



Wilson Elementary School

1300 Williams Street • San Leandro, CA 94577 • (510) 667-3587 • Grades K-5

Wendy Ponder, Principal

wponder@slusd.us

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

San Leandro Unified School District

14735 Juniper St.

San Leandro, CA 94579

(510) 895-4199

www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Lance James

Ron Carey

Mike Katz-Lacabe

Jason Toro

Diana J. Prola

Vince J. Rosato

Elisha Feliciano Student Board
Member

District Administration

Dr. Michael McLaughlin

Superintendent

Song Chin-Bendib

Associate Superintendent

Business & Operations

Dr. Rosanna Mucetti

Assistant Superintendent

Educational Services

Dr. John Thompson

Assistant Superintendent

Human Resources

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (510) 667-3587.

School Description

Principal's Message

This School Accountability Report Card (SARC) provides basic information about Wilson Elementary School. More in-depth information is available in our School Site Plan. Wilson School has worked with our community to develop an Instructional Focus Plan. We believe that focused practices lead to stronger results! Our goal is to make continuous year to year growth for every student by name, so that all are prepared for middle school and beyond. Our four pillars define the focused practices that we must implement well in every classroom every day. They form the foundations of our day-to-day Teaching Practices, Leadership Practices and Organizational Practices. Our four pillars are Standards and Data-Driven Instruction, Culturally Responsive Teaching and Learning, Results-Focused Professional Learning, Strong Relationship with Families and Community.

Education requires teamwork. The Wilson staff, teachers, parents, and students will continue to communicate clearly and work effectively to enable our students to meet the standards and to achieve our school-wide site plan goals and objectives.

District Vision Statement

The mission of the San Leandro Unified School District is to educate students to achieve and demonstrate academic excellence and become confident, collaborative and competitive in a global society, by utilizing state-of-the-art technologies and innovative teaching strategies within a well-maintained, secure learning environment, in a region rich in heritage and diverse in culture, where we value our traditions while welcoming change.

School Mission Statement

High standards, equity and accountability are our vision for our community of learners at Woodrow Wilson Elementary School. We are determined to provide our students with the life skills, tools, and knowledge to be successful life-long learners. We desire to see our students globally compete among the very brightest, best, and most creative individuals. In order for this to happen, we are committed to changing the way things have been done in the past and recognize the hard work and long road that is ahead of us. However, we are optimistic in the simple truth that we know our students are capable, our teachers and staff are determined and our community is supportive.

Making our vision a reality means our continuing effort at creating a standards-based accountability system; where every student is compared to a standard rather than each other; where every student is clear on what is expected of him or her; where every student is given multiple opportunities to learn and to show what they know in order to reach high, rigorous standards; and where there is no achievement gap.

District Profile

San Leandro Unified School District is located in the City of San Leandro, which is situated 20 miles southeast of San Francisco. San Leandro is a friendly and diverse city with a colorful heritage and numerous cultural amenities including a 450-berth Marina, two golf courses and a large community library center. San Leandro is well-known for its quiet, well-defined neighborhoods full of charming and unique older houses on tree-lined streets. San Leandro residents are proud of both their neighborhoods and their city, which can be seen in their active involvement in the city's numerous neighborhood and homeowner's associations.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the education program at Wilson Elementary School. Parents may participate in the Parent Teacher Association (PTA), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), School Advisory Committee (SAC) School Site Council (SSC), and volunteer within the classroom and for school activities including our community garden. Wilson Elementary School also has an innovative weekly parent meeting called "Coffee Chat." Parents meet with the Principal and school staff representatives to learn more about the school system and provide direct feedback to the administration of the school.

Wilson Elementary School has several partnerships with local community organizations, including the Boys & Girls Club and Girls Inc. Other partners include Kaiser Permanente, Safe Routes to School, Safe Moves, Bike Mobile, Alameda County Office of Education Project Eat, and the Wilson PTA.

Contact Information

Family members who wish to participate in Wilson Elementary School's parent advisory committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (510) 618-4370.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kinder.	138
Gr. 1	122
Gr. 2	119
Gr. 3	129
Gr. 4	131
Gr. 5	146
Total	785

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	17.5
Filipino	11.1
Hispanic or Latino	55.8
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.3
White	6.6
Two or More Races	2.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.3
English Learners	61.9
Students with Disabilities	6.2

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Year	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
Kinder.	22.8	27.6	23	3	0	1	2	5	5	0	0	
Gr. 1	26.5	28.3	24	0	0	1	4	4	4	0	0	
Gr. 2	27	28	24	0	0	2	5	4	3	0	0	
Gr. 3	26	28	26	0	0	1	6	5	4	0	0	
Gr. 4	29	30.2	26	0	0	1	6	6	4	0	0	
Gr. 5	21.8	31	29	3	0		2	6	5	0	0	

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	8.43	7.72	7.77
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0
District	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	18.68	17.75	15.65
Expulsions Rate	0.77	0.11	0.06

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern at Wilson Elementary School. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The School Site Safety plan is updated every winter by a committee of six individuals, including the principal and vice principal. The key element of the School Site Safety Plan entails emergency evacuation procedures. Fire, earthquake, and intruder drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. The Wilson School Safety Plan was reviewed, discussed and updated in March 2012

To ensure student safety, staff members supervise students at all times before and after school, and during recess and lunch. All visitors to the campus are required to check in at the school's office and wear the proper identification badge at all times.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/08/12

Age & Condition of Facilities

Wilson Elementary School was originally constructed in 1947 and is currently comprised of 24 permanent classrooms, 14 portable classrooms, two computer labs, a library, a staff room, a multi-purpose room, a kitchen, and two playgrounds. Summer of 2011 recent renovations include a new lights, new interior paint, and the renovation of the bathrooms.

Cleaning Process

The principal works daily with a custodial staff of three full-time and one part-time to ensure that the cleaning of the school is maintained to provide for a clean and safe school.

Maintenance & Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and work orders are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority to emergency repairs. At the time of publication 100% of Wilson Elementary's restrooms were in good working order.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The State School Deferred Maintenance Budget Program provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating/air conditioning, interior/exterior painting, electrical systems, and flooring. For the 2012-2013 school year, the district allocated \$164,000 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents .22% of the district's general fund budget.

The table displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection provided by the district. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been scheduled for repair or are in the process of remediation. With the passage of Measure B, modernization and renovation of the San Leandro schools has begun. For more information about Measure B, please visit the district's web site: www.sanleandro.k12.ca.us.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	Classroom 18 - Room is very warm. Classroom 3 - Room is very warm.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	Carpet frayed-Computer Room, Rm 3. Tackboard torn-Hallway. Several floor tiles chipped-Rooms 38, 27, 20. Several ceiling tiles stained-Rooms 38, 40, 39. Carpet torn, wallpaper torn-Room 36. Partition door missing lock.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	2 light lens covers missing-Multipurpose Room. Vinyl is duct taped to carpet-Room 33
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	Fountain has low water pressure-Room 30, 9. Loose toilet seat. Sink fountain loose-Room 2.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	Smoke detector missing its cover-Room 26.
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

Teacher Credentials			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	40	40	36
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	◆	◆	405
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	9

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Staff development is supported for classroom implementation through BTSAs (Beginning Teachers Support and Assessment) Support Providers and District Coaches.

Teachers are also provided with updated Math Training, Equity Training and Professional Learning Community Collaboration time and training. The district supports staff development through three Professional Growth Days each year.

Wilson Elementary supports professional development through a partnership with Performance Fact and other educational consultants. Teachers are released each trimester to plan by grade level for the upcoming trimester based on student data, essential standards to be taught and curriculum guides. Teachers are supported through the year by a 40% English Learner Specialist and 60% Title 1 Specialist.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	92.0	8.0
High-Poverty Schools	91.9	8.1
Low-Poverty Schools	95.2	4.8

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	0
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	2
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,430	\$421	\$4,009	\$80,474
District	♦	♦	\$4,333	\$75,133
State	♦	♦	\$5,537	\$67,106
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-7.5	7.1
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-27.6	19.9

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,098	\$40,928
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$68,225	\$64,449
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,568	\$82,826
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$109,795	\$102,640
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$116,916	\$109,253
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$135,375	\$118,527
Superintendent Salary	\$214,619	\$183,968
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	48.1%	39.7%
Administrative Salaries	6.7%	5.8%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

- Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA (Title I)
- K-5 Class Size Reduction
- Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
- Peer Assistance & Review
- Special Education Master Plan
- Instructional Materials
- ROC/P Entitlement
- Supplemental Counseling
- Gifted & Talented Pupils
- School & Library Improvement Program
- Special Education Transportation
- School Safety & Violence Prevention
- Title II Teacher Quality
- Title III English Learners
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement per UDC
- Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act / California Partnership Academy
- CAHSEE
- 21st Century
- Homeless Children
- Medi-Cal Billing
- Gear up
- After School Safety
- Mental Health
- QEIA

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: December, 2012

San Leandro Unified School District sets a high priority upon ensuring that sufficient and current textbooks and materials are available to support the school's instructional program. San Leandro Unified School District held a public hearing on September 20th, 2011 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. The State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home.

Textbooks are adopted by the Board of Trustees after review by teachers and parents according to the timeline established by the State of California. All district-adopted textbooks are State Board adopted and aligned to the Academic Content Standards established by the California Board of Education. The most recent textbooks are illustrated in the chart.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption	
Reading/Language Arts		
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Hampton Brown Adopted 2002
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2005
		Hampton Brown Adopted 2011
Mathematics		
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Pearson Scott Foresman Adopted 2008
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
Science		
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted 2007
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	
History-Social Science		
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Scott Foresman Adopted 2006
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	

Student Performance Data

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison (A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA)									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	42	48	45	45	48	46	54	56	55
Math	59	60	59	36	37	37	49	50	50
Science	39	38	42	53	49	52	57	60	59
H-SS				39	37	40	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group (A Composite of CST, CMA and CAPA)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	46	37	52	40
All Student at the School	45	59	42	
Male	41	60	46	
Female	49	58	38	
Black or African American	48	45		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	71	85	62	
Filipino	42	70	47	
Hispanic or Latino	38	49	35	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	40	60		
Two or More Races	27	64		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42	57	38	
English Learners	22	39	10	
Students with Disabilities	20	34		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	24.3	22.2	23.6

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	-2	9	-1
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	0	24	17
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-3	0	10
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-2	11	2
English Learners	-9	14	-1
Students with Disabilities			

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	4	3	4
Similar Schools	2	2	2

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	8	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	100.0	

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group	School	District	State	
All Students at the School	Students	511	6,317	4,655,989
	API-G	782	740	790
Black or African American	Students	28	987	296,463
	API-G	751	670	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	1	17	30,394
	API-G		725	743
Asian	Students	94	934	406,527
	API-G	899	858	906
Filipino	Students	63	501	121,054
	API-G	801	804	867
Hispanic or Latino	Students	278	2,965	2,438,951
	API-G	746	703	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	6	86	25,351
	API-G		680	774
White	Students	30	664	1,200,127
	API-G	748	781	853
Two or More Races	Students	11	163	125,025
	API-G	720	822	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	424	4,525	2,774,640
	API-G	770	714	743
English Learners	Students	316	2,712	1,482,316
	API-G	773	711	721
Students with Disabilities	Students	49	826	527,476
	API-G	627	573	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes