instructional delivery of common core, assessments, and (Response to Intervention (RTI). In addition, the grant provided 30 Saturdays for a four hour enrichment program available to all Roosevelt students. Additional personnel, technology, materials and resources, anti-bullying program, and research based academic parent teacher team meetings (APTT), and Individual Student/Parent meetings all derived from SIG. While the grant ended June, 2016, Roosevelt staff have maintained several components afforded through the grant for the 2017-18 school year. Parents also benefit directly with educational classes provided through the parent liaison.

The culture of Roosevelt Elementary is clearly established as a professional learning community (PLC). Our staff assists students in making responsible choices to maximize the possibilities for their futures. We are solution-oriented to the struggles that some students must overcome. We maintain high expectations for student performance. Students are encouraged to be creative, insightful, remain on task and put their best work effort forward.

School personnel act as advocates for the students. Students in need of additional support are identified through data analysis. Needs are addressed through Response to Intervention (RTI), a tiered structure of support. Support may include strategic planning, platooning, after-school tutorials, school-home partnerships, Student Assistance Program (SAP), Student Success Team (SST), counseling, partnerships with outside agencies, and special education. Roosevelt staff is working to bring English language learners to full functioning fluency and literacy, necessary for career and continuing educational opportunities. The staff guides students as they gain confidence and skills through self-actualization; encouraging students toward ever-increasing goals, and respecting the promise of who they are.

As principal of Roosevelt Elementary School, I believe that success is a function of collaboration; organizing and implementing avenues toward positive change, setting goals that are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound. All stakeholders are integral to this process: students, parents, staff and the community. It is certain that TOGETHER we can make a difference.

Kraig Jorgensen, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	47
Grade 1	41
Grade 2	36
Grade 3	58
Grade 4	35
Grade 5	63
Grade 6	60
Grade 7	68
Grade 8	68
Total Enrollment	476

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	8.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.5
Asian	4.6
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	79
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	5.3
Two or More Races	1.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86.3
English Learners	41.4
Students with Disabilities	10.5
Foster Youth	2.3

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Roosevelt Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	28	17	19
Without Full Credential	2	3	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Roosevelt Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	1	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Open Court McGraw Hill (K-1) Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2016

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 CK-12 Earth Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Life Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Physical Science Adopted in 2016 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Each school site has classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library and an administration building. The number of classrooms at each school site varies, depending on enrollment and available space. Several sites have portable class rooms to accommodate increased enrollment and to meet the guidelines for Class Size Reduction (CSR).

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms, and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/19/2017

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Boys&Girls restrooms: boys restroom by multipurpose missing door locks. Multipurpose kitchen: missing baseboard in multipurpose.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 6/19/2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			p-3: missing outlet cover,rips on walls
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			overall: portable ramps need to be painted, asphalt behind kitchen needsto be repaired.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			overall: portable ramps need to be painted, asphalt behind kitchen needsto be repaired.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	14	16	25	26	48	48
Math	13	10	21	20	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	17	20	29	30	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	20	12.3	3.1
7	23.5	13.2	4.4

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	135	128	94.8	20.3
Male	71	68	95.8	20.6
Female	64	60	93.8	20.0
Black or African American	12	9	75.0	11.1
Asian	13	13	100.0	15.4
Hispanic or Latino	100	96	96.0	21.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	90	85	94.4	18.8
English Learners	52	49	94.2	8.2

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	362	355	98.07	16.06
Male	179	175	97.77	11.43
Female	183	180	98.36	20.56
Black or African American	34	34	100	2.94
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	16	16	100	12.5
Hispanic or Latino	280	273	97.5	17.58
White	18	18	100	27.78
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	309	303	98.06	15.18
English Learners	195	189	96.92	16.4
Students with Disabilities	53	53	100	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	365	364	99.73	9.62
Male	182	181	99.45	7.73
Female	183	183	100	11.48
Black or African American	34	34	100	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	16	16	100	0
Hispanic or Latino	283	282	99.65	11.35
White	18	18	100	16.67
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	312	311	99.68	9
English Learners	197	196	99.49	10.2
Students with Disabilities	53	53	100	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement. Our contacts for parent involvement include: Josie Lepe (School Counselor), Rebecca Lopez (Parent Liaison), and Kris Garcia (Social Worker). The following are a list of resources/activities provided for parent involvement:

- Academic Parent Teacher Conferences
- After School Programs
- Counseling services
- English Classes for Parents
- English Language Parent Advisory Committee (ELPAC)
- English Language Learner instruction and support (ELD)
- English as a Second Language for adults (ESL)
- Family Health Centers and programs when and where available
- Individual Parent/Student/Teacher Meetings
- MESA
- Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)
- Parent Resource Center
- Parent Student Teacher Association (PTSA)
- School Readiness Program (ages 0-5)
- School Site Council (SSC)

Roosevelt School believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:
 Monitoring student attendance

Monitoring completion of student homework
 Monitoring and regulating television viewing
 Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees
 Participating in Academic Parent Teacher Team meetings and Individual Parent/Student/Teacher meetings
 Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning

Volunteering in the classroom

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office and request one of the above mentioned contacts.

Our school office number is (209) 933-7275.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually.

A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which was recently reviewed by the School Site Council, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for the school community. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular scheduled basis.

Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students before and during school. The playground is safe for all students. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	18.5	4.1	6.6
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	9.4	9.4	7.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.0
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0.00
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.50
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.00
Psychologist	0.50
Social Worker	0.10
Nurse	0.20
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.50
Resource Specialist	2.00
Other	2.00
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	15	16	21	3	5	2	1		4			
1	25	16	22	1	2	1		2	2	1		
2	26	16	21	1	2	2		2	4	1		
3	22	19	23	1	2	2	3	2	4			
4	24	24	28	2	2	1	2	4				2
5	24	25	22	2	1	2		5	4	2		
6	15	22	18	4	3	5	2	4	4			
Other		17			2			2				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The primary staff development focus was on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Units of Study developed by SUSD teachers through the Rigorous Curriculum Design Process. The content was selected due to the full implementation of the CCSS in California in 2014-2015 and the related CAASPP state assessments implemented in spring of 2015. Continued district training in refining the CCSS Units of Study occurred throughout 2015-2016.

Professional development in the core standards and units of instruction was initially provided through full day off site professional development training sessions. Two full day sessions took place in the summer of 2016. The same two full day sessions were offered again in the summer of 2017. Additionally, onsite coaching is provided.

On-going teacher support is provided through site-based instructional coach, staff PLC collaboration meetings, and data team meetings.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,548	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,772	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,377	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$117,335	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,976	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$241,638	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	32%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	7997.49	2429.02	5568.47	83978.97
District	♦	♦	7247.82	\$68,852
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-23.2	22.0
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-15.3	6.0

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.