

# Melinda Heights Elementary School

21001 Rancho Trabuco • Rancho Santa Margarita CA, 92688 • (949) 888-7311 • Grades K-6

Suzanne Westmoreland , Principal  
suzanne.westmoreland@svusd.org  
www.schools@svusd.org

## 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



### Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way  
Mission Viejo CA, 92691  
(949) 586-1234  
www.svusd.org

#### District Governing Board

Suzie Swartz  
Ginny Faye Aitkens  
Dolores Winchell  
Dennis Walsh  
Amanda Morrell

#### District Administration

Dr. Clint Harwick  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Terry Stanfill  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources**  
Geri Partida  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business**  
Laura Ott  
**Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services**  
Tammy Blakely  
**Assistant to the Superintendent/Director, Pupil Services**  
Dr. Rocky Murray  
**Director, Secondary Education**  
Dr. Terry Petersen  
**Director, Elementary Education**  
Dr. Diane Lohrman  
**Director, Special Education/SELPA**

### School Description

Mission Statement:

- Technology Literacy Character

It is our mission to instill in students the technical skills to succeed in the world of tomorrow, the power to think and express themselves clearly, and the values necessary to act well, appreciate life, and contribute to society.

School Description: Melinda Heights Elementary School sits on a 14-acre campus at the base of the Santa Ana Mountains. The Melinda Heights community is committed to maintaining a safe, healthy environment. We have high expectations for our students' behavior and academic achievement, and we maintain sound instructional practices, high-quality materials, and state-of-the-art technology.

Although our enrollment is close to 1,100 students, our sense of family is strong, and our students feel safe and respected. Our success lies in a clear vision, dedicated staff and community, and strong collegial support. We are proud that Melinda Heights received recognition as a 2008 California Distinguished School and as a 2005 No Child Left Behind National Blue Ribbon School.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (949) 888-7311 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	129
Grade 1	145
Grade 2	139
Grade 3	156
Grade 4	164
Grade 5	194
Grade 6	150
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>1,077</b>

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	8.5
Filipino	2.5
Hispanic or Latino	16.2
White	62.4
Two or More Races	7.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.8
English Learners	6.8
Students with Disabilities	10.2

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Melinda Heights Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16
<b>With Full Credential</b>	44	45	45
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
<b>With Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	1195
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	3
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Melinda Heights Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
<b>This School</b>	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
<b>All Schools</b>	100.0	0.0
<b>High-Poverty Schools</b>	100.0	0.0
<b>Low-Poverty Schools</b>	100.0	0.0

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)**

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: October 2015</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	<p>High Point Basics Student Book Adopted 2004</p> <p>HM Reading: A Legacy of Literature Adopted 2004</p> <p>Holt Literature and Language Arts Adopted 2004</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b>      Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b>    0</p>
<b>Mathematics</b>	<p>Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014</p> <p>Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b>      Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b>    0</p>
<b>Science</b>	<p>Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008</p> <p>Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b>      Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b>    0</p>
<b>History-Social Science</b>	<p>Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007</p> <p>World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart &amp; Winston Adopted 2007</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b>      Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b>    0</p>

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Melinda Heights is our district's newest school; it opened in April 1998. All buildings are in excellent condition (despite the wear and tear brought by almost 1,200 students) and include state-of-the-art heating, air conditioning, and security systems. Areas of concern include a shortage of library space, inadequate parking, and the large number of portable classrooms needed for our large enrollment. We have used recent bond funds to address these issues.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)					
Year and month in which data were collected: 11-2-15					
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned	
	Good	Fair	Poor		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X				
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X				
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.
	X				

### B. Pupil Outcomes

#### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	71	61	44
Math	68	47	33

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	93	90	95	81	80	79	59	60	56

\* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	12.40	23.80	56.50

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	79
All Student at the School	95
Male	95
Female	93
Black or African American	--
Asian	100
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	85
White	95
Two or More Races	100
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	72
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	100
Foster Youth	--

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	155	150	96.8	5	23	27	43
	4	169	166	98.2	17	19	28	34
	5	193	192	99.5	9	12	42	36
	6	149	146	98.0	8	21	42	28
Male	3	155	81	52.3	7	30	19	44
	4	169	83	49.1	24	20	28	25
	5	193	93	48.2	11	18	34	35
	6	149	76	51.0	5	22	49	22
Female	3	155	69	44.5	3	16	38	42
	4	169	83	49.1	11	17	28	43
	5	193	99	51.3	8	6	48	37
	6	149	70	47.0	10	20	36	34
Black or African American	4	169	4	2.4	--	--	--	--
	5	193	4	2.1	--	--	--	--
	6	149	3	2.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	149	1	0.7	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>Asian</b>	<b>3</b>	155	15	9.7	0	20	33	47
	<b>4</b>	169	15	8.9	7	7	20	67
	<b>5</b>	193	16	8.3	0	0	19	81
	<b>6</b>	149	11	7.4	0	45	27	27
<b>Filipino</b>	<b>3</b>	155	3	1.9	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	169	5	3.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	193	3	1.6	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	149	6	4.0	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	<b>3</b>	155	25	16.1	8	36	16	40
	<b>4</b>	169	19	11.2	32	32	26	5
	<b>5</b>	193	28	14.5	21	11	54	14
	<b>6</b>	149	22	14.8	18	32	27	23
<b>White</b>	<b>3</b>	155	95	61.3	5	21	31	43
	<b>4</b>	169	100	59.2	13	20	28	38
	<b>5</b>	193	124	64.2	7	14	41	38
	<b>6</b>	149	93	62.4	6	16	47	29
<b>Two or More Races</b>	<b>3</b>	155	12	7.7	8	25	17	42
	<b>4</b>	169	18	10.7	33	11	39	17
	<b>5</b>	193	16	8.3	6	13	50	25
	<b>6</b>	149	9	6.0	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	<b>3</b>	155	10	6.5	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	169	18	10.7	33	33	22	11
	<b>5</b>	193	13	6.7	15	8	38	38
	<b>6</b>	149	15	10.1	33	20	33	13
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>3</b>	155	11	7.1	36	45	0	18
	<b>4</b>	169	21	12.4	62	5	14	14
	<b>5</b>	193	17	8.8	47	12	18	18
	<b>6</b>	149	11	7.4	64	9	18	0
<b>Foster Youth</b>	<b>3</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	<b>3</b>	155	150	96.8	7	21	40	31
	<b>4</b>	169	166	98.2	9	20	41	28
	<b>5</b>	193	191	99.0	10	21	37	28
	<b>6</b>	149	145	97.3	8	21	32	37
<b>Male</b>	<b>3</b>	155	81	52.3	9	19	37	35
	<b>4</b>	169	83	49.1	11	17	42	27
	<b>5</b>	193	93	48.2	10	27	35	27
	<b>6</b>	149	76	51.0	7	20	34	38
<b>Female</b>	<b>3</b>	155	69	44.5	6	23	43	26
	<b>4</b>	169	83	49.1	7	23	40	29
	<b>5</b>	193	98	50.8	11	16	38	30
	<b>6</b>	149	69	46.3	9	22	30	35
<b>Black or African American</b>	<b>4</b>	169	4	2.4	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	193	4	2.1	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	149	3	2.0	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	<b>6</b>	149	1	0.7	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	<b>3</b>	155	15	9.7	7	20	33	40
	<b>4</b>	169	15	8.9	7	13	13	67
	<b>5</b>	193	16	8.3	0	0	31	69
	<b>6</b>	149	11	7.4	0	18	45	36
<b>Filipino</b>	<b>3</b>	155	3	1.9	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	169	5	3.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	193	3	1.6	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	149	6	4.0	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	<b>3</b>	155	25	16.1	4	36	48	12
	<b>4</b>	169	19	11.2	21	21	47	0
	<b>5</b>	193	28	14.5	18	43	25	14
	<b>6</b>	149	22	14.8	14	36	23	23
<b>White</b>	<b>3</b>	155	95	61.3	8	17	40	33
	<b>4</b>	169	100	59.2	5	21	45	28
	<b>5</b>	193	123	63.7	8	19	38	30
	<b>6</b>	149	92	61.7	8	20	30	39
<b>Two or More Races</b>	<b>3</b>	155	12	7.7	8	25	33	33
	<b>4</b>	169	18	10.7	22	17	39	22
	<b>5</b>	193	16	8.3	6	25	63	6
	<b>6</b>	149	9	6.0	--	--	--	--

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	<b>3</b>	155	10	6.5	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	169	18	10.7	22	17	33	11
	<b>5</b>	193	13	6.7	23	8	46	23
	<b>6</b>	149	15	10.1	27	47	20	7
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>3</b>	155	11	7.1	45	27	9	9
	<b>4</b>	169	21	12.4	38	14	29	10
	<b>5</b>	193	16	8.3	50	25	13	13
	<b>6</b>	149	10	6.7	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	<b>3</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent volunteers play an important role at Melinda Heights. Each day, dozens of volunteers work in classrooms and the library, or assist on the playground. Our PTA provides many valuable enrichment programs, such as assemblies, the Meet the Masters art program, and the annual Book Fair. Our School Site Council, which includes parents and staff, approves our school's annual plan and budget expenditures. Our parent community is a much needed and highly valued component of our instructional program. To find out how you can volunteer at our school, please contact our PTA president, Carrie Willis, at [cwillis1678@gmail.com](mailto:cwillis1678@gmail.com).

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

We review and update our district-approved safety plan annually. The PTA maintains emergency food and water supplies. We update safety-related equipment regularly. Our teachers know the disaster plan, and we offer first-aid instruction yearly. We conduct monthly evacuation drills.

Our school has modern and safe play areas. District and school maintenance personnel inspect the equipment regularly. Staff members monitor the campus before and after school and during all recesses. To ensure campus safety, all volunteers and visitors are required to sign in at the front office to obtain a visitor's pass.



Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	0.85	0.26	0.45
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	2.89	1.89	1.70
Expulsions Rate	0.11	0.18	0.15
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		6
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		66.7

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	31	26	26		1	1	4	4	4			
1	26	28	28				6	5	5			
2	29	30	27				6	5	5			
3	28	26	27	1	1	1	6	6	5			
4	35	32	32					6	3	4		2
5	32	36	27			1	2		5	3	4	1
6	33	36	26			1	2		5	4	5	
Other	9	6		1	1							

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625
Psychologist	.6
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.2
Resource Specialist	
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

Melinda Heights teachers participate in training after school during bimonthly staff meetings. We encourage teachers to attend offsite training based on their interests or personal goals, and we set aside time for teachers to meet with their peers to collaborate on teaching strategies.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,870	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,849	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$111,534	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$120,491	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$128,082	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$279,692	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	45%	38%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- \* Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- \* Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- \* Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- \* Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- \* Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- \* Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- \* Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- \* Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- \* Expand Counseling Services.
- \* Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	4292.54	42.56	\$4,120	\$93,396
District	◆	◆	4249.98	\$78,959
State	◆	◆	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-3.1	20.6
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-12.2	32.1

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

**DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

**Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.