



# Los Alisos Intermediate School


25171 Moor Ave. • Mission Viejo CA, 92691 • (949) 830-9700 • Grades 7-8

Richard Freda, Principal

Richard.Freda@svusd.org

[https://www.saddlespace.org/risser/losalisos/cms\\_page/view](https://www.saddlespace.org/risser/losalisos/cms_page/view)

## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



### Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way  
Mission Viejo CA, 92691  
(949) 586-1234  
[www.svusd.org](http://www.svusd.org)

#### District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President  
Dennis Walsh, Vice President  
Amanda Morrell, Clerk  
Suzie R. Swartz, Member  
Dr. Edward Wong, Member

#### District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner  
**Superintendent**

Dr. Terry Stanfill  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**

Connie Cavanaugh  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business**

Laura Ott  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Educational Services**

Tammy Blakely  
**Assistant to the Superintendent**

Dr. Ron Pirayoff  
**Director, Secondary Education**

Liza Zielasko  
**Director, Elementary Education**

Dr. Diane Clark  
**Director, Special Education**

Scott Turner  
**Director, SELPA**

Monique Yessian  
**Director, Student Services**

### School Description

The faculty and staff at Los Alisos Intermediate School are committed to providing our students the highest quality educational experience possible. To this end, in partnership with individual families and the community at large, our vision at Los Alisos is to create an innovative learning community that develops our student to become self-motivated, life-long learners and respectful global citizens. In order to work towards this vision, we have created our mission statement. Our mission is to use innovative resources to provide relevant, engaging instruction centered on inquiry to empower students to be self-motivated, life-long learners. We will provide a safe environment to promote a culture of respect and tolerance.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	439
Grade 8	476
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>915</b>

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	4.3
Filipino	4
Hispanic or Latino	59.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	28.1
Two or More Races	3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	49.8
English Learners	28.7
Students with Disabilities	14.9
Foster Youth	0.4

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Los Alisos Intermediate School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	43	42	43
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>With Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	41
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Los Alisos Intermediate School	15-16	16-17	17-18
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	<p>Study Sync Adopted 2016</p> <p>English 3D Adopted 2016</p> <p>Scholastic Read 180 Adopted 2004</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
<b>Mathematics</b>	<p>Big Ideas Math Course 2 by Big Ideas Learning Adopted 2014</p> <p>Glencoe Math Accelerated by McGraw-Hill Adopted 2014</p> <p>California Math Course 3 by McGraw-Hill Adopted 2014</p> <p>Algebra 1: Analyze, Connect, Explore California by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
<b>Science</b>	<p>Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer: Focus/Life Science Adopted 2008</p> <p>Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer: Focus/Physical Sci. Adopted 2008</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
<b>History-Social Science</b>	<p>World His., Med./Early Mod./Holt, Rinehart &amp; Winston Adopted 2007</p> <p>U.S. History, Ind. to 1914 by Holt, Rinehart &amp; Winston Adopted 2007</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
<b>Foreign Language</b>	<p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>
<b>Health</b>	<p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0</p>

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our facilities, which were completely modernized in the 2014-2015 school year, are in excellent condition. They consist of eight permanent wings and eight portables. We are able to accommodate approximately 1,250 students in 45 classrooms. We also have five computer labs, two locker rooms, one home economics lab, two STEM labs, an instrumental music room, a science lab, a large multipurpose room, and a gymnasium. Over the winter of 2011-12 our student restrooms were completely renovated.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		X		Stained ceiling tiles, to be replaced by plant foreman.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			light out, to be replaced by plant foreman
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>
		X		
Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.				

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	63	54	65	64	48	48
Math	41	38	50	51	36	37

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	489	479	98.0	68.5
Male	247	244	98.8	73.4
Female	242	235	97.1	63.4
Asian	22	22	100.0	100.0
Filipino	27	27	100.0	74.1
Hispanic or Latino	253	249	98.4	53.8
White	163	159	97.6	84.9
Two or More Races	19	17	89.5	82.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	249	245	98.4	52.7
English Learners	104	101	97.1	26.7
Students with Disabilities	41	41	100.0	48.8

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	75	68	79	77	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
7	15.6	25.1	34

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	900	884	98.22	53.73
Male	440	432	98.18	51.16
Female	460	452	98.26	56.19
Asian	40	39	97.5	79.49
Filipino	36	36	100	63.89
Hispanic or Latino	534	523	97.94	45.12
White	252	248	98.41	64.92
Two or More Races	28	28	100	78.57
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	460	449	97.61	39.42
English Learners	379	368	97.1	35.6
Students with Disabilities	133	131	98.5	12.98

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	900	892	99.11	38
Male	440	435	98.86	42.53
Female	460	457	99.35	33.7
Asian	40	40	100	72.5
Filipino	36	36	100	41.67
Hispanic or Latino	534	530	99.25	28.49
White	252	248	98.41	49.6
Two or More Races	28	28	100	67.86
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	460	456	99.13	24.34
English Learners	379	376	99.21	19.15
Students with Disabilities	134	132	98.51	6.82

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parent involvement is an important feature of our school and usually occurs through the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) or School Site Council (SSC). Our PTO meets regularly throughout the year to allocate funds and to plan parent-sponsored events. For the next school year, 2017-2018 we have voted to move to a Parent-Teacher-Student Association (PTSA). The SSC, which includes parent members, makes decisions about our school improvement plan and approves related budget expenditures. Our English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) helps our Spanish-speaking parents feel welcome at our school and provides them with vital school information essential for student success. We have extensive parent outreach programs such as Boy's Town Parenting Classes, Disciplina Positiva, and Parent Project, designed specifically to help parents navigate through intermediate school. We also offer Parent Academy workshops twice a year. These workshops are led by our school counselor and our Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) coordinator. They are designed to help parents understand what goes on in a typical classroom. Parents are trained on the use of computer applications and Google Classroom, as well as note-taking and organization skills. All of these programs focus on empowering parents with knowledge and strategies that make both them and their children successful.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

Los Alisos is a safe, monitored campus. Teachers and administrators monitor the campus from 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., and a campus supervisor is on site each day. Our campus has a camera system that can be monitored on site. We have a fully developed emergency preparedness plan that we review and update yearly. Each classroom also has an effective intercom system, a telephone, and email access. Los Alisos is a Positive Behavior Interventions and Support school (PBIS). Through PBIS, students are oriented on school-wide behavior expectations. Students are positively rewarded for making good choices.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.64	4.01	7.78
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.6	0.95
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	6	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	66.7	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1.0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.49
Psychologist	.6
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.5
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	900

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)**

Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
English	26	26	26	8	8	11	30	30	28	1	1	1
Mathematics	22	22	11	1	1	6						
Science	30	30	26	1	1	4	23	23	33	7	7	
Social Science	30	30	28	3	3	5	17	17	24	12	12	6

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**Professional Development provided for Teachers**

During the 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 school years we have had three dedicated professional development days for staff. During the 2015-16 school year we focused on adapting instructional practices to meet the needs of 21st Century learners with a focus on checking for student understanding. During the 2016-17 school year we focused on our schoolwide writing goal (using text-supported, evidence-based writing strategies) and math goal (communicating reasoning). We also introduced the process of the Instructional Rounds observation approach to help address a school-wide problem of practice. During the 2017-18 school year we are focusing on collaborative practices and engagement strategies to address results of our Instructional Rounds. We will also continue with Instructional Rounds to address a new problem of practice. In addition to our three dedicated professional development days, all staff meet approximately once a month, for one hour after school, to continue our ongoing focus of professional development. During the 2016-17 school year we added an academic coach to our staff. The academic coach is instrumental in helping coach our staff individually and in groups through their professional learning communities to meet the expectations of our instructional goals. Teachers also have access to conferences and workshops through the targeted use of our Title I and LCAP funds.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5822.01	277.88	5544.13	121806.77
District	◆	◆	5544.13	\$82,270
State	◆	◆	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	52.0
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-2.3	60.6

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

**Types of Services Funded**

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- \* Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- \* Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- \* Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- \* Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- \* Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- \* Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- \* Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).



- \* Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- \* Expand Counseling Services.
- \* Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.