Rancho Canada Elementary School

21801 Winding Way • Lake Forest CA, 92630 • (949) 768-5252 • Grades K-6
Larry Hausner, Ed.D., Principal
Larry.Hausner@svusd.org
https://www.saddlespace.org/RanchoCanada/welcome/cms_page/view

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way Mission Viejo CA, 92691 (949) 586-1234 www.svusd.org

District Governing Board

Dolores Winchell, President
Dennis Walsh, Vice President
Amanda Morrell, Clerk
Suzie R. Swartz, Member
Dr. Edward Wong, Member

District Administration

Dr. Crystal Turner **Superintendent**

Dr. Terry Stanfill

Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources

Connie Cavanaugh

Assistant Superintendent, Business

Laura Ott

Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

Tammy Blakely

Assistant to the Superintendent

Dr. Ron Pirayoff

Director, Secondary Education

Liza Zielasko

Director, Elementary Education

Dr. Diane Clark

Director, Special Education

Scott Turner

Director, SELPA

Monique Yessian

Director, Student Services

School Description

We are excited about our new programs and the improvements we have made to our campus and curriculum. The Parent Teacher Association (PTA), our school, and our district collaborate to provide our students with enrichment and tutorial programs throughout the year. Enrichment programs, after school tutoring for English Learner (EL) students, STEAM Lab, Art Masters, PE, instrumental music, general music, and chorus, support classroom instruction.

We recognize students for their attributes of good citizenship and academic performance at Student of the Month assemblies, monthly flag ceremonies, 6th Grade Promotion, and Gaucho Bucks (reward tickets). The Rancho Cañada Student Council does a wonderful job promoting school spirit with outreach to our community, music at lunch time, and other spirit activities during the school year.

The Rancho Cañada students continue to do excel inside and outside the classroom.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kindergarten	84			
Grade 1	97			
Grade 2	89			
Grade 3	103			
Grade 4	97			
Grade 5	76			
Grade 6	102			
Total Enrollment	648			

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	1.4			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0			
Asian	10.2			
Filipino	1.5			
Hispanic or Latino	28.9			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3			
White	48.5			
Two or More Races	8.3			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20.7			
English Learners	14.7			
Students with Disabilities	15.1			
Foster Youth	0.3			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Rancho Canada Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	29	27	29			
Without Full Credential	0	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	*	+	29			
Without Full Credential	*	+	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	+	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Rancho Canada Elementary 15-16 16-17 17-18						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0			

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017					
Core Curriculum Area	rriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017				
	Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0			
Mathematics	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014				
	Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes O			
Science	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008				
	Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0			
History-Social Science	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007				
	World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0			

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Rancho Cañada dates from 1976. Our school underwent modernization and Measure B construction in the summer of 2006 to replace old portable classrooms, refurbish the wood structures surrounding our building, refurbish restrooms and classrooms, enlarge the multipurpose room, complete playing field improvements, and install a new intercom system as well as covers for the lunch, field, and kindergarten areas. The project was completed in the summer of 2007. Our children, teachers, staff, and community now have a new school to enjoy for years to come.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: November 2017						
System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good	I	air	Pod	or	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					light out, to be replaced by plant foreman
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Р	oor	Our school meets most or all of the
	Х					standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	Sch	ool	District State			ite
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	63	60	65	64	48	48
Math	53	55	50	51	36	37

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students								
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District State							
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16		
Science	80	80 79 79 77 60 56						

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
5	17.1	21.1	35.5			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)						
	Number of	Students	Percent of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced		
All Students	103	101	98.1	79.2		
Male	62	60	96.8	76.7		
Female	41	41	100.0	82.9		
Asian	13	13	100.0	100.0		
Hispanic or Latino	34	34	100.0	64.7		
White	45	43	95.6	83.7		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	23	23	100.0	56.5		
English Learners	11	11	100.0	45.5		
Students with Disabilities	20	20	100.0	45.0		

^{*} Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Total** Number Percent Percent **Student Group Enrollment** Tested **Tested** Met or Exceeded 372 366 98.39 59.84 203 200 98.52 51.5 169 166 98.22 69.88 38 37 97.37 62.16 106 106 100 42.45

177

28

85

67

59

98.88

96.55

96.59

95.71

96.72

68.93

75

32.94

41.79

8.47

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

179

29

88

70

61

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
Student Group Total Number Percent Percent Enrollment Tested Tested Met or Exceeded							
All Students	372	369	99.19	55.01			
Male	203	202	99.51	56.93			
Female	169	167	98.82	52.69			
Asian	38	38	100	65.79			
Hispanic or Latino	106	106	100	34.91			
White	179	177	98.88	66.1			
Two or More Races	29	28	96.55	60.71			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88	88	100	34.09			
English Learners	70	70	100	37.14			
Students with Disabilities	61	59	96.72	11.86			

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

All Students

Hispanic or Latino

Two or More Races

English Learners

Students with Disabilities

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Male

Female

Asian

White

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Rancho Cañada has an active and supportive Parent Teacher Association (PTA). The PTA sponsors a highly successful annual Jog-a-Thon which funds the school's technology improvements, STEAM Lab, the Art Masters program, Red Ribbon Week, Discovering Science, a Reflections program, academic and cultural assemblies, Family Fun Nights, a book fair, Muffins with Mom, Daybreak with Dad, and the sixth grade Promotion Ceremony. The School Site Council (SSC) allocates school improvement funds to maintain an effective library and computer lab, to provide professional development opportunities for staff, and to purchase software and hardware along with classroom materials.

Parents volunteer in the classrooms and accompany classes on field trips. We encourage parents who wish to become more involved in our school to contact their children's teacher or call Lorraine Tonick at (949) 768-5252. For information about the PTA, contact our PTA president.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

We review and update our site Safety Plan annually in the fall. The plan addresses a safe and orderly school environment, disaster procedures, building and safety standards, and student behavior. The safety plan is discussed and approved by our School Site Council. Our PTA maintains emergency food and water supplies. We expect students to follow our school's safety guidelines on the school grounds and within the building. School employees (campus supervisors/teachers) supervise the playgrounds at recess as well as before and after school. Our staff and the PTA collaborate each year to update our disaster preparedness program, which includes monthly safety drills.

Suspensions and Expulsions								
School	2014-15 2015-16 2016-17							
Suspensions Rate	0.55	1.35	0.73					
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0					
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17					
Suspensions Rate	1.7	1.84	1.78					
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.2					
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17					
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65					
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09					

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	6				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	66.7				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625			
Psychologist	.4			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist 1.0				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Δ.	vouces Class Sir		Number of Classrooms*								
Grade	A	verage Class Si	ze	1-20		21-32			33+			
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	23	23	23	1	1	1	3	3	4			
1	24	24	20			1	4	4	2			
2	28	28	27	1	1	1	3	3	4			
3	30	30	32				3	3	3			
4	27	27	25	1	1	1	3	3	3			
5	33	33	34				1	1		2	2	3
6	30	30	27			1	3	3	3			
Other	13	13		1	1							

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

This year our staff development days are focused on aligning our curriculum with state and district standards, assessing student writing, using walk through data to assist in instruction, and developing SMART Goals. Teachers meet regularly in grade-level groups to review student work, plan instruction, and develop strategies to assist students who are not meeting grade-level standards.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,808			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,274	\$73,555			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,806	\$95,850			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$128,234	\$120,448			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,828	\$125,592			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$147,958	\$138,175			
Superintendent Salary	\$296,984	\$264,457			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	39%	35%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

Teacher Salaries	39%	35%				
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%				
* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &						
Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/ .						

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
	Expenditures Per Pupil					
Level	Total	Restricted	cted Unrestricted Sala			
School Site	4755.53	60.39	4695.14	90090.57		
District	*	*	4695.14	\$82,270		
State	*	•	\$6,574	\$79,228		
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	12.4		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-17.3	18.8			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

- Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.
- Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.
- Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.
- Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- * Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- * Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- * Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- * Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- * Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- * Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- * Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- * Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- * Expand Counseling Services.
- * Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

<u>DataQuest</u>
DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).
Internet Access
Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print
documents.