

Del Cerro Elementary School

24382 Regina Street • Mission Viejo CA, 92691 • (949) 830-5430 • Grades K-6
Dr. Larry Callison , Principal
Larry.Callison@svusd.org
www.schools@svusd.org

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way Mission Viejo CA, 92691 (949) 586-1234 www.svusd.org

District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President Dennis Walsh, Vice President Amanda Morrell, Clerk Suzie R. Swartz, Member Dr. Edward Wong, Member

District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner
Superintendent
Dr. Terry Stanfill
Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources

Connie Cavanaugh
Assistant Superintendent, Business

Laura Ott

Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

Tammy Blakely

Assistant to the Superintendent

Dr. Ron Pirayoff

Director, Secondary Education

Liza Zielasko

Director, Elementary Education

Dr. Diane Clark

Director, Special Education

Scott Turner

Director, SELPA

Monique Yessian

Director, Student Services

School Description

Del Cerro Elementary School is an exceptional place for student learning, parent involvement, and community activities. We believe that by empowering students with the necessary tools to be literate, thinking, technology-using citizens, we prepare them for the challenges of the 21st century.

Our staff, parents, and community share a common vision, and together we create an academically rigorous education for all students. Our curriculum balances enriching learning opportunities and quality support programs. We are committed to implementing the California Content Standards in a systematic and meaningful way while providing an environment that encourages social growth and civic responsibility.

Everyone has a place at Del Cerro. Parents, students, and community members working together to provide a positive, caring, and welcoming environment where all students learn. Please come and visit! We always welcome new parent volunteers.

Dr. Larry Callison, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	57		
Grade 1	67		
Grade 2	66		
Grade 3	53		
Grade 4	75		
Grade 5	85		
Grade 6	79		
Total Enrollment	482		

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6			
Asian	9.8			
Filipino	4.6			
Hispanic or Latino	29.7			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2			
White	44.8			
Two or More Races	8.5			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28			
English Learners	15.1			
Students with Disabilities	12.9			
Foster Youth	0.2			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Del Cerro Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	25	22	25			
Without Full Credential	0	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	•	+	25			
Without Full Credential	*	+	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Del Cerro Elementary School 15-16 16-17 17-18						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0			

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: Oc	tober 2017				
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional M	aterials/Year of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017					
	Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0				
Mathematics	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014					
	Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0				
Science	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008					
	Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0				
History-Social Science	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007	-				
	World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0				

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Del Cerro is the core of the neighborhood and is celebrating its 39th year. Classrooms are all 900 square feet to provide a positive learning space. The school houses a library media center and a centrally located multipurpose room with a stage. In 2001–2002 we modernized the campus, upgrading classrooms and bathrooms and installing a new heating and air-conditioning system. The lunch area, built in 2002, provides excellent shade. Measure B bond funds were recently used to provide brand new playground equipment for grades one through six. Measure B projects were completed in the 2009–2010 school year and included the expansion of the parking lot, new portables for our upper grades, and a new computer lab with 50 new iMacs for our students and staff. The roof was resurfaced over the summer and is ready for inclement weather. We expect to keep our students warm, safe, and dry.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017						
System Inspected		Repai	r Status		Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good	F	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х				stained or broken ceiling tiles to be replaced by plant foreman. carpet torn, to be replaced by contractor.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х				lights not working, to be replaced by plant foreman	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Our school meets most or all of the	
		Х			standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	Sch	School District State			ite	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	56	54	65 64		48	48
Math	49	51	50	51	36	37

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students									
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject	School District State								
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16			
Science	85	85 76 79 77 60 56							

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
5	9.4	23.5	45.9			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)							
_	Number o	f Students	Percen	nt of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced			
All Students	83	76	91.6	76.3			
Male	44	40	90.9	75.0			
Female	39	36	92.3	77.8			
Hispanic or Latino	30	28	93.3	64.3			
White	34	31	91.2	87.1			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26	25	96.2	60.0			
English Learners	14	12	85.7	58.3			
Students with Disabilities	18	15	83.3	40.0			

Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Total** Number Percent Percent **Student Group Enrollment** Tested **Tested** Met or Exceeded 296 293 53.92 All Students 98.99 Male 152 152 100 50.66 **Female** 144 141 97.92 57.45 Asian 33 33 100 81.82 **Filipino** 13 13 100 38.46 Hispanic or Latino 90 90 100 30 White 131 129 98.47 62.79 20 20 100 80 Two or More Races

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

96

63

53

96

63

52

100

100

98.11

33.33

31.75

15.38

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
Student Group Total Number Percent Percent Enrollment Tested Tested Met or Exceed								
All Students	296	293	98.99	50.85				
Male	152	152	100	50				
Female	144	141	97.92	51.77				
Asian	33	33	100	84.85				
Filipino	13	13	100	46.15				
Hispanic or Latino	90	90	100	22.22				
White	131	130	99.24	60				
Two or More Races	20	19	95	78.95				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	96	95	98.96	26.32				
English Learners	63	63	100	25.4				
Students with Disabilities	52	51	98.08	11.76				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

English Learners

Students with Disabilities

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Del Cerro is fortunate to have strong community support. Our Parent Teacher Association (PTA) sponsors fund-raisers to provide funding for the Art Masters program, hands-on science, additional materials for classrooms, computer software and hardware, and other learning opportunities. Equally valuable is the time parents contribute as classroom volunteers. Volunteers assist our teachers and staff to help enrich students' classroom experiences and support family- and school-related programs and special projects. Teachers welcome parent volunteers in the classroom to work with students. This year, volunteers have logged more than 50,000 hours! Our School Site Council (SSC) monitors the school plan and coordinates expenditures to enhance our instructional program. To find out more about volunteering at Del Cerro, contact our PTA president, Mrs. Jessica Holland, at (949) 830-5430.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Del Cerro Elementary School is committed to providing a safe learning environment where students and staff recognize and value responsibility and mutual respect. Every year we review and update our school wide safety plan, which addresses physical safety, disaster preparedness, bullying issues, school wide discipline, and illness and injury prevention. Monthly drills allow students and staff to practice procedures in the event of an emergency. Dedicated campus supervisors oversee students at recess and lunch, and a strictly enforced visitor identification system adds to our students' safety on a daily basis. The staff and students have planned and trained for emergency situations. At Del Cerro, student safety is our first priority! Del Cerro has an emergency signal to alert students and staff should we have a local incident that calls for the school lockdown to be implemented.

Suspensions and Expulsions								
School	2014-15 2015-16 2016-17							
Suspensions Rate			0.2					
Expulsions Rate			0					
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17					
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78					
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2					
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17					
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65					
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09					

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program				
Indicator	School	District		
Program Improvement Status		In PI		
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	6			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	66.7			

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625			
Psychologist	.4*			
Social Worker				
Nurse				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.8*			
Resource Specialist				
Other				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size Number of Classrooms*											
Grade	A	verage Class Si	ze	1-20		21-32			33+			
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	29	29	27				2	2	3			
1	25	25	30				2	2	2			
2	26	26	27				2	2	1			
3	32	32	26			1	3	3	3			
4	32	32	29				2	2	2			
5	30	30	32				2	2	2			1
6	30	30	26	1	1	1			3	3	3	
Other	15	15		1	1							

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

We provide staff training to help teachers meet the goals in our annual school plan. We have weekly staff development days during the year, and we also hold regularly scheduled staff meetings, grade-level meetings, and planning days. Teachers attend conferences and communicate across preschool, elementary, and middle school grade levels. As we add curricular adoptions each year, added emphasis in staff development is provided for teachers to help them better prepare for implementation of each new adoption.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175			
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175			
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			
For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &					

Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries								
Laval	Ехр	Average						
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher d Salary				
School Site	4860.02	89.48	4770.54	98846.41				
District	+	•	4770.54	\$82,270				
State	•	•	\$6,574	\$79,228				
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	23.3				
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-16.0	30.3					

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- * Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- * Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- * Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- * Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- * Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- * Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- * Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- * Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- * Expand Counseling Services.

* Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.
DataQuest DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).
Internet Access Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.
documents.