

Foothill Ranch Elementary School

1 Torino • Foothill Ranch CA, 92610 • (949) 470-4885 • Grades K-6

Ed MacNevin, Principal

Ed.MacNevin@svusd.org

<https://www.svusd.org/schools/elementary-a-l/foothill-ranch>

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



Saddleback Valley Unified School District

25631 Peter A. Hartman Way
Mission Viejo CA, 92691
(949) 586-1234
www.svusd.org

District Governing Board

Suzie Swartz, President
Dr. Edward Wong, Vice President
Amanda Morrell, Clerk
Barbara Schulman, Member
Greg Kunath, Member

District Administration

Crystal Turner, Ed D.
Superintendent
Dr. Terry Stanfill
**Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources**
Connie Cavanaugh
Assistant Superintendent, Business
Laura Ott
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services**
Mark Perez
**Director, Communications and
Administrative Services**
Dr. Ron Pirayoff
Director, Secondary Education
Liza Zielasko
Director, Elementary Education
Dr. Diane Clark
Director, Special Education
Rae Lynn Nelson
Director, SELPA
Dr. Francis Dizon
Director, Student Services

School Description

Foothill Ranch Elementary (FRE) School is committed to providing a nurturing and safe environment in which children develop a lifelong love of learning. We provide abundant and diverse opportunities for students to develop intellectually, emotionally, physically, and socially. As a Professional Development Site for California State University, Fullerton (CSUF), we have a strong commitment to meeting the needs of each student and to collaborating closely with CSUF in training student teachers.

In our efforts to provide a challenging learning environment for each student, we are continuing to provide ongoing assessments during the learning process (formative assessments), which drive our daily lessons. Our professional grade-level professional learning communities allow our teachers to plan together on a weekly basis.

Teachers integrate technology in both creating and delivering their daily lessons. Teachers have SMART Boards in each classroom. Our Bobcat Network News has provided us with high-quality communication and has been a great avenue to honor students for their achievements during the year.

Ed MacNevin, Principal

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	213
Grade 1	173
Grade 2	155
Grade 3	154
Grade 4	177
Grade 5	164
Grade 6	159
Total Enrollment	1,195

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	25.0
Filipino	3.9
Hispanic or Latino	15.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	43.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	14.3
English Learners	11.3
Students with Disabilities	9.9
Foster Youth	0.0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Foothill Ranch Elementary School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	45	46	47
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1,157
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Foothill Ranch Elementary	16-17	17-18	18-19
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

We choose textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to state-adopted content standards consistent with state-adopted curriculum framework content and cycles. This report includes a list of some of the textbooks and instructional materials we use at our school. We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This report shows whether each student in each core course had sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the state-adopted curriculum frameworks for those subjects.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: October 2018	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017 Benchmark Adelante by Benchmark Education Company Adopted 2017 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	Math Expressions by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014 Go Math by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	Scott Foresman California Science K-5 Adopted 2008 Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer Focus/Earth Science Adopted 2008 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	Reflections by Harcourt Adopted 2007 World History, Ancient Civil./Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our facilities are in excellent condition. We have two instructional labs for technology. We have improved the line-up area at our portable buildings, which will improve supervision. We have a comprehensive disaster plan and a well-rehearsed fire drill line-up system.

A new security system includes strategically placed cameras, and an alarm system protects our extensive onsite technology and classroom buildings. All playground equipment meets new state safety standards.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)		
Year and month in which data were collected: October 2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Poor	Stained ceiling tiles. To be replaced by plant foreman
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	light not working, to be replaced by plant foreman. broken light lens, to be replaced by electrician
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Good	Our school meets most or all of the standards for good repair, established by the Office of Public School Construction. If we have any deficiencies, they are not significant. We scored between 99 and 100 percent on the 15 categories of our evaluation.

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	70.0	70.0	64.0	65.0	48.0	50.0
Math	64.0	64.0	51.0	52.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter

Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	18.3	31.1	42.7

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	660	623	94.39	69.82
Male	353	338	95.75	64.79
Female	307	285	92.83	75.79
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	159	157	98.74	87.90
Filipino	20	20	100.00	75.00
Hispanic or Latino	100	89	89.00	58.43
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	307	286	93.16	64.69
Two or More Races	60	57	95.00	64.91
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	116	109	93.97	54.13
English Learners	107	104	97.20	66.35
Students with Disabilities	93	83	89.25	16.87

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	661	622	94.1	63.5
Male	354	338	95.48	63.61
Female	307	284	92.51	63.38
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	159	158	99.37	85.44
Filipino	20	20	100	70
Hispanic or Latino	100	89	89	37.08
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	307	285	92.83	60.35
Two or More Races	61	56	91.8	64.29
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	116	108	93.1	41.67
English Learners	107	105	98.13	59.05
Students with Disabilities	95	82	86.32	15.85

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents serve on many important committees. New volunteers are always welcome! Our School Site Council (SSC) approves our school's site plan and budget expenditures. Parents on our English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) help staff make decisions that affect English Learners. Our Parent Teacher Association (PTA) has helped to provide new computers for our labs, projectors for our teachers, books that correspond to various student reading levels, the Art Masters program, Accelerated Reader computerized comprehension tests, and many incentives for our student recognition program. They have also purchased tables, umbrellas, and library books.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

District approved safety guidelines are integrated into our school's discipline and character education plans. Our emergency committee oversees monthly safety drills and meets yearly to update our school safety plan. Monthly schoolwide broadcasts focus on safety and our PBIS tenants. District maintenance and custodial staff inspect equipment regularly, and staff members supervise the playgrounds at recess.

Suspensions and Expulsions

School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	0.4	0.5	1.2
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	1.8	1.8	1.9
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.2	0.2
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)

Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.4625
Psychologist	1.0
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.8
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
K	28	29	30	1			6	7	7			
1	28	29	28				5	5	6			
2	26	22	30		2		6	5	5			
3	27	28	29	1			5	6	5			
4	32	29	30			1	4	5	3	1		2
5	32	32	32				4	3	3	1	2	2
6	30	27	28	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	1	1
Other		14	13		1	1						

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers receive training through the grade-level professional learning communities, offsite conferences, on-campus school release days, and during afterschool sessions. This year our teachers focused on reading, mathematics, and professional learning communities. Teachers meet in grade-level teams to review student work, plan instruction, and review teaching strategies. Staff development also takes place during biweekly grade-level meetings.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$30,000	\$47,903
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,027	\$74,481
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,824	\$98,269
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$127,800	\$123,495
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$133,873	\$129,482
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$154,800	\$142,414
Superintendent Salary	\$270,000	\$271,429
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	38.0	35.0
Administrative Salaries	5.0	5.0

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

Saddleback Valley USD has identified Goals and Actions/Services to support students to be college and career ready and to demonstrate the 21st century skills of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

Goal 1- Improve literacy in all content areas.

Goal 2- Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/Language Arts, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

Goal 3- Improve access to, enrollment in and completion of a rigorous course of study.

Goal 4- Increase student engagement and parent involvement.

The District has implemented the following Actions and Services to support each Goal identified above:

- Develop and implement school site literacy goals for all students and specific goals for students in significant subgroups.
- Expand literacy intervention programs including prevention and early systematic intervention, appropriate materials, professional development and extended learning time options; provide focused support for students in grades 4-12 at the Intermediate Level of Proficiency at all school sites.
- Provide appropriate, current instructional materials.
- Provide staffing to support classrooms with Literacy Coaches/Academic Coach and ELD Coach.
- Support continued implementation of strategies for Designated and Integrated ELD.
- Expand intervention programs for at-risk students to prepare them for "a-g" courses.
- Expand Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- Increase the number of "a-g" approved courses and Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate courses.
- Expand Counseling Services.
- Provide parent education regarding course pathways, graduation requirements, post-secondary opportunities, current academic standards; support parent participation and involvement in parent and advisory groups.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>, that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	4905.36	39.97	4865.45	100245.3
District	♦	♦	4865.45	\$83,025
State	♦	♦	\$7,125	\$80,764
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	18.8
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-37.7	21.5

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.