



Monroe Elementary School

3750 Monterey Blvd. • San Leandro, CA 94578 • (510) 667-3583 • Grades K-5

Jeannette McNeil, Principal

JMcNeil@slusd.us

2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year

San Leandro Unified School District

14735 Juniper St.
San Leandro, CA 94579
(510) 895-4199
www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Lance James
Ron Carey
Mike Katz-Lacabe
Jason Toro
Diana J. Prola
Vince J. Rosato
Elisha Feliciano
Student Board Member

District Administration

Cindy M. Cathey
Superintendent

Debbie Wong
**Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services**

Song Chin-Bendib
**Assistant Superintendent
Business & Operations**

John Thompson
**Senior Director II
Human Resources**

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (510) 667-3583.

School Description

James Monroe Elementary is a community of learners—students, staff, parents, neighbors, and local San Leandro businesses—who collaboratively work together to ensure students with a nurturing and safe environment, while focusing on a fair and equitable education for all. As a culturally diverse learning community, Monroe strives towards academic excellence within an inclusive anti-racist environment. Working together as a community, we strive to close the racial and linguistic achievement gap, achieving high academic standards for all of our children.

James Monroe has very active parent organizations. Our Parent Teacher Organization (PTO), Dad's Club, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), School Site Council (SSC), and our African American Parent Organization work together to ensure all students meet high standards and are included in all aspects of the school. We welcome our community to continue to get involved and become an active part of our school. Please visit our website at www.gojamesmonroe.org to see the enthusiasm and excitement of our parent community.

District Vision Statement

The mission of the San Leandro Unified School District is to educate students to achieve and demonstrate academic excellence and become confident, collaborative and competitive in a global society, by utilizing state-of-the-art technologies and innovative teaching strategies within a well-maintained, secure learning environment, in a region rich in heritage and diverse in culture, where we value our traditions while welcoming change.

School Mission Statement

James Monroe Elementary is committed to building an inclusive school community. Our mission is to educate our students so they can achieve and demonstrate academic excellence. We will achieve Monroe's Mission objectives by providing our students with a nurturing, safe, and secure environment, while providing a California Standards based curriculum and using culturally relevant teaching strategies with our students. We capitalize on the rich cultural heritage of our students, viewing students through the lens of equity to drive individualized instruction and sustain high expectations for all students.

District Profile

San Leandro Unified School District is located in the City of San Leandro, which is situated 20 miles southeast of San Francisco. San Leandro is a friendly and diverse city with a colorful heritage and numerous cultural amenities including a 450-berth Marina, two golf courses and a large community library center. San Leandro is well-known for its quiet, well-defined neighborhoods full of charming and unique older houses on tree-lined streets. San Leandro residents are proud of both their neighborhoods and their city, which can be seen in their active involvement in the city's numerous neighborhood and homeowner's associations.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational program at Monroe Elementary School. Parents may participate in the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO), School Site Council (SSC,) English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC,) African American Parent Organization, and Dad's Club. Please see our web site, www.gojamesmonroe.org to find out how to get involved or to see an updated calendar of meetings and events for James Monroe.

Monroe Elementary School has several partnerships with local community organizations and businesses, including the Floresta Homeowners Association, North Face, Wells Fargo Bank, Washington Mutual, Pappa Murphys Pizza, California Concepts

Contact Information

Individuals who wish to participate, sponsor, volunteer, or provide donations to Monroe Elementary School may contact the main office at (510) 618-4340.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kinder.	57
Gr. 1	63
Gr. 2	64
Gr. 3	57
Gr. 4	64
Gr. 5	77
Total	382

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	10.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	20.4
Filipino	11.5
Hispanic or Latino	43.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1
White	10.2
Two or More Races	2.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.2
English Learners	50.3
Students with Disabilities	17.8

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern at Monroe Elementary School. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to our Safety Plan and emergency preparedness. The School Site Safety plan was reviewed, discussed and updated the SSC and by Monroe Elementary School Staff on January 12, 2012. The key elements of the School Site Safety Plan include anti-bullying policies and procedures, district discipline policies and documents, child abuse reporting procedures, sexual harassment policy, and a section devoted to emergency preparedness. Fire, earthquake, and intruder drills are conducted on a monthly basis throughout the school year. To ensure student safety, staff members supervise students at all times before and after school, and during recess and lunch. All visitors to the campus are required to check in at the school's office and wear the proper identification badge at all times.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Year	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
	10	11	12	1-20			21-32			33+		
	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
Kinder.	22		25.5			0	2		2			0
Gr. 1	23		23			1	2		2			0
Gr. 2	22		23			1	2		2			0
Gr. 3	17		28	3		0			2			0
Gr. 4	23		30			0	1		2			0
Gr. 5	23		25.7			1	2		2			0
Other	14	23.2		2	5			9				0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	09-10	10-11	11-12
Suspensions Rate	6.84	0.55	4.09
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0
District	09-10	10-11	11-12
Suspensions Rate	21.57	18.68	17.75
Expulsions Rate	0.76	0.77	0.11

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 09/27/2011

Age & Condition of Facilities

Monroe Elementary School was originally constructed in 1955 and is currently comprised of 20 permanent classrooms, five portables, a computer lab, a library, a staff lounge, a staff workroom, a multi-purpose room, and a playground. Recent renovation include new double doors at the hall entrances and restroom renovations.

Maintenance & Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and work orders are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority to emergency repairs. At the time of publication 100% of Monroe Elementary's restrooms were in good working order.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The State School Deferred Maintenance Budget Program provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating/air conditioning, interior/exterior painting, electrical systems, and flooring. For the 2012-2013 school year, the district allocated \$164,000 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents .22% of the district's general fund budget.

The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection provided by the district. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been scheduled for repair or are in the process of remediation. With the passage of Measure B, modernization and renovation of the San Leandro schools has begun. For more information about Measure B, please visit the district's web site: www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Missing chair gliders-Rooms 7, 13.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Dirty cove base-Restroom near Room 10.
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Missing light diffuser-Cafeteria, kitchen.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Faucet needs adjusting; missing push button toilet-Restroom near Room 11. Faucet leaking-Room 21. Faucet loose-Room 24.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Broken window-Restroom near Room 11. Missing window latch-Room 23.
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Teacher Credentials			
School	09-10	10-11	11-12
Fully Credentialed	18	16	17
Without Full Credential	1	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0
Districtwide	09-10	10-11	11-12
Fully Credentialed	♦	♦	416
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	6

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Teachers and staff at James Monroe Elementary are continually working to improve their practice. The District supports staff development through three Professional Growth Days each year. This year we are working to implement English language instruction through the use of GLAD strategies. Additionally we meet monthly for 90 minute meetings to work on issues such as equity, discipline, English Language Development, safety procedures/emergency preparedness, etc..

Teachers receive support from District coaches who work to improve daily teaching practices, provide support for teachers to reflect and stay current with technology, implement the best teaching strategies and District curriculum, as well as other areas of need. The principal visits classrooms regularly, providing feedback to support teachers as they are continuously improving.

For our newer teachers, staff development is supported by BTSA (Beginning Teachers Support and Assessment.)

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
Districtwide		
All Schools	96.52	3.48
High-Poverty Schools	96.44	3.56
Low-Poverty Schools	0	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	0
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	1
Other	1
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,587	\$485	\$4,101	\$79,596
District	♦	♦	\$5,389	\$74,037
State	♦	♦	\$5,455	\$66,336
Percent Difference: School Site/District				13.40%
Percent Difference: School Site/ State				-1.21% 23.21%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

San Leandro Unified School District spent an average of \$7,709 to educate each student (based on 2010-11 audited financial statements). The figures shown in the following table reflect the direct cost of educational services, per ADA, excluding food services, facilities acquisition and construction, and certain other expenditures. This calculation is required by law annually and compared with other districts statewide.

In addition to general state funding, San Leandro Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical, special education, and support programs:

- Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA (Title I)
- K-5 Class Size Reduction
- Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
- Peer Assistance & Review
- Special Education Master Plan
- Instructional Materials
- ROC/P Entitlement
- Tenth Grade Counseling
- Gifted & Talented Pupils
- School Improvement Program
- Special Education Transportation
- Drug/Alcohol/Tobacco Funds
- School Safety & Violence Prevention
- Title II Teacher Quality
- Title III English Learners
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement per UDC
- Title IV Safe & Drug Free Schools & Communities
- Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act
- Federal, Special Education, Discretionary Grants

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,832	\$40,656
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,857	\$64,181
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,079	\$82,486
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$109,795	\$102,165
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$116,087	\$108,480
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$134,757	\$117,845
Superintendent Salary	\$204,399	\$181,081
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	47%	40%
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school’s use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: December, 2012

San Leandro Unified School District sets a high priority upon ensuring that sufficient and current textbooks and materials are available to support the school’s instructional program. San Leandro Unified School District held a public hearing on September 20th, 2011 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. The State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home.

Textbooks are adopted by the Board of Trustees after review by teachers and parents according to the timeline established by the State of California. All district-adopted textbooks are State Board adopted and aligned to the Academic Content Standards established by the California Board of Education. The most recent textbooks are illustrated in the chart.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
<p>Reading/Language Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%</p>	Hampton Brown Adopted 2002 Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2002 Hampton Brown Adopted 2011
<p>Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%</p>	Pearson Scott Foresman Adopted 2008
<p>Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%</p>	MacMillan/ McGraw Hill Adopted 2007
<p>History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%</p>	Scott Foresman Adopted 2006

Student Performance Data

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison (A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA)									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12
ELA	47	53	55	44	45	48	52	54	56
Math	54	60	66	35	36	37	48	50	51
Science	61	71	52	50	53	49	54	57	60
H-SS				38	39	37	44	48	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

2012 STAR Results by Student Group (A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA)				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	48	37	49	37
All Student at the School	55	66	52	
Male	48	65	50	
Female	61	66	54	
Black or African American	57	53		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	68	83	69	
Filipino	56	81	64	
Hispanic or Latino	46	52	37	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	58	73		
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54	61	48	
English Learners	43	48		
Students with Disabilities	44	55		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	20.5	31.5	23.3

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	09-10	10-11	11-12
All Students at the School	1	38	11
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			-1
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	9	25	15
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-3	25	21
English Learners	-11	50	-7
Students with Disabilities		142	

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	4	3	5
Similar Schools	1	2	3

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		58.3

API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group	School	District	State	
All Students at the School	Students	240	6,356	4,664,264
	API-G	810	743	788
Black or African American	Students	28	1,049	313,201
	API-G	768	673	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	1	20	31,606
	API-G		694	742
Asian	Students	54	960	404,670
	API-G	880	855	905
Filipino	Students	25	516	124,824
	API-G	860	812	869
Hispanic or Latino	Students	99	2,871	2,425,230
	API-G	762	703	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	2	79	26,563
	API-G		670	775
White	Students	25	704	1,221,860
	API-G	842	793	853
Two or More Races	Students	6	140	88,428
	API-G		829	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	163	4,059	2,779,680
	API-G	801	712	737
English Learners	Students	117	2,564	1,530,297
	API-G	810	710	716
Students with Disabilities	Students	52	833	530,935
	API-G	682	596	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No