

# Linda Vista Elementary School

25222 Pericia Drive • Mission Viejo CA, 92691 • (949) 830-0970 • Grades K-6

Suzanne McMasters, Principal

Suzanne.McMasters@svusd.org

www.schools@svusd.org




## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year

### School Description

Linda Vista Elementary School is one of twenty-four elementary schools in the Saddleback Valley Unified School District. Linda Vista Elementary School serves 360 Kindergarten through sixth grade students. The school is located in the city of Mission Viejo and serves students from a variety of ethnic and socio-economic backgrounds. 62% of our students are English Learners, 22% are students with disabilities, and 85% of students are socio-economically disadvantaged. 77% of our students are Hispanic, 13% are white and the remaining 10% of students are from other backgrounds. The school houses kindergarten through sixth grade regular and special education programs and two SVUSD Recreation Department after school child care programs.

Supported by the partnerships of school, home, and community, Linda Vista Elementary School promotes excellence and a commitment to learning within a safe and nurturing environment that empowers every child to succeed and reach his/her full potential. At Linda Vista, we strive to promote the growth of the whole child by building relationships, focusing on rigorous standards-based instruction, providing professional development for staff and encouraging parent involvement. We have a vision of who we are, regardless of how other perceive us. We are in charge of our own destiny. Ultimately, we determine our own path.

Linda Vista Elementary School is a certified AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) Elementary school. The AVID essentials of instruction, culture, leadership and systems are the path to student success and college readiness. Student success begins at Linda Vista. Along with these skills, we emphasize character traits such as trustworthiness, respect, responsibility, fairness, caring, and citizenship through our school wide positive behavior system, ROPES (Respect Others Property Education Self), and student council, which fosters student leadership.



### Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way  
Mission Viejo CA, 92691  
(949) 586-1234  
www.svusd.org

#### District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President  
Dennis Walsh, Vice President  
Amanda Morrell, Clerk  
Suzie R. Swartz, Member  
Dr. Edward Wong, Member

#### District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Terry Stanfill  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**  
Connie Cavanaugh  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business**  
Laura Ott  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Educational Services**  
Tammy Blakely  
**Assistant to the Superintendent**  
Dr. Ron Pirayoff  
**Director, Secondary Education**  
Liza Zielasko  
**Director, Elementary Education**  
Dr. Diane Clark  
**Director, Special Education**  
Scott Turner  
**Director, SELPA**  
Monique Yessian  
**Director, Student Services**

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	55
Grade 1	49
Grade 2	45
Grade 3	50
Grade 4	57
Grade 5	50
Grade 6	54
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>360</b>

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	0.8
Filipino	2.5
Hispanic or Latino	79.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	13.1
Two or More Races	2.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	83.3
English Learners	66.7
Students with Disabilities	20.6
Foster Youth	0.6

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Linda Vista Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	17	22	17
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	17
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Linda Vista Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017  Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Mathematics</b>	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014  Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Science</b>	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008  Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007  World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Science Laboratory Equipment</b>	N/A  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Linda Vista campus dates from 1968 and has been well maintained over the years. The facilities consist of 23 permanent classrooms in three buildings and six portable classrooms. The district childcare center uses two additional portables. All playground equipment meets new state safety standards. We recently used bond funds to improve our facilities, grounds, and equipment, including a new multiuse building consisting of three classrooms.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
**Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017**

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		X			cracked sheet vinyl in rest rooms, to be replaced by contractor. torn carpet, to be replaced by contractor. stained ceiling tiles, to be replaced by plant foreman
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X				
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.
		X			

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	37	35	65	64	48	48
Math	21	27	50	51	36	37

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	60	67	79	77	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	8.2	30.6	12.2

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	49	49	100.0	67.4
Male	25	25	100.0	80.0
Female	24	24	100.0	54.2
Hispanic or Latino	39	39	100.0	64.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42	42	100.0	64.3
English Learners	26	26	100.0	57.7
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.0	81.8

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	205	202	98.54	34.65
Male	100	98	98	24.49
Female	105	104	99.05	44.23
Hispanic or Latino	169	166	98.22	28.92
White	23	23	100	65.22
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	176	173	98.3	30.64
English Learners	154	151	98.05	29.8
Students with Disabilities	50	49	98	10.2

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	205	203	99.02	27.09
Male	100	99	99	21.21
Female	105	104	99.05	32.69
Hispanic or Latino	169	167	98.82	20.96
White	23	23	100	60.87
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	176	174	98.86	24.71
English Learners	154	152	98.7	21.71
Students with Disabilities	50	49	98	6.12

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Linda Vista has an active and supportive parent community. Parents volunteer many hours through Parent Teacher Association (PTA) activities and committees, classroom support, lunchtime activities, and afterschool activities. Parents who work during the school day help classroom teachers by preparing items for teachers during evenings or weekends. Parents participate in decision making as members of School Site Council (SSC), English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC), and the PTA. To find out how you can participate in school activities, please contact our office at (949) 830-0970.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

The safety of our students is integral to our culture and essential to promoting an optimal learning environment. Campus supervisors ensure that students behave safely and positively at recess. Our procedures include a strictly enforced visitor identification system, positive behavior intervention, attendance monitoring and detailed emergency procedures are outlined in our Safe Schools Plan, which we review and revise on an annual basis in September/October. Our plan is approved annually by our SSC in September/October. The safety plan is shared with staff, students, and the community through weekly call outs, staff meetings, and community meetings. Our emergency preparedness supplies and equipment are stored in a central location and have been updated. We have regular drills during the school year to reinforce the safety procedures in the event of a fire, earthquake and/or lockdown/shelter in place.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	0.24	2.34	2.43
Expulsions Rate	0	0.26	0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement	Year 4	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	6	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	66.7	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625
Psychologist	.4
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.8
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	24	24	27				2	2	2			
1	17	17	28	1	1		2	2	1			
2	21	21	17	1	1	2	2	2	2			
3	30	30	30				1	1	2			
4	25	25	18	1	1	1	2	2	1			
5	30	30	32				2	2	1			1
6	17	17	22	2	2	1	2	2	2			

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

Staff development is designed to help teachers meet achievement goals. The SSC updates our Single Plan for Student Achievement annually and establishes goals for training and curriculum that support school, district, and state goals. Our focus is to improve the achievement of significant

subgroups in language arts and math. Our teachers are focused by creating SMART (strategic, measurable, attainable, results-bound, and timely) goals in writing, communication reasoning in math, ADEPT and independent reading.

Through our staff, grade-level, and leadership team meetings, Linda Vista teachers review student work, analyze test data, refine curriculum, plan instruction, and then review instructional strategies and student progress through their professional learning communities. These meetings focus on curriculum and instruction, including differentiation and backwards design, a technique in which the results are considered first and the steps to reach these results are designed from the end to the beginning of the lesson. Linda Vista is an AVID certified school and professional development opportunities include AVID strategies and learning. Professional Development in 2017-18 will continue to focus on the areas listed above and expand to include Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA).

Teachers at Linda Vista meet a minimum of two times per month for professional development. Our instructional coach provides support for implementation, as does the leadership team and principal.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- \* Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- \* Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- \* Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- \* Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- \* Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- \* Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- \* Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- \* Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- \* Expand Counseling Services.
- \* Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	6287.81	524.15	5763.66	108289.30
District	♦	♦	5763.66	\$82,270
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	35.1
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			1.5	42.8

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.