



# Cordillera Elementary School

25952 Cordillera Drive • Mission Viejo CA, 92691 • (949) 830-3400 • Grades K-6

Deborah Shaver, Principal

Deborah.Shaver@svusd.org

[https://www.saddlespace.org/Cordillera/welcome/cms\\_page/view/1468293](https://www.saddlespace.org/Cordillera/welcome/cms_page/view/1468293)

## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



### Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way  
Mission Viejo CA, 92691  
(949) 586-1234  
[www.svusd.org](http://www.svusd.org)

#### District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President  
Dennis Walsh, Vice President  
Amanda Morrell, Clerk  
Suzie R. Swartz, Member  
Dr. Edward Wong

#### District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Terry Stanfill  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**  
Connie Cavanaugh  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business**  
Laura Ott  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Educational Services**  
Tammy Blakely  
**Assistant to the Superintendent**  
Dr. Ron Pirayoff  
**Director, Secondary Education**  
Liza Zielasko  
**Director, Elementary Education**  
Dr. Diane Clark  
**Director, Special Education**  
Scott Turner  
**Director, SELPA**  
Monique Yessian  
**Director, Student Services**

### School Description

Cordillera Elementary School is committed to providing a nurturing and safe environment in which children develop a lifelong love of learning. We provide abundant and diverse opportunities for students to develop intellectually, emotionally, physically and socially. We have a strong commitment to meeting the needs of each student and to collaborating with parents and the community to build a strong team of support for students and their families.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	86
Grade 1	73
Grade 2	85
Grade 3	80
Grade 4	94
Grade 5	77
Grade 6	90
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>585</b>

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	4.8
Filipino	4.8
Hispanic or Latino	40.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5
White	40.7
Two or More Races	6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36.6
English Learners	20.9
Students with Disabilities	19
Foster Youth	0

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Cordillera Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	28	27	28
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	28
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Cordillera Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017  Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Mathematics</b>	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014  Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Science</b>	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008  Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007  World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

We modernized the Cordillera campus ten years ago. Changes included establishing a wonderful library and removing three portable classrooms. Our campus is neat and well managed. Through Measure B we added a new building, which includes new classrooms and restrooms. This addition enabled us to move the computer lab into a classroom and free up our multipurpose room for full-time use. We also took down temporary walls between two of the classrooms and enlarged them to create three large rooms and a storage area.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
**Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017**

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		X			broken ceiling tiles, door hard to open, some lights not working, drinking fountain not working properly. These item to be repaired by Maintenance.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X				
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.
		X			

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	59	53	65	64	48	48
Math	50	48	50	51	36	37

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	96	95	99.0	74.7
Male	58	58	100.0	72.4
Female	38	37	97.4	78.4
Hispanic or Latino	36	36	100.0	50.0
White	43	42	97.7	90.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	31	31	100.0	54.8
English Learners	17	17	100.0	35.3
Students with Disabilities	19	19	100.0	26.3

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	76	75	79	77	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	13.2	22.4	31.6

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	340	331	97.35	53.17
Male	196	189	96.43	48.68
Female	144	142	98.61	59.15
Asian	21	21	100	61.9
Filipino	13	13	100	76.92
Hispanic or Latino	143	139	97.2	38.13
White	130	127	97.69	67.72
Two or More Races	23	21	91.3	52.38
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	132	129	97.73	37.98
English Learners	90	88	97.78	35.23
Students with Disabilities	62	60	96.77	11.67

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	340	332	97.65	48.49
Male	196	190	96.94	45.79
Female	144	142	98.61	52.11
Asian	21	21	100	61.9
Filipino	13	13	100	53.85
Hispanic or Latino	143	140	97.9	30
White	130	127	97.69	64.57
Two or More Races	23	21	91.3	61.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	132	130	98.48	33.08
English Learners	90	89	98.89	28.09
Students with Disabilities	62	60	96.77	10

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

The parents at Cordillera Elementary School are very active participants in their children's education. They volunteer regularly in the classrooms, library and office. Parents are members of our Parent Teacher Association (PTA), School Site Council (SSC), English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) and Garden Committee just to mention a few. The SSC makes gives input on academic goals and budget expenditures along with the staff and principal. The PTA promotes family nights, puts on a winter carnival, and finances student arts programs such as Meet the Masters and Class Act. The PTA works together to raise funds to purchase technology and STEM materials for our students and teachers to utilize across the curriculum.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

Each Fall, the School Site Council and staff review, update and approve our Site Safety Plan. It covers school safety, including emergency entrances and exits, campus rules, policies on suspension and expulsion, sexual harassment, and the reporting of child abuse; responses to student disciplinary and safety concerns, and crime and disaster procedures. We practice monthly fire/disaster drills, maintain extensive emergency supplies, and keep the school equipped with food and water to shelter students for up to 72 hours following a disaster. All visitors to our campus are asked to sign in and wear a visitor badge while on campus.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.62	0.76	0.32
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		6
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		66.7

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625
Psychologist	.6*
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.4
Resource Specialist	
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	23	23	21	1	1	1	3	3	3			
1	30	30	29				2	2	3			
2	30	30	30				4	4	2			
3	29	29	27				3	3	4			
4	29	29	25			1	3	3	2			
5	30	30	35				3	3				3
6	30	30	23	1	1	1			3	2	2	
Other	15	15	11	1	1	1						

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

**Professional Development provided for Teachers**

Staff development is provided on site at professional learning community meetings as well as staff meetings. The administrator and Leadership Team work together to demonstrate best practices and to work together to help teachers implement strategies and instruction practices throughout the school. A team of teachers, along with the site administrator, attend leadership seminars aimed at increasing student achievement through data analysis. We also offer continuous staff training in technology, curriculum alignment, and instructional strategies through monthly grade-level and staff training sessions.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5003.98	73.45	4930.53	91751.67
District	♦	♦	4930.53	\$82,270
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	14.5
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-13.1	21.0

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

**Types of Services Funded**

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- \* Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- \* Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- \* Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- \* Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- \* Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- \* Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- \* Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- \* Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- \* Expand Counseling Services.



\* Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

**DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

**Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.