

Ralph A. Gates Elementary School

23882 Landisview Ave. • Lake Forest CA, 92630 • (949) 837-2260 • Grades K-6

Yvonne Estling, Principal
estlingy@svusd.org
www.schools@svusd.org

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way
Mission Viejo CA, 92691
(949) 586-1234
www.svusd.org

District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President
Dennis Walsh, Vice President
Amanda Morrell, Clerk
Suzie R. Swartz, Member
Dr. Edward Wong, Member

District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner
Superintendent
Dr. Terry Stanfill
Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources
Connie Cavanaugh
Assistant Superintendent, Business
Laura Ott
Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services
Tammy Blakely
Assistant to the Superintendent
Dr. Ron Pirayoff
Director, Secondary Education
Liza Zielasko
Director, Elementary Education
Dr. Diane Clark
Director, Special Education
Scott Turner
Director, SELPA
Monique Yessian
Director, Student Services

School Description

Vision

Gates Elementary School is a snapshot of California's population. We serve students of diverse cultural, ethnic, and socio-economic backgrounds. The uniqueness of our student population is addressed through a stimulating learning environment with instruction that meets students' appropriate academic level. We strive for high academic standards and expectations for all students.

Self-esteem is a critical facet in the education of the whole child. Through an environment of mutual respect, positive reinforcement and opportunities to build good character, our students develop a positive self concept. This positive self-esteem is the vehicle through which all students become responsible citizens and learn the skills necessary to adapt to a constantly changing society.

We encourage our parents to be active partners in the education of their children by providing information and resources that allow them to take an appropriate role in supporting their child's learning. The team of child, teacher, and parent working together is key to the success of our students. With this team support, as students leave Gates School they are able to successfully meet the challenges that lie ahead of them in this multi-faceted world.

Mission

The mission of the Gates school community is to meet the needs of the whole child so that each child can be a successful individual and a contributing member of society.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	131
Grade 1	130
Grade 2	158
Grade 3	173
Grade 4	166
Grade 5	143
Grade 6	132
Total Enrollment	1,033

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	0.8
Filipino	1.7
Hispanic or Latino	78.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	14.5
Two or More Races	3.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57.2
English Learners	54.2
Students with Disabilities	7.9
Foster Youth	0.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Ralph A. Gates Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	40	38	40
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	40
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Ralph A. Gates Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017 Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014 Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008 Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007 World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

We modernized our site in 1999, bringing all classroom and workspaces up to federal and state safety and building codes. A security alarm system protects the extensive technology on the site. Between 2001 and 2004, we replaced all playground equipment with modern play structures that meet new state safety codes. Many classrooms received new carpeting. Our upper grade playground's field received new irrigation and new sod.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X				Stained ceiling tiles, to be replaced by plant foreman. Carpet loose, to be replaced by contractor.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical	X				Burnt out light bulbs, to be repaired by plant foreman.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.
		X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	51	47	65	64	48	48
Math	41	42	50	51	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	136	133	97.8	55.6
Male	62	59	95.2	62.7
Female	74	74	100.0	50.0
Hispanic or Latino	102	100	98.0	45.0
White	24	24	100.0	83.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	80	98.8	33.8
English Learners	64	62	96.9	25.8

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	65	56	79	77	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	6.3	19.6	49

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	623	601	96.47	47.42
Male	303	296	97.69	46.96
Female	320	305	95.31	47.87
Filipino	13	10	76.92	70
Hispanic or Latino	496	478	96.37	38.7
White	80	79	98.75	86.08
Two or More Races	23	23	100	78.26
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	389	369	94.86	30.35
English Learners	390	370	94.87	30.54
Students with Disabilities	63	63	100	20.63

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	623	621	99.68	42.35
Male	303	303	100	45.21
Female	320	318	99.38	39.62
Filipino	13	13	100	61.54
Hispanic or Latino	496	495	99.8	34.55
White	80	79	98.75	79.75
Two or More Races	23	23	100	69.57
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	389	388	99.74	26.55
English Learners	390	389	99.74	26.99
Students with Disabilities	62	62	100	20.97

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents play an important role at Gates Elementary School, and we welcome new parents to our organizations. Our School Site Council (SSC) includes parents and staff. SSC approves our school's annual Gates School Plan, budget expenditures, our Comprehensive Safe School Plan, and our Parent Involvement Policy. Our English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) includes our English Learner parents and provides parent workshops and information to our parents. In addition, ELAC provides input to our Gates School Plan, budget expenditures, our Comprehensive Safe School Plan and our Parent Involvement Policy. Our parent organizations, Parent Teacher Association (PTA) and Advocates for Language Learners (ALL), have contributed to funding our Art Masters art program, field trips, new playground equipment, and many school programs. We provide Spanish translation at PTA and ALL meetings. Both the PTA and ALL help support our Reading Counts computer-based comprehension tests in both English and Spanish. To find out more about becoming involved at our school, contact Yvonne Estling at (949) 837-2260.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

We integrate district approved safety guidelines into our site discipline and character education plans in collaboration with School Site Council (SSC), English Language Advisory Committee, Student Council, teachers, and other groups. At the beginning of the school year, we provide information and receive input into the Comprehensive Safe School Plan. We work collaboratively with parents and staff to address safety needs. The annual Comprehensive Safe School Plan is approved by SSC every October. Regular communication is sent home with parents reminding them of important school safety procedures. To prepare students and staff for emergencies, our Site Safety Plan includes simulated disaster drills twice a year and monthly fire drills. All visitors are required to check in at the school office.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	0.91	0.56	0.38
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	6	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	66.7	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625
Psychologist	.2
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.60
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	32	32	33				4	4		1	1	4
1	27	27	27				7	7	6			
2	28	28	29				6	6	6			
3	30	30	29				5	5	6			
4	30	30	30				4	4	5			
5	30	30	33				3	3	1	1	1	2
6	32	32	31				2	2	4	3	3	1

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers meet weekly in grade-level teams to review student work, plan instruction, and review teaching strategies. Teachers attend district staff development workshops, county workshops, and state workshops to improve teaching methods and lesson delivery.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	5098.93	435.47	4663.46	113134.46
District	♦	♦	4663.46	\$82,270
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	41.2
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-17.9	49.2

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- * Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- * Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- * Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- * Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- * Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- * Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- * Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- * Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- * Expand Counseling Services.
- * Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.