



Wilson Elementary

150 East Mendocino Ave. • Stockton, CA 95204 • (209) 933-7325 • Grades K-8
Suzanne Loera, Principal
sloera@stocktonusd.net

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Stockton Unified School District

701 North Madison St.
Stockton, CA 95202-1634
(209) 933-7000
www.stocktonusd.net

District Governing Board

Cecilia Mendez
Andrea Burrise
Kathleen Garcia
Lange P. Luntao
Maria Mendez
Angela Phillips
Steve Smith

District Administration

Dan Wright, Acting Superintendent
Superintendent
Dr. Ward Andrus
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services**

School Description

Our school's vision is to become a Professional Learning Community, meeting the needs of each student. Students are prepared for the next level through high expectations, staff interdependence, reciprocal accountability, data analysis and meaningful actions.

Woodrow Wilson's mission is to provide a high level learning environment where every student builds character through lifeskills; where every student learns from a guaranteed curriculum and develops an appreciation for education; where students receive support and encouragement to be motivated and lifelong learners.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	72
Grade 1	51
Grade 2	58
Grade 3	56
Grade 4	31
Grade 5	33
Grade 6	31
Grade 7	31
Grade 8	30
Total Enrollment	393

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	12.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.3
Asian	3.8
Filipino	1.3
Hispanic or Latino	59.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	11.2
Two or More Races	6.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.4
English Learners	14
Students with Disabilities	6.1
Foster Youth	1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Wilson Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	14	16	18
Without Full Credential	1	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Stockton Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Wilson Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are what the California content standards calls for. This information is far more meaningful when along with the more detailed description of textbooks contained in our School Accountability Report Card (SARC). There you'll find the names of the textbooks used in our core classes, their dates of publication, the names of the firms that published them, and more

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 23, 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS K-12 Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	K-8 Math, Algebra I & Geometry Teacher developed Units of Study aligned to Common Core State Standards Adopted in 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	FOSS (Full Option Science System) Adopted in 2007 CK-12 Earth Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Life Science Adopted in 2016 CK-12 Physical Science Adopted in 2016 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	K-5 Scott Foresman Adopted in 2006 6-8 Glencoe: Discovering Our Past Adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to inspect them. They used a survey, called the Facilities Inspection Tool, issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/15/2017

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X	<p>Across from P-13 Boys R/R: entrance door-paint, south wall holes- repair, toilet partitions carved into-replace, stain ceiling tile-replace</p> <p>Across from P-13,Girls R/R: entrance door rusted-paint, south wall-repair hole, sanitary napkins holder-replace, flooring linoleum -replace</p> <p>Multipurpose / Kitchen: hand rails-paint, ramp-repair and paint, south underside eves-repair, floor tile cracks-replace</p> <p>P-1: hand rails and ramp-paint, entrance door -paint, carpet seams frayed-repair, P-13: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, carpet seams frayed-repair, east wall dumtar-repair, crack ceiling tile - replace</p> <p>P-16: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, south wall dumtar-repair</p> <p>P-19: entrance door-paint, carpet seam frayed-repair, light switch cover-replace</p> <p>P-4: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, carpet seam frayed-repair, crack ceiling tile-replace</p>
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		X		<p>Multipurpose / Kitchen: hand rails-paint, ramp-repair and paint, south underside eves-repair, floor tile cracks-replace</p> <p>P-13: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, carpet seams frayed-repair, east wall dumtar-repair, crack ceiling tile - replace</p>

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/15/2017

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			X	Across from P-13 Boys R/R: entrance door-paint, south wall holes- repair, toilet partitions carved into-replace, stain ceiling tile-replace Across from P-13,Girls R/R: entrance door rusted-paint, south wall-repair hole, sanitary napkins holder-replace, flooring linoleum -replace P-1: hand rails and ramp-paint, entrance door -paint, carpet seams frayed-repair, P-13: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, carpet seams frayed-repair, east wall dumtar-repair, crack ceiling tile - replace P-16: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, south wall dumtar-repair P-19: entrance door-paint, carpet seam frayed-repair, light switch cover-replace P-4: hand rails-paint, entrance door-paint, carpet seam frayed-repair, crack ceiling tile-replace
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	24	25	25	26	48	48
Math	22	21	21	20	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	36	23	29	30	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	22.6	16.1	3.2
7	29	16.1	16.1

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	62	56	90.3	23.2
Male	28	23	82.1	26.1
Female	34	33	97.1	21.2
Hispanic or Latino	40	38	95.0	21.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36	32	88.9	12.5

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	212	208	98.11	24.64
Male	103	102	99.03	16.67
Female	109	106	97.25	32.38
Black or African American	16	16	100	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	15	14	93.33	7.14
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	132	130	98.48	25.58
White	25	25	100	40
Two or More Races	11	11	100	18.18
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	167	165	98.8	22.56
English Learners	57	56	98.25	16.07
Students with Disabilities	21	21	100	4.76
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	212	208	98.11	21.15
Male	103	102	99.03	15.69
Female	109	106	97.25	26.42
Black or African American	16	16	100	6.25
American Indian or Alaska Native	15	14	93.33	7.14
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	132	130	98.48	20.77
White	25	25	100	24
Two or More Races	11	11	100	27.27
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	167	165	98.8	19.39
English Learners	57	56	98.25	19.64
Students with Disabilities	21	21	100	0
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Our school recognizes that parents and the community play an important role in the success and education of their students. Many schools have established partnerships with community businesses and organizations to increase parent participation. Each school offers several opportunities and programs to encourage parent involvement.

After School Programs

English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

Parent/Student/Teacher conferences

School Site Council (SSC)

Club Live

Leadership Training

School Activity Fundraising

The school believes that the parents and guardians can support the learning environment of the school and their students by:

Monitoring student attendance.

Monitoring of student homework.

Monitoring and regulating television viewing.

Participating in the decision making process in school organizations and committees.

Planning and participating in activities at home that support classroom learning.

Volunteering in the classroom and at school events.

Attending parent trainings and Parent Coffees.

Parents and community members who wish to become a part of the school community and participate should call the school's office. The principal is the contact person for parent involvement.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our school places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's custodial team ensures the school facilities are in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. Each year a deep cleaning process occurs during a close of school, either during the summer or other extended breaks. In the event of a facility plant emergency, the principal notifies appropriate site and district personnel to resolve the emergency and safely secure or evacuate the students, based upon the site and district emergency preparedness plans. A site review with the district Internal Evaluation Instrument (IEI) occurs annually. A comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed annually, helps to provide a secure, peaceful and clean environment for our school. The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis. This is revised annually and shared with staff and community twice during the school year. Every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. The campus security assist, yard supervisors, teachers, site administrators and school staff provide supervision for students during the school day. Exterior gates are closed. The playground is safe for all students. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, and must display their passes at all times.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	6.7	5.1	5.3
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	9.4	9.4	7.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.0
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	50	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	86.2	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0.00
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.50
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.00
Psychologist	0.25
Social Worker	0.10
Nurse	0.20
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.25
Resource Specialist	1.00
Other	0.00
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	18	23	22	2	1	1	2	6	6			
1	21	30	23	1		1	2	4	4			
2	22	24	25	1	1	1	2	4	4			
3	23	28	28	1			2	4	4			
4	19	30	31	1			1	2	2			
5	22	27	33	1	1		1	2				2
6	17	21	28	1	1		1	2	3			

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers take some time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Here you'll see the amount of time each year we set aside for their continuing education and professional development. The amount of time set aside for continuing education and professional development varies from year to year depending on need. The major areas of focus for staff development is Common Core Standards and Units of Study. The need is based on the fact that it is our second year for full implementation of Common Core Standards. Professional development methods include training and workshops, opportunities for attending conferences along with site coaching. Teachers are supported by in and out of class coaching, academic conferences between teacher and principal, vertical and horizontal contracted collaboration time and data analysis meetings.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,548	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,772	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,377	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$117,335	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,976	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$241,638	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	32%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

The following is a list of Federal and State funded programs that may be available to schools in the district:

- Title I Helping Disadvantaged Students Meet Standards
- Title I Homeless
- Title I Migrant Education
- Title II Improving Teacher Quality
- Title III Limited English Proficient Students
- Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA)
- Extended Day Programs
- English Language Acquisition Program (ELAP)

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	6121.67	468.49	5653.18	67972.50
District	♦	♦	7247.82	\$68,852
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-22.0	-1.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-14.0	-14.2

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.